International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

34(23): 1502-1509, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.94405
ISSN: 2320-7035

Effect of Cropping Systems and Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Coconut in Littoral Sand

A. K. Karna [a*], G. Mishra [a], P. K. Nayak [a], S. C. Sahoo [a], R. K. Nayak [b] and R. K. Panda [c]

[a] Department of Fruit Science and Horticulture Technology, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, India.
[b] Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, India.
[c] Department of Plant Physiology, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i232568

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94405

Original Research Article

Received 20 September 2022
Accepted 29 November 2022
Published 30 November 2022

ABSTRACT

An experiment was carried out in a 38 years old coconut plantation of Sakhigopal Local Tall variety at All India Coordinated Research Project on palms, Konark (OUAT) during July 2017 to June 2019. The treatments comprised of three cropping system and three integrated nutrient management practices. The experiment was laid out in a Split Plot Design with three replications. The studies revealed that adoption of cropping system of coconut + sapota + pineapple with application of green manuring + biofertilizers + organic recycling + soil test based nutrients NPK (chemical fertilizers) application significantly increase the number of female flowers (245.83/palm/year), nut yield (65.21/palms/year), weight of whole nut (1206.54 g), weight of dehusked nut (603.01 g), kernel weight (293.41 g) and copra weight (168.33 g). Similarly minimum number of female flowers, nut yield, kernel weight and copra weight were recorded in treatment combination of monocrop of coconut with green manuring + biofertilizers + organic recycling. The study indicated that cropping coconut + sapota + pineapple cropping system with organic and soil test based nutrients NPK are suitable for better growth and development of coconut.

**Corresponding author: E-mail: ajaykkarna@soa.ac.in, akkarna93@gmail.com;*

Keywords: Cropping system; integrated nutrient management; nut yield; copra weight.

1. INTRODUCTION

Coconut is generally grown in 17 States and 3 Union territories in an area of 2173.28 thousand hectares with an annual production of 20,308.70 million nuts [1]. Among all the coconut growing belts, there is significant area under coconut in littoral sand of sea coast. Odisha is an important state with regard to the cultivation of this crop occupying 5th position in area and 7th position in production. Coconut is cultivated in an area of 51.71 thousand hectares with an annual production of 354.57 million nuts but the productivity of the coconut per hectare in the state is comparatively low i.e. 6857 nuts/ha compared to the national productivity of 9345 nuts/ha [1]. One of the reason for such low productivity might be due to cultivation of this crop in poor soils of coastal littoral sand of 410 km long coast line. The general weather prevailing along the coastal belt is fabulous for growing coconut. But, the productivity status of such plantation is very low in the littoral sand ranging from 20-40 nuts/palm/year [2]. The reasons for low productivity of coconut under littoral sand are low organic carbon content, high bulk density, poor aggregate stability, poor water holding capacity, high soil temperature and poor soil fertility status [3]. So, improvement in soil organic matter content is essential for the successful management of coconuts on such soils. Hence, under these circumstances, sustainable crop production can be achieved through adoption of coconut-based cropping system with integrated nutrient management practices. Studies have revealed that sole crop of coconut with a spacing of 7.5 m X 7.5m effectively utilize only 22.3% of land area while the average air space utilization by the canopy is about 30 percent and solar radiation interception is about 45-50 percent [4]. So, adoption of coconut based intercropping system is one of the effective ways to utilize the resources like sunlight, soil, water and labour. Integrated nutrient management includes the intelligent use of organic, inorganic and online biological resources so as to sustain optimum yields, improve or maintain soil chemical and physical properties and provides crop nutrition packages which are technically sound, economically attractive, practically feasible and environmentally safe [5]. To make coconut cultivation economically viable and sustainable under littoral sand, more emphasis should be given for improving the physical and chemical properties of soil. The productivity of coconut under littoral sand could be improved by the combined use of organic manures, green manuring crops and inorganic fertilizers. Hence, the present investigation was conducted to study the effect of cropping system and integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and nut quality under littoral sandy soil of Odisha.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in a 38 years old coconut plantation of Sakhigopal Local Tall variety the experimental site of All India Coordinated Research Project on palms, Konark operating under the department of Fruit Science and Horticulture Technology, College of Agriculture, OUAT, Bhubaneswar during July 2017 to June 2019 to find out the effect of cropping system and integrated nutrient management on the performance of coconut in littoral sand. The study was conducted following a Split Plot Design with 9 different treatment combinations of main plot and sub plot which are replicated thrice. Main plot comprised of three level of cropping system viz. CS1 (coconut + sapota + vegetable), CS2 (coconut + sapota + pineapple) and CS3 (monocrop of coconut) whereas, subplot comprised of N1 (Green manuring + Biofertilizers + Organic recycling + FYM), N2 (Green manuring + Biofertilizers + Organic recycling + Soil test based nutrients NPK (chemical fertilizers) application) and N3 (Green manuring + Biofertilizers + Organic recycling + 100 % RDF). The component crops such as sapota, pineapple and cowpea were raised in the interspaces as per their respective place according to the treatments during July 2016 leaving exclusively 2 metre radius from the bole of coconut as per the spacing of component crops. The sapota plants (cv. Kalipati) were planted at the center of four coconut palms. For raising of cowpea (cv. Kashi Kanchan) and pineapple (cv. Queen), 3m X 3m beds were prepared in the interspace of the coconut. The required amount of organic manures (FYM) and inorganic fertilizers (NPK) were applied to coconut and component crops as per the treatments. The sources of inorganic nutrients were urea (for N), single super phosphate (for P_2O_5) and muriate of potash (for K_2O). The vermicompost used in the experiment was prepared from the recyclable biomass produced in the system as per the procedure explained by Prabhu et al. (1998). The two biofertilizer stains

