



Fixed Point Theorems for Kannan Interpolative, Riech Interpolative and Dass-Gupta Interpolative Rational type Contractions in A-Metric Spaces

Sheetal Yadav ^{a*}, Manoj Ughade ^b, Deepak Singh ^c
and Manoj Kumar Shukla ^b

^a Department of Mathematics, Mata Gujri Mahila Mahavidhyala (Auto), Jabalpur-482001, Madhya Pradesh, India.

^b Department of Mathematics, Institute for Excellence in Higher Education (IEHE), Bhopal-462016, Madhya Pradesh, India.

^c Department of Mathematics, Swami Vivekanand University, Sagar-470001, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJOM/2024/v20i2782

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113623>

Original Research Article

Received: 13/12/2023

Accepted: 23/02/2024

Published: 28/02/2024

Abstract

(λ, α) -interpolative Kannan contraction, (λ, α, β) -interpolative Kannan contraction, $(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ -interpolative Riech contraction and (λ, α, β) -interpolative Dass-Gupta rational contraction are presented in this study. Furthermore, we prove a few fixed-point theorems for interpolative contractions in complete A-metric spaces. These theorems also extend and apply to an A-metric setting several interesting results from metric fixed-point theory.

*Corresponding author: Email: yadavsheetalp29@gmail.com;

Keywords: fixed-point; interpolative contraction; A-metric spaces.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46T99, 46N40, 47H10.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Fixed point theory is a fascinating field of research in analysis and topology. In 1922, Banach [1] proposed an important result that became known as the Banach contraction principle. Its relevance to metric fixed-point theory was investigated. Let (\mathfrak{D}, d) be a full metric space and Y a self-map on a nonempty set D . If there exists a constant $c \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Y\sigma, Y\zeta) \leq c d(\sigma, \zeta), \text{ for all } \sigma, \zeta \in \mathfrak{D}, \tag{1}$$

then it possesses a unique fixed point in D . The Banach contraction principle was then widely generalized in the literature (see [2,3]). Both pure and applied mathematics make extensive use of it. Kannan [4] defined a new variation of this theory in 1968 and eliminated the continuity condition from it.

Theorem 1.1 (see [4]). *Let (\mathfrak{D}, d) be a complete metric space and a self-map $Y: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a Kannan contraction mapping, i.e.,*

$$d(Y\sigma, Y\zeta) \leq k[d(\sigma, Y\sigma) + d(\zeta, Y\zeta)], \tag{2}$$

for all $\sigma, \zeta \in \mathfrak{D}$, where $k \in [0, 1/2)$. Then, Y admits a unique fixed point in \mathfrak{D} .

The idea of b-metric space, which is a generalization of the well-known Banach contraction mapping principle, was first presented by Bakhtin [5] in 1989. In 1993, Czerwik [6,7] expanded on the idea of b-metric space. “Kannan fixed-point theorem is the first significant variant of the outstanding result of Banach on the metric fixed-point theory” [1].

The concept of A-metric space was first developed by Abbas et al. [8] in 2015.

Definition 1.2 (see [1]) Let \mathfrak{D} be a nonempty set. A mapping $A: \mathfrak{D}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is called an A-metric on \mathfrak{D} if and only if for all $\sigma_i, a \in \mathfrak{D}, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$: the following conditions hold:

- (A1). $A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n) \geq 0$,
- (A2). $A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n) = 0$ if and only if $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_{n-1} = \sigma_n$,
- (A3). $A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n) \leq A(\sigma_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_1, \dots, (\sigma_1)_{n-1}, a) + A(\sigma_2, \sigma_2, \sigma_2, \dots, (\sigma_2)_{n-1}, a) + A(\sigma_3, \sigma_3, \sigma_3, \dots, (\sigma_3)_{n-1}, a) + \dots + A(\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, (\sigma_{n-1})_{n-1}, a) + A(\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, (\sigma_n)_{n-1}, a]$

The pair (\mathfrak{D}, A) is called an A-metric space.

The following is the intuitive geometric example for A-metric spaces.

