



Performance of Different Common Hyacinth (*Hyacinthus orientalis*) to Qualitative and Quantitative Traits of Growth and Propagation

Nasir Hamid Masoodi^{a*}

^a *Division of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, SKUAST, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Jammu and Kashmir, India.*

Author's contribution

Author has made a substantial contribution to the concept or design of the article; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the article.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2022/v40i111755

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93834>

Original Research Article

Received 11 September 2022
Accepted 17 November 2022
Published 03 December 2022

ABSTRACT

Evaluation of Hyacinth cultivars including Jan Bose, Annabella, Aqua, Blue jacket, Purple sensation, Purple star, Yellow stone, Gypsy queen, Aladdin, Pink pearl genotypes was carried out during year 2018 at Division Of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture SKUAST- K Shalimar with an objective to assess the performance of vegetative traits and propagation ratio. Data depicts cultivar yellow stone with maximum plant height at maturity (17.97cm), Leaf length (12.98cm), Leaf width (3.64cm), Number of leaves per plant 24.58 and minimum values for Plant height at maturity (15.02cm), Leaf length (11.06cm), Leaf width (1.93cm), Number of leaves per plant 17.58 resulted with cultivar Pink pearl. Minimum to maximum values for bulb weight (28.87 to 34.53g), bulb Size (8.18 to 10.03cm), number of offsets per bulb (2.00 to 3.58) and weight of offsets (11.09 to 16.94g) were observed with cultivar pink pearl and yellow stone respectively. Most of the variation for growth and propagation were significant among the cultivars.

Keywords: *Hyacinth cultivars; evaluation; vegetative traits; propagation.*

1. INTRODUCTION

India imports around 20 crores bulbs of Liliaceae, Tulip, Hyacinth etc annually from Holland which costs huge foreign exchange. Area under bulbous crops globally is 50000 ha and India has only 3500 ha under this sector that too propagating Gladiolus in 1200 ha followed by tuberose 800 ha. Ornamental temperate bulbous crops have an important place in floriculture trade. Among these bulbous crops *Hyacinthus orientalis* is one of the important bulbous crop which has been commercially used as it is having landscape use under temperate and subtropical climatic conditions. Hyacinth belongs to family Asparagaceae. Hyacinthus is native to Eastern Mediterranean region including Turkey, Iraq, Turkmenistan, Iran, Lebanon, Syria and the Palestine region. Mostly in European parts including Netherlands, France, Italy, Croatia, Serbia, Albania it is grown under naturalized conditions. Mass multiplication of bulbous plants is one of the important factors for economic feasibility of commercial floriculture. To increase a particular variety of bulb rapidly the primary concern is to see feasibility of cultivars for propagation purposes. Most of the cultivated cultivars in India are imported ones and differ in performance as far as agro climatic conditions are concerned. It has been observed that cultivars can perform good from flowering point of view and after the season is over the propagation ratio is almost failure. This invites a thrust for regular import. To come over this issue screening and evaluation of cultivars is an important consideration and this can help in taking up few cultivars which can be propagated for commercialization purposes. Keeping in view the huge cost of hyacinth bulb ranging from Rs 40 to Rs 80 in Indian market, this work has a significance for economic upliftment and minimizing the import.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Geographical Features

Srinagar, the summer capital of Jammu and Kashmir, India is situated between 34°05' to 34°07' north latitude and 74°08' to 74°09' east longitude at an altitude of about 1587 m above mean sea level. It is flanked on the southeast and northeast by the lofty Himalayan ranges.