Table 1. Recommended fertilizer dose for coconut and component crops

Crops and variety	Recommended doses of fertilizers (g/plant/year)		
	N	P_2O_5	K_2O
Coconut (Sakhigopal Local Tall)	500	320	1200
Pineapple (Queen)	12	4	12
Sapota (Kalipati)	100	100	200
Cowpea for vegetable (Kashi kanchan)	40 (kg/ha)	60 (kg/ha)	60 (kg/ha)

Azospirillum and Phosphobacterium were used in coconut and component crops. Fodder cowpea was used as *in-situ* green manure crops in the basins of palm as well as in the interspaces in monocropping plots. The recommended dose of fertilizers for crops (coconut and intercrops) is presented in the Table 1. The observation on coconut palm were recorded at the end of June of respective years and mean was statistically analysed.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The total number of leaves on the crown of the palm determines its capacity to built-up photosynthates which in turn carried over to nut production whereas, the rate of leaf production by a palm indicates its vigour as well as proper nutrient management of the soil around it. Among cropping systems, coconut + sapota + pineapple system had shown significant effect on number of functional leaves (31.72 leaves/palm) and number of leaves per palm per year (12.53) as compared to monocrop of coconut where it produces (29.61 leaves/palm) and (12.11 leaves/palm/year). Similar result was observed in the findings of Padma et al. [6]. There was significant influence of integrated nutrient managements on the number of functional leaves per palm and number of leaves produced per palm per year. Under integrated nutrient management, adoption of green manuring + biofertilizers + organic recycling + soil test-based nutrients application increased the number of leaves (31.87leaves/palm) and number of leaves produced per palm per year (12.65). The increase in leaves per palm might be due to increased availability of nitrogen as well as phosphorus under integrated treatment management practices as supported by Nambiar et al. [7].

