

Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition

Volume 10, Issue 1, Page 354-365, 2024; Article no.AJSSPN.112769 ISSN: 2456-9682

Zinc Dynamics and Yield Sustainability in Soybean (*Glycine max* **L. Merrill) under Various Zinc Application Frequencies on Typic Haplusterts**

S. S. Hadole a*, P. A. Sarap ^a , Y. A. Reddy ^a , M. D. Sarode ^a , S. T. Dangore ^b and S. D. Nandukar ^a

^a Department of Soil Science, Post Graduate Institute, Dr. PDKV, Akola, India. ^b College of Agriculture, Nagpur, Dr. PDKV, Akola, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJSSPN/2024/v10i1241

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/112769

Original Research Article

Received: 07/12/2023 Accepted: 12/02/2024 Published: 07/03/2024

ABSTRACT

The field experiment entitled "Zinc Dynamics and Yield Sustainability in Soybean (Glycine max L. Merrill) under Various Zinc Application Frequencies on Typic Haplusterts" was undertaken during the Kharif of 2015-16, 2017-18 and 2019-20 at Experimental Farm Unit, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola. The effect on soil available Zinc was also evaluated. The experiment was laid out in Three Zinc application frequencies, viz. once (single year), alternate (every alternate year), and continuous (every year) at four Zinc application rates, viz. 2.5, 5.0, 7.5, and 10.0 kg ha−1 along with one control (no Zinc) were investigated from 2015-16, 2017-18 and 2019-20. The Zinc application significantly improved the crop yields, system sustainability, DTPA-Zn, and different Zinc pools without causing any environmental risk. In general, the alternate year application of Zinc

Asian J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutri., vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 354-365, 2024

^{}Corresponding author: Email: microakola@gmail.com;*

in Treatment T7 at 7.5 kg ha−1 produced the maximum grain and straw yield and system productivity. Similarly, the maximum macro and micronutrients uptake was also observed with alternate year application of Zinc at T7 of 7.5 kg ha−1.

Keywords: Zinc dynamics; yield, nutrient uptake; soybean and typic haplusterts.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soybean (Glycine max L. Merrill) is a kharif crop belonging to Leguminosae family. It can be grown in a wide range of climates and soils varying from sandy loam to clay soil. An average temperature of $26 - 30^{\circ}$ C is required for growing soybean. It is one of the major oilseed crops of the world accounting for nearly 50% of the world area and production of oilseeds. It contains 40% of high quality protein and 20 % of oil. It is a rich source of amino acids like arginine, lysine, vitamin C, minerals, salts (thiamine and riboflavin) Singh et al [1]. It is called the "Golden Bean" and "Wonder crop" of the twentieth century and "Miracle crop" of the 21st century because of its high nutritional value and myriad forms of uses. Soybean oil serves as a raw material for antibiotics, paints, varnishes, lubricants etc and food products such as textured vegetable protein (TVP), soybean curd, fried and roasted soynut. Soybean helps in preventing heart diseases, diabetes, obesity etc Kim et al. [2]. The global soybean production in the world is estimated at 333.67 million tonnes from an area of 120.50 million hectares and ranks fourth in area and fifth in production (Agricultural Market Intelligence Centre, PJTSAU Soybean Outlook,) [3]. Among the various oilseed crops of the world, soybean stood first in contributing approximately 23% of vegetable oil production. In India, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Chhattisgarh are the major soybean cultivating states.

Soybean is triple beneficial crop and is now making headway in Indian Agriculture Pable et al..[4]. Micronutrient deficiency has become a limiting factor for crop productivity in many parts of the world. Zn is the most widespread productivity constraint in rainfed production Srinivasarao et al. [5]. The deficiency of micronutrient may emerge when the supply of micronutrients to the soil is less compared to removal through crop harvest which in turn limits crop productivity Shukla et al. [6]. In severe deficiency conditions, the yield loss could reach as high as 100% due to omission of micronutrients in the cropping system. Yield loss with omission of Zn fertilization was reported as 10 % in India Shukla et al. [6]. Cultivars differ in their ability to take up Zn, which may be caused by differences in zinc translocation and utilization, differential accumulation of nutrients that interact with Zn and differences in plant roots to exploit for soil Zn Tisdale et al. [7].