2.2 Morphological Parameters

Studied parameters include plant height at maturity, leaf length, leaf width, number of leaves

per plant, bulb weight, bulb size, number of offsets per bulb, weight of offsets for Jan Bose, Annabella, Aqua, Blue jacket, Purple sensation, Purple star, Yellow stone, Gipsy queen, Aladdin, Pink pearl genotypes.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of the data collected for different parameters during the present investigation was subjected to analysis of variance for complete randomized block design with three replications [1].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Performance of Hyacinth genotypes (Table 1) (Fig. 1) showed significant variation for all the vegetative parameters. Maximum plant height at maturity (17.97cm), leaf length (12.98cm), leaf width (3.64cm), number of leaves per plant 24.58 resulted with cultivar Yellow stone where as minimum values for plant height at maturity (15.02cm), leaf length (11.06cm), leaf width (1.93cm), number of leaves per plant 17.58 resulted with cultivar Pink pearl. Minimum to maximum values for bulb weight (28.87 to 34.53g), bulb size (8.18 to 10.03cm), number of offsets per bulb (2.00 to 3.58) and weight of offsets (11.09 to 16.94g) were observed with cultivar pink pearl and yellow stone respectively (Table 2) (Fig. 2). Most of the variation for growth and propagation traits was significant among the cultivars. Cultivar response to specific agro climatic conditions is due to the suitability in a particular agro climatic condition. Vegetative growth depends upon the optimum and target climatic conditions received by particular species. Using metabolites is very important and varietal response for the same differs which ultimately gives output for propagation ratio. Photoperiodic induction involves the production of a flowering stimulus in the leaves and its translocation to the stem apex under certain day length. Endogenous circadian changes in activity, regulated by a physiological clock are responsible for rhythmic quantitative and qualitative changes in the plants. This internal clock allows the plants to measure time independent of the environmental periodicity and these factors contribute to its response for vegetative growth and propagule formation. Performance of a cultivar differs in terms of its environmental suitability and genetic potential. Masoodi et al. [2] reported co related findings while working on altitude influence on different tulip cultivars. Phenotypic variation depends upon genetic makeup of Liliaceae cultivars and the

estimates of phenotypic and genotypic co-efficients of variance showed a low disparity for plant height and number of leaves indicating effect of environment on different traits and phenotypic variability could be a reliable measure of genotypic variability [3], Balode [4] and Masoodi et al. [5]. Singh and Sen [6] suggested that if the phenotypic coefficient of variation is greater than the genotypic co-efficient of variation, the apparent variation is not only due to genotypes, but also due to influence of environment. Bhatia et al. [7] reported variability in different cultivars of tulips for vegetative and flowering phases. Co related findings were reported by Singh and Kumar (2008) in marigold for plant height, Dhiman et al. [8] in Asiatic hybrid lily, Masoodi et al. [5] in Asiatic, Oriental and La hybrids. Genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variation for number of flowers per stem were reported in China Aster [9], Misra and Saini [10] in gladiolus and in French marigold and number

of florets per spike in gladiolus. Singh and Sen [6] suggested that if the phenotypic coefficient of variation is greater than the genotypic co-efficient of variation, the apparent variation is not only due to genotypes, but also due to influence of environment. The estimates of phenotypic and genotypic co-efficients of variance showed a low disparity for plant height, number of leaves per plant and inflorescence diameter indicating the least effect of environment on different traits and phenotypic variability could be a reliable measure of genotypic variability. Bhatia et al. [7], Panse and Sukhatme [11] reported low genotypic co-efficient of variation helps in the measurement of genetic diversity in the qualitative and quantitative characters. The estimation of heritability has a greater role to play in determining the effectiveness of selection provided it is considered in conjunction with the predicated genetic advance [12].