Example 1.3 (see [8]) Let $\mathfrak{D} = [1, +\infty)$. Define $A: \mathfrak{D}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i < j} |\sigma_i - \sigma_j|$$

for all $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{D}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Example 1.4 (see [8]) Let $\mathfrak{D} = \mathbb{R}$. Define $A: \mathfrak{D}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n) &= |\sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i - (n-1)\sigma_1| \\
 &+ |\sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i - (n-2)\sigma_2| + \dots \\
 &+ |\sum_{i=1}^{n-3} \sigma_i - 3\sigma_{n-3}| \\
 &+ |\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \sigma_i - 2\sigma_{n-2}| \\
 &+ |\sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1}|
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{D}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 1.5 (see [8]) Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be an A -metric space. Then for all $\sigma, \zeta \in \mathfrak{D}$,

$$A(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma, \dots, (\sigma)_{n-1}, \zeta) = A(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta, \dots, (\zeta)_{n-1}, \sigma)$$

Lemma 1.6 (see [8]) Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be an A -metric space. Then for all $\sigma, \zeta, z \in \mathfrak{D}$,

$$A(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma, \dots, (\sigma)_{n-1}, z) \leq (n-1)A(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma, \dots, (\sigma)_{n-1}, \zeta) + A(z, z, z, \dots, (z)_{n-1}, \zeta)$$

and

$$A(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma, \dots, (\sigma)_{n-1}, z) \leq (n-1)A(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma, \dots, (\sigma)_{n-1}, \zeta) + A(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta, \dots, (\zeta)_{n-1}, z)$$

Lemma 1.7 (see [8]) Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be an A -metric space. Then $(\mathfrak{D} \times \mathfrak{D}, d_A)$ is an A -metric space on $\mathfrak{D} \times \mathfrak{D}$, where d_A is given by for all $\sigma_i, \zeta_j \in \mathfrak{D}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$:

$$d_A((\sigma_1, \zeta_1), (\sigma_2, \zeta_2), (\sigma_3, \zeta_3), \dots, (\sigma_n, \zeta_n)) = A(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_n) + A(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \dots, \zeta_n).$$

Definition 1.7 (see [8]) Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be an A -metric space. Then

1. A sequence $\{\sigma_k\}$ is called convergent to σ in (\mathfrak{D}, A) if $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} A(\sigma_k, \sigma_k, \sigma_k, \dots, (\sigma_k)_{n-1}, \sigma) = 0$. That is, for each $\epsilon \geq 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq n_0$, $A(\sigma_k, \sigma_k, \sigma_k, \dots, (\sigma_k)_{n-1}, \sigma) \leq \epsilon$ and we write $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_k = \sigma$.
2. A sequence $\{\sigma_k\}$ is called Cauchy in (\mathfrak{D}, A) if $\lim_{k, m \rightarrow +\infty} A(\sigma_k, \sigma_k, \sigma_k, \dots, (\sigma_k)_{n-1}, \sigma_m) = 0$. That is, for each $\epsilon \geq 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k, m \geq n_0$, $A(\sigma_k, \sigma_k, \sigma_k, \dots, (\sigma_k)_{n-1}, \sigma_m) \leq \epsilon$.
3. (\mathfrak{D}, A) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in (\mathfrak{D}, A) is a convergent.

Lemma 1.8 (see [8]) Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be an A -metric space. If the sequence $\{\sigma_k\}$ in \mathfrak{D} converges to σ , then σ is unique.

Lemma 1.9 (see [8]) Every convergent sequence in A -metric space (\mathfrak{D}, A) is a Cauchy sequence.

This study defines and discusses Kannan, Riech, and Dass-Gupta rational types interpolative contraction within the context of A -metric space. Furthermore, the concept of interpolation is used to establish a few popular fixed-point results. These theorems also extend and apply to an A -metric setting a number of interesting results from metric fixed-point theory (see [4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]).

2 Main Results

We begin by defining the terms below.

Definition 2.1 Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be an A -metric space. A mapping $Y: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is called a (λ, α) -interpolative Kannan contraction, if there exist $\lambda \in [0, 1), \alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$A\left(\underbrace{Y\sigma, Y\sigma, \dots, Y\sigma}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta\right) \leq \lambda \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma, \sigma, \dots, \sigma}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma\right) \right)^\alpha \left(A\left(\underbrace{\zeta, \zeta, \dots, \zeta}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta\right) \right)^{1-\alpha} \tag{3}$$

for all $\sigma, \zeta \in \mathfrak{D}$, with $\sigma \neq \zeta$.

Definition 2.2 Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be an A-metric space. A mapping $Y: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is called a (λ, α, β) -interpolative Kannan contraction, if there exist $\lambda \in [0,1), \alpha, \beta \in (0,1), \alpha + \beta < 1$ such that

$$A\left(\underbrace{Y\sigma, Y\sigma, \dots, Y\sigma}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta\right) \leq \lambda \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma, \sigma, \dots, \sigma}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma\right) \right)^\alpha \left(A\left(\underbrace{\zeta, \zeta, \dots, \zeta}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta\right) \right)^\beta \tag{4}$$

for all $\sigma, \zeta \in \mathfrak{D}$, with $\sigma \neq \zeta$.