Keeping all the above facts in view, the present investigation was undertaken with the objectives to study the Effect of "Zinc Dynamics and Yield Sustainability in Soybean (Glycine max L. Merrill) under Various Zinc Application Frequencies on Typic Haplusterts"

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment entitled to study the Effect of "Zinc Dynamics and Yield Sustainability in Soybean (Glycine max L. Merrill) under Various Zinc Application Frequencies on Typic Haplusterts" was undertaken during the Kharif during 2016-17 ,207-18 and 2019-20 at Experimental Farm Unit, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola**.** The site is situated in the sub-tropical region at 22⁰42' North latitude and 77⁰ 02' East longitude and at an altitude of 307.42 m above mean sea level.

Initial composite soil sample was collected from experimental site and analyzed for soil properties. The experimental site was slightly alkaline in reaction (8.26), non-saline (0.29 dS m-¹), medium in organic carbon (5.30 g kg^{-1}) , calcareous in nature (8.13%), low in available N $(216.2 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1})$, low in available P $(14.17 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1})$, very high in available K $(346.2 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1})$, deficient in available S $(9.83 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ and sufficient in DTPA - Fe, Cu , Mn and deficient in Zn (mg kg-1).The zinc deficient site was selected and the experiment was laid as per schedule. The soybean crop was sown as per recommended practices. The zinc was applied as per treatment in the first year, alternate year and every year. In addition, zero-Zn control was also set up in three replicated plots. The same amount of nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P) and potassium (K) fertilizers were applied a per the recommended rates for soyabean in all experimental plots. The recommended rates of N, P_2O_5 and K_2O for soyabean are 30:75:30 Kg ha⁻¹ respectively. All

Treatment No	Rate of Zn Application to soybean Crop (Kg Zn ha ⁻¹)	Frequency of Zn Application to soybean Crop	
T_1	$Zn0 - 2.5$		
T ₂	$Zn_1 - 5.0$	Once in Six Year	
T_3	$Zn2-7.5$		
$\frac{T_4}{T_5}$	$Zn3$ -10.0		
	$Zn0 - 2.5$		
T_6	$Zn_1 - 5.0$	Alternate Year of Zinc Application	
T ₇	$Zn2-7.5$		
$\frac{T_8}{T_9}$	$Zn3$ -10.0		
	$Zn0 - 2.5$		
T_{10}	$Zn_1 - 5.0$	Every Year of Zinc Application	
T_{11}	$Zn2-7.5$		
T_{12}	$Zn3$ -10.0		
T_{13}	$Zn0 -0.0$	No Zinc Applied	

Chart 1. Experimental details

P and K and half of N were applied as basal at the time of sowing of soyabean. The remaining of N was top dressed in two equal doses. A basal application of Zn was made through ZnSO47H2O (Zn 21%) at 2.5, 5.0, 7.5, 10.0 kg Zn ha-1. The Zn was broadcast into Plots and incorporated into soil with ploughing at the time of sowing.The crop was harvested and collected for analysis. The treatment wise soil samples were collected for analysis. The samples were air dried and then oven dried at 65°C. The treatment wise samples were ground by using grinding mill and stored with proper labelling in brown paper bags. The powered samples were used for the analysis of N, P, K, S and micronutrients. Di-acid digested samples were use for estimation of nitrogen content by using micro Kjeldahl's method phosphorous by Vanadomolybdate method, potassium by using Flame Photometer Jackson, [8] sulphur was estimated from di-acid extract turbidimetrically using Spectrophotometer Chesnin and Yien, [9] and micro nutrients by using AAS Issac and Kerber, [10]. The test of statistically significance of the experimental data was carried out as per procedure described by Panse and Sukhatme [11].

3. RESULTS AND DISSCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Zinc Fertilization on Yield

The data pertaining to soyabean seed and stover yield as influenced by various treatments are presented in Table 1. It was found that significantly highest seed yield $(28.23 q ha⁻¹)$ was recorded with treatment T_7 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ soil application in alternate year, whereas this was found at par with soil application of 10 kg of Zn ha⁻¹ of alternate year of application. However

lowest grain yield was observed with treatment T_{13} i.e. control. Straw yield was also significantly improved with application of Zn. Data presented in Table 1 has shown that significantly maximum straw yield was found with alternate year soil application of treatment T_7 is 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹, which was at par with alternate year soil application of 10 kg of Zn ha⁻¹. How ever lowest straw yield was observed with treatment T_{13} i.e. control. In this alternate year of soil application is 7.5 kg Zn ha $^{-1}$ was found significantly higher as compare to other treatments.