Coconut being a regular bearer crop that develops inflorescence in the axil of each leaf at monthly interval in fertile soil but there are exceptions to this under littoral sand which shows irregularity in flowering or bunch production. The present study shows that it was possible to increase more number of inflorescences of the palm in littoral sand by adopting cropping systems and integrated nutrient management practices. The data related to the reproductive characters of coconut showed that the number of inflorescences per palm per year was significantly affected due to cropping systems. The maximum number of inflorescences per palm per year (12.16) was noted in cropping system of coconut + sapota + pineapple (CS2). The increase in number of inflorescences per palm per year might be due to beneficial effect of intercrops to coconut palm through efficient use of natural resources. The finding of the present study is more or less similar to findings of Padma et al. [6]. The practice of integrated nutrient management had also shown a significant effect by increasing the production of inflorescences (12.36/palm/year) in treatment of green manuring + bio fertilizers + organic recycling + soil test based nutrients (chemical fertilizers) application as against the minimum number (11.21/palm/year) in green manuring + bio fertilizers + organic recycling + FYM. The more availability of nitrogen and potassium in littoral sand under the above integrated manuring practice might have induced the production of more inflorescences in the palm [8,9].

It is the number of female flowers that influences the yield in the palm. In the present study, it was observed that adoption of cropping system was effective to promote more number of female flowers in the palm in littoral sand. The maximum number of female flowers (220.46/palm/year) was recorded in the cropping system of coconut + sapota + pineapple as against 191.68/palm/year in monocropping. This might be due to maximum utilization of natural resources available in coconut orchard. Similar result was observed by Padma et al. [6]. Imposition of integrated nutrient management resulted significant effect on production of female flowers in the palm as observed in the present study. The maximum number of female flowers

per palm per year (230.81) was obtained in the treatment of green manuring + bio fertilizers + organic recycling + soil test-based nutrients (chemical fertilizers) application. The increase in number of female flowers per palm might be due to cumulative effect of organic and inorganic sources of fertilizer application which would increase the availability of more nitrogen in littoral sand and ultimately increased more female flowers in coconut palm. This is in line with the finding of Bose and Mitra [10] and Wahid et al. [8]. Moreover, the increased availability of potash in the soil under the said integrated manuring practice also might have caused production of more female flowers in the palm in littoral sand. Similar results are in line with the findings of Sahoo et al. [11] and Bhalerao et al. [9].

The nut yield of coconut palm was improved due to adoption of cropping systems and the best result was obtained under CS2 (coconut + sapota + pineapple) with 58.70 nuts/palm/year as against 49.53 nuts/palm/year under CS3 (monocrop of coconut) in littoral sand. The increase in nut yield in the coconut might be due to change in micro-climate condition in the coconut garden. Non interference of the intercrops with main crops, regular watering, nutrient management, weed management, biomass addition and recommended management for coconut and the intercrops favored improvement in soil nutrient status and nutrient uptake by coconut palms which might have led to production of more nuts in the palm. Similar observations were made by Basavaraju et al. [12], Maheswarappa et al. [13] and Dhanpal et al. [14]. It is also likely due to the parts of fertilizers applied to the intercrops which would have been otherwise lost through runoff or by other means, had been absorbed by the coconut palm thereby there was improvement in the yield. The congenial micro climate with increased microbial activities, improvement in soil fertility and higher interception of sunlight might have favored the growth and yield of coconut. The improvement in nut yield of the main crop by intercropping is also supported by the findings of Basavaraju et al. [12] and Rani et al. [15]. The traits number of nuts per palm per year was also significantly influenced by the integrated nutrient management as observed in both the years of study. Maximum nut yield (59.46 nuts/palm/year) was recorded in N2 (green manuring + bio fertilizers + organic recycling + soil test based nutrients NPK (chemical fertilizers) application) and minimum nut yield (50.37 nuts/palm/year) was recorded in N1 (green manuring + bio fertilizers + organic recycling + FYM). The increase in nut yield recorded under various integrated nutrient management practices could be due to increased availability of nitrogen and potassium, organic matter content, improvement in physical properties of the littoral sand thereby, preventing the loss of nutrients in the littoral sand as indicated by several workers like Manickam [16], Shivaramu et al. [17] and Sudhir et al. [18]. Increase in coconut yield due to application of inorganic fertilizer combined with organic manure has also been reported by many workers [19-23].