Table 1. Performance of Hyacinth cultivars to growth traits

Treatment Symbol	Plant height at maturity (cm)	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf width (cm)	Number of leaves per plant
Jan Bose	17.56	11.81	2.74	22.33
Annabella	17.05	11.10	2.03	21.58
Aqua	17.29	11.48	2.77	22.26
Blue jacket	16.73	11.18	2.31	19.33
Purple sensation	16.05	11.43	2.41	19.58
Purple star	17.29	11.86	2.51	20.16
Yellow stone	17.97	12.98	3.64	24.58
Gipsy queen	15.93	11.42	2.74	18.83
Aladdin	16.04	11.46	2.57	18.33
Pink pearl	15.02	11.06	1.93	17.58
C.D(p≤0.05)	0.07	0.07	0.20	0.19

Table 2. Response of Hyacinth cultivars to propagation ratio

Treatment Symbol	Bulb weight (g)	Bulb Size(cm)	Number of offsets per bulb	Weight of offsets(g)
Jan Bose	30.25	9.59	3.22	16.79
Annabella	29.77	9.12	3.00	16.15
Aqua	29.40	9.34	3.16	16.39
Blue jacket	30.85	8.90	3.11	13.10
Purple sensation	31.44	9.30	3.11	14.49
Purple star	31.86	9.13	3.10	14.56
Yellow stone	34.53	10.03	3.58	16.94
Gipsy queen	29.61	8.30	2.66	11.17
Aladdin	30.63	9.63	2.91	11.05
Pink pearl	28.87	8.18	2.00	11.09
C.D(p≤0.05)	0.76	0.05	0.18	0.49




Fig. 1. Performance of hyacinth cultivars to growth traits




Fig. 2. Response of hyacinth cultivars to propagation ratio

4. CONCLUSION

The outcome of the Data depicts cultivar yellow stone with maximum plant height, leaf length, Leaf width, No. of leaves and minimum values at

maturity and minimum values for Plant height at maturity resulted with cultivar Pink pearl. Minimum to maximum values for bulb weight, bulb Size, number of offsets per bulb and weight of offsets were observed with cultivar pink pearl

and yellow stone respectively. Most of the variation for growth and propagation were significant among the cultivars.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to DBT for financial support through Extramural Project "Training Cum demonstration on elite tulip and hyacinth bulb production for economic upliftment in rural Kashmir".

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Gómez K, Gómez A. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. 2nd ed. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons. 1983;630.
2. Masoodi NH, Nayeem SM. Morphological characterization of Tulip cultivars at 1587m above Mean sea level under Kashmir Himalayas. Int J Environ Clim change Tech: Open Access J. 2022;17(1):556008.
3. Grassotti A, Torrini F, Mercuri A, Schiva T. Genetic improvement of liliium in Italy. Acta Hortic. 1990;(266):339-48..
4. Balode A. Phenotypic analysis of hybrids and their parents in Liliium species. Breeding, annual. Res Rural Dev 16th International Scientific Conference Proceedings. 2010;1:65-70.
5. Masoodi NH, Nayeem SM. Evaluation of different liliium hybrids under climatic conditions of Kashmir valley. Agric Res Tech Open Access J. 2018;12(8):2581-8627.
6. Singh D, Sen NL. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in marigold. J Ornamental Hortic. 2000;3(2):75-8.
7. Bhatia R, Dhiman MR, Chander P, Dey SS. Genetic variability and character association in tulip (*Tulipa gesneriana*) for various quantitative traits. Indian J Agric Sci. 2013;83(7):773-80.
8. Dhiman MR, Parkash C, Kumar R, Guleria MS, Dhiman M. Studies on genetic variability and heritability in Asiatic hybrid lily (*Lilium x elegans* L.). Mol Plant Breed. 2015;6:1-8.
9. Ravikumar H, Patil VS 2003. Evaluation of China aster [*Calcestephus chinensis* (L.) Ness] genotypes under transitional zone of north Karnataka. Indian Society of Ornamental Horticulture 12-4.
10. Misra RL, Saini HC. Correlation and path-coefficient studies in gladiolus. Indian J Hortic. 1990;47(1):127-32.
11. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. New Delhi: Indian Council of Agricultural Research. 1985;152-6..
12. Singh D, Kumar S. Studies on genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation in marigold. J Ornamental Hortic. 2008;11(1):27-31.

© 2022 Masoodi; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93834>