According to results it was observed alternate year of zinc application was getting maximum grain and straw yield as compare to every year soil application. These means no need to apply Zn fertilisers every year, alternate year application is sufficient to get maximum crop yield. Similar finding was also reported by Kanse et al. [12] stated that one application of 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ proved highest yield in soybean followed by 1 and 5 kg Zn ha⁻¹. Our results are in agreement with those of Ghasemian et al*.* [13]. They noticed that 40 kg ha $^{-1}$ Zn and Mn led to the highest seed yield $(3397 \text{ and } 3367 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1})$ and biological yield $(7447$ and 7387 kg ha⁻¹), respectively. The highest numbers of grain and seed weight per plant, pod number of soybean were registered at 40 kg ha⁻¹ of Zn and Mn. revealed that the $Zn + Fe$ combined treatment produced highest seed yield of soybean (1575 kg ha-1) and the maximum number of pods per plant (36.36). Number of pods per plant showed positive and significant correlation with number of seed per pod (r=0.498) and 1000-seed weight (r=0.588). Furthermore, number of seed per pod had positive significant correlation (r=0.615) with 1000-grain yield of soybean. The interaction of

fertilizer and time of fertilizer application showed that Fe treatment at the beginning of flowering produced maximum number of seed per pod (2.36), maximum 1000 grain weight was found at 10 leaf stage (168.3 g), Zn+Fe combination treatment produced maximum 1000-grain weight.

3.2 Effect of Zinc Fertilization on Macronutrient Uptake

Significant improvement Nitrogen, Phosphorous, potassium and sulphur uptake Was observed with soil application of Zn. Data presented in Table 2 has shown that highest NPK and sulphur uptake (233.81, 26.40, 95.09 and 25.10 kg ha-1) was observed with treatments of alternate year soil application of treatment T_7 7.5 Kg Zn ha-1 of T_7 and found at par with 10 Kg Zn ha⁻¹ of T_{10} alternate year application. Lakshmi et al. [14] stated that with the phasing of zinc application as regular application, alternate year application and only first year application of zinc and study revealed that zinc fertilization shown the improvement in uptake of zinc in rice- wheat cropping system. Similar to this Rohini.V et al. [15] they stated that pot culture experiment at Nagarjun Akola on swell Shrink soil and results showed that application of zinc $@$ 4.5 kg ha⁻¹ increased the uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by soybean. Alternate year application of zinc shown improvement potassium and sulphur uptake this is might be due to synergetic effect of Zn with potassium and sulphur. Similar finding was also reported by Tiwari, Nigam and Pathak [16] they stated that zinc application increased the uptake of potassium and sulphur. There is positive and significant correlation between potassium and added zinc fertilizers.

3.3 Effect of Zinc Fertilization on Micronutrient Uptake

Soil application of Zn also found effective with improvement in micronutrients uptake. Data presented in Table 3. Stated that alternate year soil application of treatment T_7 7.5 kg of Zn ha-1 show highest significant improvement in Zn, Fe, Cu and Mn uptake $(119.98 \text{ g} \text{ ha}^{-1}, 512.21 \text{ g} \text{ ha}^{-1},$ 168.90 g ha⁻¹ and 330.40 g ha⁻¹ respectively) and was found at par with treatment 10 kg Zn ha⁻¹ soil application alternate year and the lowest micronutrient uptake was found in T_{13} that was control. Soil application of zinc has positive and significant effect in increased in micronutrients uptake in plants although there was and some antagonistic effect. Sial et al. [17] reported that

micronutrients uptake (Fe, Zn Mn, and Cu) was enhanced with zinc application. Similar finding was also reported by Rehman [18]. Kobraee et al. [19] reported that the application of micronutrient such as Zn, Fe and Mn increased the Zn content in different plant part. Zn application $@$ 0, 20 and 40 kg ha⁻¹ increased Zn content in seed by 21.7, 32.6 and 40.3 mg $kg⁻¹$, respectively in soybean in silty clay soil.

3.4 Zinc Fractions After Harvest of Soybean

In the results of zinc fraction, it was clearly observed that increased soil application of Zn also increased the availability of different forms of zinc in soil. In every year soil application of zinc Maximum availability of water soluble zinc, Exchangeable zinc, Carbonate bound zinc, Fe-Mn bound zinc and Residual zinc were noted.