In the present study (Table 3), the average weight of whole as well as dehusked nut was relatively improved under different cropping systems. The maximum weights of whole and dehusked nut (1178.69 g and 591.76 g) were recorded in coconut + sapota + pineapple system and the minimum (1135.37 g and 578.24 g) were in monocropping. The increase in nut weight might be due to availability of more nutrients and water to palm under favorable micro-climate created by adoption of cropping system in the coconut garden. The weight of whole as well as dehusked nut was relatively increased by integrated nutrient management. The maximum weight of whole nut (1182.62g) and dehusked nut (594.56 g) were recorded in green manuring + bio fertilizers + organic recycling + soil test based nutrients NPK (chemical fertilizers) application and the minimum (1116.99 g and 571.51 g) weight of whole as well as dehusked nut in green manuring + bio fertilizers + organic recycling + FYM. The above result might be happened due to the increase in the availability of potassium in the soil under the above integrated nutrient management practices which have contributed to the increase in the nut size [10,8]. The interaction effect between cropping system and integrated nutrient management with respect to weight of nut was found significant. Maximum weight of whole nut (1206.54g) and dehusked nut (603.01 g) was noted in the treatment combination of cropping system of coconut + sapota + pineapple with green manuring + bio fertilizers + organic recycling + soil test-based nutrients NPK (chemical fertilizers) application. The increase in weight of nut might be due to positive cumulative effect of both the treatments.

Table 2. Effect of cropping system and integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of coconut

Treatments	Pooled data (July 2017-June 2019)				
	Number of leaves per palm	Number of leaves produced per palm per year	Number of inflorescences per palm per year	Number of female flowers per palm per year	Number of nuts per palm per year
CS1	30.89	12.37	11.88	207.71	55.73
CS2	**31.72**	**12.53**	**12.16**	**220.46**	**58.70**
CS3	29.61	12.11	11.35	191.68	49.53
S.Em. (±)	0.13	0.029	0.135	1.782	0.455
CD (0.05)	**0.51**	**0.12**	0.46	**7.18**	**1.83**
N1	29.98	11.99	11.21	182.39	50.37
N2	**31.87**	**12.65**	**12.36**	**230.81**	**59.46**
N3	30.33	12.20	11.82	206.65	54.13
S.Em. (±)	0.15	0.044	0.077	1.719	0.362
CD (0.05)	**0.47**	**0.14**	**0.25**	**5.36**	**1.12**
CS1N1	30.14	12.02	11.44	182.24	52.43
CS1N2	31.72	12.60	12.27	223.85	59.69
CS1N3	30.47	12.49	11.93	207.05	55.7
CS2N1	30.59	12.08	11.42	188.58	53.20
CS2N2	33.48	12.88	12.82	**245.83**	**65.21**
CS2N3	31.08	12.64	12.25	226.97	57.70
CS3N1	28.96	11.88	10.79	176.36	45.48
CS3N2	30.41	12.46	11.99	212.75	53.49
CS3N3	29.46	11.97	11.28	185.93	49.62
S.Em. (±)	0.22	0.051	0.257	3.086	0.787
CD (0.05)	**NS**	**NS**	**NS**	**10.28**	**2.20**

Table 3. Effect of cropping systems and integrated nutrient managements on mature nut characters