Zinc exists in soil in various forms which affect its bioavailability to plants. The availability of Zn in water soluble and exchangeable form (Table 4) was recorded in the range of 0.24 to 1.03 mg kg -1 , carbonate bound zinc was found between 1.42-2.17, Fe-Mn oxide bound zinc noticed between 11.30 to 19.45 mg kg -1 , organically bound zinc (Table 5) found in the range 3.22 to 6.76 mg kg-1 and residual zinc in (Table 6) in range between 48.36 to 83.17 mg kg ⁻¹ and total zinc 64.72 to 112.58 mg kg⁻¹. Various form of Zn found to increase with increasing levels of applied Zn, while the fractions of Zn found lowest in control. The data pertaining to Table. 7 indicated that organic carbon, nitrogen, potassium and copper were positively correlated with different form of zinc present in soil. Whereas organic carbon, nitrogen, potassium and sulphur was significantly correlated. However phosphorous and iron were negatively correlated with different forms of Zn present in soil.

Similar finding was also reported by Neilsen et al. [20] stated that organic carbon content was positively and significantly correlated with different forms of zinc present in soil. Pal et al., [21] reported that organically bound zinc was positively and significantly correlated with organic carbon and clay content in soil. Similarly, P. Veerangappa et al. [22] stated that soil available N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S, Zn, Cu, Mn, Fe was positively and significantly correlated with water soluble $+$ exchangeable zinc, organically bound zinc, residual zinc and total zinc and negatively Fe and Mn oxide bound zinc.

Treatments	Grain Yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (q ha ⁻¹)
-1 T_{1} – 2.5 kg Zn ha	22.66	33.49
T_{2} – 5.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	23.45	34.52
T_{3} – 7.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	23.79	35.32
T ₄ –10.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	24.26	36.14
T_{5} – 2.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	25.01	37.14
T_{6} – 5.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	25.88	38.28
T_{7} – 7.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	28.23	40.96
$T_{\rm g}$ –10.0kg Zn ha $^{-1}$	27.51	39.63
T_g – 2.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	24.69	36.05
T_{10} – 5.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	25.50	37.34
T_{11} – 7.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	26.51	38.36
T_{12} – 10.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	24.83	36.39
T_{13} – Control	21.38	31.67
$S.E.(m) +$	0.86	0.66
CD at 5 %	1.08	1.94

Table 1. Yield of Grain and straw of soybean as influenced by various treatments (Pooled mean)

Treatments	Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)	Phosphorous (kg ha ⁻¹)	Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)	Sulphur (kg ha ⁻¹)
T_{1} – 2.5 kg Zn ha	170.12	24.43	58.90	14.81
T_{2} – 5.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	179.01	25.13	62.84	16.00
T_{3} – 7.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	186.60	25.00	65.24	17.52
T ₄ –10.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	192.56	25.49	68.19	18.56
T_{5} – 2.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	195.94	25.19	72.32	19.27
T_{6} – 5.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	208.77	25.49	77.45	21.64
T_{7} – 7.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	233.81	26.40	95.09	25.10
T ₈ –10.0 kg Zn ha $^{-1}$	22352	25.51	87.61	23.13
T_g – 2.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	194.68	22.43	69.18	17.76
T_{10} – 5.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	206.61	22.60	74.17	20.22
T_{11} – 7.5 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	219.43	22.64	82.03	21.84
T_{12} – 10.0 kg Zn ha ⁻¹	205.61	20.82	73.72	20.75
T_{13} – Control	156.01	18.86	52.21	12.61
$S.E.(m) +$	0.76	0.25	1.53	0.34
CD at 5 %	2.18	0.72	2.17	1.00

Table 2. Total N,P,K and S uptake after harvest soybean as influenced by various treatments (Pooled mean)

Treatments	Zn (g ha ⁻¹)	Fe $(g \text{ ha}^{-1})$	Cu (g ha $^{-1}$)	Mn $(g \text{ ha}^{-1})$
\mathbf{T}_1	73.15	453.99	153.73	284.03
T_2	78.80	464.09	155.45	285.86
T3	84.61	463.85	154.30	284.70
Τ4	90.18	458.85	154.38	287.51
Τ5	90.18	495.81	163.67	306.32
T6	99.04	492.78	165.64	311.84
T_7	119.98	512.21	168.90	330.40
${\sf T}_8$	118.30	496.19	166.45	312.44
T9	98.90	456.23	142.20	283.90
$\mathsf{T}_{\mathtt{10}}$	107.79	459.76	142.32	285.90
T ₁₁	118.08	454.15	138.10	282.07
T_{12}	110.01	419.04	125.38	260.22
T_{13}	67.54	364.76	126.68	237.66
S.E. (m) $+$	2.38	9.61	3.23	5.56
CD at $5%$	6.94	28.04	9.44	16.24

Table 3. Total Zn and Fe uptake after harvest of soybean as influenced by various treatments (Pooled mean)

Table 4. WS + Exch. and Carbonates bound zinc fraction after harvest of soybean as influenced by various treatments of exchangeable zinc fraction (mg kg-1) after harvest of Cotton

Hadole et al.; Asian J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutri., vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 354-365, 2024; Article no.AJSSPN.112769

Table 5. Fe-Mn oxide and organically bound zinc fraction after harvest of soybean as influenced by various treatments exchangeable zinc fraction (mg kg-1) after harvest of Cotton

Treatments		Residual zinc (mg kg-1)			Total zinc (mg kg^{-1})		
	2015-16	2017-18	2019-20	2015-16	2017-18	2019-20	
T ₁	53.59	54.27	54.59	70.92	71.57	72.00	
T ₂	54.15	55.40	55.72	72.90	74.41	74.93	
T ₃	55.70	56.71	57.16	76.09	77.31	78.02	
T ₄	55.92	56.57	57.34	76.80	77.73	78.89	
T ₅	56.29	57.10	57.81	75.43	77.13	78.60	
T_6	58.64	60.87	61.76	79.19	82.63	84.20	
T ₇	61.33	63.79	65.84	83.47	88.23	91.30	
T_8	63.13	65.20	67.11	84.91	90.06	93.17	
T_9	63.29	66.84	67.39	85.80	90.72	92.45	
T_{10}	65.14	67.39	68.52	88.93	93.41	95.27	
T_{11}	70.93	72.14	73.64	96.80	99.63	102.19	
T_{12}	79.12	81.53	83.17	105.3	109.94	112.58	
T_{13}	48.36	48.80	49.43	64.72	65.06	65.69	
$S.E.(m) +$	1.60	1.23	1.28	1.37	1.36	1.44	
CD at $5%$	4.67	3.60	3.75	4.17	3.97	4.19	

Table 6. Residual and total zinc fraction after harvest of soybean as influenced by various treatments

Table 7. Correlation coefficients (r) between Zn fractions and soil properties

Hadole et al.; Asian J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutri., vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 354-365, 2024; Article no.AJSSPN.112769

Table 8. Correlation coefficients (r) among different Zn fractions

The data pertaining to Table. 8, stated that all the forms of zinc are positively and significantly correlated with each other's. This results suggest there is dynamic equilibrium amongst the different soil Zn fractions reported by Bahera et al. [23] Nadaf et al. [24] also reported that there is positive and significant.