Treatments	Pooled mean (July 2017- June 2019)			
	Weight of nut (gm)	**Weight of dehusked nut (gm)**	**Weight of kernel (gm)**	**Weight of copra kg)**
CS1	1152.70	584.49	278.45	158.95
CS2	1178.69	591.76	285.47	162.59
CS3	1135.37	578.24	272.84	156.18
S.Em. (±)	2.191	1.085	0.312	0.278
CD (0.05)	**8.83**	**3.77**	**0.99**	**1.12**
N1	1116.99	571.51	267.73	153.38
N2	1182.62	594.56	286.53	163.34
N3	1167.14	588.42	282.50	160.99
S.Em. (±)	1.828	1.487	0.158	0.163
CD (0.05)	**6.10**	**4.92**	**0.45**	**0.51**
CS1N1	1120.75	572.82	268.61	153.99
CS1N2	1178.53	592.77	286.25	162.13
CS1N3	1158.81	587.88	280.50	160.71
CS2N1	1137.01	576.17	272.97	155.36
CS2N2	1206.54	603.01	293.41	168.33
CS2N3	1192.51	596.09	290.02	164.08
CS3N1	1093.23	565.54	261.60	150.79
CS3N2	1162.79	587.89	279.94	159.55
CS3N3	1150.09	581.30	276.98	158.19
S.Em. (±)	3.495	2.186	0.326	0.482
CD (0.05)	**10.11**	**7.12**	**1.12**	**1.03**

In the present study (Table 3), the weight of kernel as well as copra was estimated under various cropping systems and integrated nutrient management practices. The weight of copra is proportionate to the weight of kernel in the nut and copra is considered as the actual yield parameter of coconut. Among various cropping systems, significantly maximum weight of kernel (285.47 g) and copra (162.59 g) was recorded in the cropping system of coconut + sapota + pineapple whereas, the corresponding values (272.84 g and 156.18 g) were minimum in monocropping of coconut. Among various integrated nutrient management practices, application of green manuring + bio fertilizers + organic recycling + soil test based nutrients NPK (chemical fertilizers) application was significantly effective in producing maximum weight of kernel (286.53 g) and copra (163.34 g/nut) in the pooled mean studies. The increase in copra yield corroborates with the findings of Ramanathan (1982) and Nallathambi et al. (1988). This might be due to increased availability of potassium under organic and inorganic treatments which contributed to the increase in kernel and copra content per nut. The results obtained are similar with the findings of Venkitaswamy and Khan (2002), Sahoo et al. [10] and Kalpana et al. (2008). The increase in copra yield in coconut by potassium is also reported by Thampan (1984) and Wahid et al. [8]. The weight of kernel and copra per nut was influenced by the interface effect of cropping system and INM practice. The result revealed that adoption of coconut + sapota + pineapple system with green manuring + bio fertilizers + organic recycling + soil test based nutrients NPK (chemical fertilizers) application recorded maximum weight of kernel and copra per nut. This could be due to cumulative beneficial effect of cropping system and INM practice.

4. CONCLUSION

In the light of the results obtained from the present investigation, it is concluded that adoption of cropping system of coconut + sapota + pineapple with imposition of green manuring + biofertilizers + organic recycling + soil test based nutrients NPK (chemical fertilizers) application were more effective in improving the vegetative and reproductive character of coconut and hence recommendation for commercial adoption.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Coconut Development Board. Annual Report, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmer Welfare, Government of India, Kochi, India; 2019-20.
2. Subramanian PS, Dhanpal R, Palaniswami C, Maheswarappa HP, Alka Gupta and George V. Thomas. Coastal Sandy Soil Management for Higher Coconut Productivity. Technical Bulletin No. 29. CPCRI, Kasaragod. 2009;20.
3. Reddy DVS, Upadhyay AK. Impact of integrated nutrient management on the mineral nutrition and yield of WCT coconut in littoral sandy soil at Kasaragod. *(In) Proceedings of PLACROSYM-XV*, Central Coffee Research Station, Balehonnur, Karnataka. 2002;274-281.
4. Bavappa KVA, Abdul Khader KB, Biddappa CC, Khan HH, Kasturi Bai KV, Ramadasan A, Sundararaju P, Bopaiah BM, Thomas GV, Misra LP, Balasimha D, Bhat NT and Sham Bhat K. Coconut and Arecanut based high density multi- species cropping systems, Journal of Plantation Crops. 1986;14(2): 74-87.
5. Tandon HLS. Integrated nutrient management for sustainable agriculture. In: Proceeding of the International Symposium on Natural Resource Management for a Sustainable Agriculture (R.P. Singh Ed.) Vol. I, 6^{th}-10^{th} February, 1990, New Delhi. 1990;203-222.
6. Padma E, Ramannandam G, Ravindra K, ChalapathiRoa NBV and Maheswrappa HP. Performance of coconut-based high density multispecies cropping system under East Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh, Green Farming. 2016;7(6):1431-1435.
7. Nambiar CKB, Khan HH, Joshi OP, Pillai NG. A rational approach to the management of coastal sands for establishment and production of coconut, Journal of Plantation Crops. 1983;11(1): 24-32.
8. Wahid PA, Salam MA, Nair RR. A Farmer's Primer on Coconut Cultivation. KAU. 1993;55-64.
9. Bhalerao PP, Maheswarappa HP, Sumitha S. Effect of integrated nutrient management on coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) based cropping systems in south Gujarat. Current Horticulture. 2021;9(2): 52-55.

10. Bose TK, Mitra SK. Fruits: Tropical and Subtropical, Naya Prokash, Calcutta. 1990;354-385.
11. Sahoo SC, Dora DK, Acharya GC and Panda JM. Influence of integrated nutrient management on the performance of coconut palm in littoral sand, Journal of Plantation Crops. 2004;32(Suppl.):224-228.
12. Basavaraju TB, Nanjappa HV, Umesha K, Vasundhara M and Arulraj S. Intercropping of medicinal and aromatic plants in coconut gardens, Journal of Plantation Crops. 2011;39(2): 299-304.
13. Maheswarappa HP. *In-situ* waste management in integrated nutrient management system under coconut (*Coco nucifera*) – based high density multi-species cropping system in tropical soil of India, Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2008;78(11):924-928.
14. Dhanpal R, Subramanian P, Maheswarappa HP, Harish CB. Impact of intercropping on root distribution in coconut under coastal sandy soil, Journal of Plantation Crops. 2013;41(2):297-269.
15. Rani S, Rajakumar D, Shoba N, Maheswarappa HP. Productivity and economics advantages of flower crops in coconut based intercropping system, Indian Journal of Horticulture, 2018;75(2): 279-282.
16. Manickam TS. Organics in soil fertility and productivity management. *Organics in Soil Health and Crop Production.* (Ed.) P.K. Thampan Peekay Tree Crops Development Foundation, Cochin, India. 1993;87-104.
17. Shivaramu HS, Shivashankar K and Siddaramappa R. Organic and lime amendments on physico-chemial and biological properties of soil, Mysore Journal of Agriculture Science. 1994; 28(1):39-44.
18. Sudhir K, Siddaramappa R and Gowda MS. Long term fertilizer experiment- A decade's experience, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore. 1996;14.
19. Palaniswami C, Thomas GV, Dhanpal R, Subramanian P, Maheswarappa HP and Upadhyay AK. 2007. Integrated nutrient management in coconut based cropping system, *Technical Bulletin* No. 49. CPCRI, Kasaragod, Kerala, India, 24.
20. Maheswarappa HP, Thomas GV, Bhat R, Palaniswami C, Jayasekhar S. Impact of inorganic fertilizer substitutions by vermicompost coconut leaves on productivity and economics of coconut, Journal of Plantation Crops, 2011;39(1): 30-34.
21. Farsanashamin P, Anilkumar AS. Sustainable soil fertility management in coconut based multi-storeyed cropping system, Journal of Plantation Crops. 2016;44(1):1-7.
22. Shinde VV, Maheswarappa HP, Ghavale SL, Sumitha S, Wankhede SM, Haldankar PM. Productivity and carbon sequestration potential of coconut-based cropping system as influenced by integrated nutrient management practices, Journal of Plantation Crops. 2020;48(2):13-110.
23. Khan HH, Sankaranarayanan MP, Narayanan KB. Characteristics of coconut soils of India I. Morphology, some physico-chemical characteristics and taxonomy, Proceedings of PLACROSYM I, (Eds. E.V. Nelliat and others), RRII, Kottayam. 1978;54-79.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94405