4. CONCLUSION

Significantly highest grain and straw yield, total uptake of macro and micronutrients and maximum availability of different forms of zinc was recorded with treatment T_7 is 7.5 kg Zn ha⁻¹ of alternate year over other treatments but at par with treatment T_{10} is 10 kg Zn ha⁻¹. The Zn fertilization at higher rates and frequencies increased the Zn availability, productivity and sustainability of the cotton-soybean cropping system on a Zn deficient Typic Haplusterts. The optimum Zn application rate for obtaining higher system sustainability with maximum possible economic returns. Results indicated that insufficient or excessive Zn fertilization led to productivity and economic loss. These findings provide an insight into aspects (productivity, sustainability, profitability, and environmental risk) that are of substantial importance in achieving food security and sustainability goals. Further studies are needed to study the relationship of soil applied Zn with the availability of other nutrients and screening Zn-responsive cultivars for different crops. These interventions will further enhance the crop Zn utilization efficiency, hence, more economically viable and
environmentally sound Cotton-soyabean sound Cotton-soyabean cropping system with long-term yield sustainability.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Singh C, Singh P, Singh R. Modern techniques of raising field crops, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. 2003; 273.
- 2. Kim, Robetro Lozano, Ji Hong Kim. The patterns of deleterious mutations during the domestication of soybean. Nature communications. 2021;12:97.
- 3. Agricultural market intelligence centre. Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural Soybean Outlook; 2021.
- 4. Pable DB Patil, Deshmukh PW. Effect of sulphur and zinc on yield and quality of soybean. An Asian Journal of soil science. 2010;315-317.
- 5. Srinivasarao CH, Vitthal KPR, Venkateswarlu B, Wani SP, Saharwat KL, Marimuthu S, Sumanta Kundu. Carbon stocks in different soil types under diverse rainfed production systems in tropical India. Comm. Soil Sci. Pl. Anal. 2002;40: 2338-2356.
- 6. Shukla AK, Meena MC, Tiwari PK, Prakash C, Singh P, Tagore GS, Rai HK, Patra AK. Current status of micronutrient deficiencies in soils and crop-specific recommendations for different agroclimatic zones of Madhya Pradesh. Indian J. Fert. 2009;12:26-35.
- 7. Tisdale S, Nelson WL, Beaton JD, Havlin JL. Soil Fertility and Fertilizers. (5. ed.). MacMillan Publishing Company. New York USA; 1993.
- 8. Jackson WA, Flesher D, Hageman RH. Nitrate uptake by dark-grown corn seedlings: some characteristics of apparent induction. Plant Physiology. 1973;51(1):120-127.
- 9. Chesnin L, Yien CH. Turbidimetric determination of available sulfates. Soil Science Society of America Journal. 1951; 15(C):149-151.
- 10. Isaac RA, Kerber JD. Atomic absorption and flame photometry: Techniques and uses in soil, plant, and water analysis. Instrumental methods for ana lysis of soils and plant tissue.1971;17-37.
- 11. Panse VG, Sukhantme PV. Statistical method of Agricultural. Indian council of Agricultural research, New Delhi; 1985.
- 12. Kanase N, Jadhao SM, Konde NM, Patil JD. Response of soybean to application of zinc. Agric. Sci. Digest. 2008;28:63-64.
- 13. Ghasemian Vahid, Amir Ghalavand and Ali Soroosh Zadeh. The effect of Fe, Zn and Mn on quality and quntity of soybean seed. J. Phytology. 2010;11:73-79.
- 14. Lakshmi PV, Singh SK, Pramanick B, Kumar M, Laik R, Kumari A, Shukla AK, Latef A, Ali O.M, Hossain A. Long term zinc fertilization in calcareous soils improves Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L) productivity and soil status in Rice-Wheat cropping system. J. Agro. 2021;11(7):1306.
- 15. Rohini V, Katkar RN, Satyanarayana E, Payal B, Hadke, Kharche VK, Jadhao SD, Walke RD. International J Chemical Studies. 2020;8(4):3795-3799.
- 16. Tiwari KN, Nigam V, Pathak AN. Effect of potassium and zinc applications on drymatter production and nutrient uptake by potato variety 'Kufrichandramukhi' (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in an alluvial soil of Uttar Pradesh. Plant and Soil. 1982;65 (1):141-147.
- 17. Sial NA, Memon MY, Abro SA, Shah JA, Depar N, Abbas M. Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria (*Bacillous megatherium*) and phosphate fertilizer on yield and yield components of wheat. Pak. J. Bio technol. 2015;12(1):35-40.
- 18. Rehman. Zinc nutrition in wheat-based cropping systems. Plant and Soil. 1980; 422(1):283-315.
- 19. Kobraee S, Normohamadi G, Heidarisharifabad H, Delkhush B. The important micronutrient fertilizer on soybean nutrient composition. Indian J. Sci. Tech. 2011;4:26-29.
- 20. Neilsen D, Hoyt PB, Mackenzie AF. Distribution of soil zinc fractions in British Columbia orchard soils. Can. J. Soil Sci. 1986;66:445-454.
- 21. Pal AK, Das PR, Patnaik SK and Mandal B. Zinc fraction in some rice growing soils of Orissa. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science.1997;45:734-738.
- 22. Veeranagappa P, Prakasha HC, Vijay Mahanthesh, Ashoka KR, Mahendra Kumar MB, Nagaraj R. Impact of zinc enriched compost on availability of zinc and zinc fractions, nutrients uptake and yield of rice. Advance Research Journal of Crop Improvement. 2011;2(2): 203-207.
- 23. Bahera KS, Singh D, Dwivedi BS, Singh S, Kumar K, Rana DS. Distribution of fractions of zinc and their contribution towards availability and plant uptake of zinc under long term maize and wheat cropping system on an Inceptisols; 2008.
- 24. Nadaf SA, Chidanandappa HM. Effect of zinc and boron application on distribution and contribution of zinc fractions to the total uptake of zinc by groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) in sandy loam soils of Karnataka, India. Legume Research. 2015; 38(5):598-602

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/112769

[©] Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.