Definition 2.3 Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be an A-metric space. A mapping $Y: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is called a $(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ -interpolative Reich contraction, if there exist $\lambda \in [0,1), \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (0,1), \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that

$$A\left(\underbrace{Y\sigma, Y\sigma, \dots, Y\sigma}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta\right) \leq \lambda \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma, \sigma, \dots, \sigma}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta\right) \right)^\alpha \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma, \sigma, \dots, \sigma}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma\right) \right)^\beta \left(A\left(\underbrace{\zeta, \zeta, \dots, \zeta}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta\right) \right)^\gamma \tag{5}$$

for all $\sigma, \zeta \in \mathfrak{D}$, with $\sigma \neq \zeta$.

Definition 2.4 Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be an A-metric space. A mapping $Y: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is (λ, α, β) -interpolative Dass-Gupta rational contraction, if there exist $\lambda \in [0,1), \alpha, \beta \in (0,1), \alpha + \beta < 1$ such that

$$A\left(\underbrace{Y\sigma, Y\sigma, \dots, Y\sigma}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta\right) \leq \lambda \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma, \sigma, \dots, \sigma}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta\right) \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\left[1 + A\left(\underbrace{\sigma, \sigma, \dots, \sigma}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma\right) \right] A\left(\underbrace{\zeta, \zeta, \dots, \zeta}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta\right)}{1 + A\left(\underbrace{\sigma, \sigma, \dots, \sigma}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta\right)} \right)^\beta \tag{6}$$

for all $\sigma, \zeta \in \mathfrak{D}$, with $\sigma \neq \zeta$.

Our first main result as follows.

Theorem 2.5 Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be a complete A-metric space. Let $Y: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a (λ, α) -interpolative Kannan contraction. Then, Y admits a unique fixed point in \mathfrak{D} .

Proof. Let $\sigma_0 \in \mathfrak{D}$ be initial point. Define $\sigma_{n+1} = Y\sigma_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, if $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\sigma_{n_0+1} = \sigma_{n_0}$, then $Y\sigma_{n_0} = \sigma_{n_0}$, and the proof is finished. Thus, we suppose that $\sigma_{n+1} \neq \sigma_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) &= A\left(\underbrace{Y\sigma_{n-1}, Y\sigma_{n-1}, \dots, Y\sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma_n\right) \\ &\leq \lambda \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma_{n-1}\right) \right)^\alpha \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma_n\right) \right)^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \lambda \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n\right) \right)^\alpha \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) \right)^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality gives

$$\left(A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) \right)^\alpha \leq \lambda \left(A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \right)^\alpha \tag{7}$$

Since $\alpha < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) &\leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \\ &\leq \lambda A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) &\leq \lambda A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-2}, \dots, \sigma_{n-2}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n-1} \right) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \lambda^n A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_0}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_1 \right) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n < m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_m \right) &\leq (n-1) A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) + A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_m, \sigma_m, \dots, \sigma_m}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) \\ &= (n-1) A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) + A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \dots, \sigma_{n+1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_m \right) \\ &\leq (n-1) A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) + (n-1) A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \dots, \sigma_{n+1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+2} \right) \\ &\quad + A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_m, \sigma_m, \dots, \sigma_m}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+2} \right) \\ &\leq (n-1) A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) + (n-1) A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \dots, \sigma_{n+1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+2} \right) \\ &\quad + A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n+2}, \sigma_{n+2}, \dots, \sigma_{n+2}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_m \right) \\ &\leq (n-1) A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) + (n-1) A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}, \dots, \sigma_{n+1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+2} \right) + \dots \\ &\quad + (n-1) A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{m-2}, \sigma_{m-2}, \dots, \sigma_{m-2}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{m-1} \right) + A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{m-1}, \sigma_{m-1}, \dots, \sigma_{m-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_m \right) \\ &\leq (n-1) [\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda^{m-2}] A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_0}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_1 \right) + \lambda^{m-2} A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_0}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_1 \right) \\ &\leq (n-1) [\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda^{m-2} + \dots] A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_0}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (n-1)[\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1} + \dots + \lambda^{m-2} + \dots]A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_0}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_1\right) \\ &\leq (n-1)\frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda}A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_0}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_1\right) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_m\right) = 0 \tag{9}$$

Thus, the sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ is Cauchy in the complete A-metric space (\mathfrak{D}, A) . So, there is some $\sigma^* \in \mathfrak{D}$. So that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma^*\right) = 0; \tag{10}$$

that is, $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, we will prove that $\sigma^* \in \mathfrak{D}$ is a fixed point of Y . By (3) and condition (A3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma^*\right) &\leq (n-1)A\left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) + A\left(\underbrace{Y\sigma^*, Y\sigma^*, \dots, Y\sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) \\ &= (n-1)A\left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) + A\left(\underbrace{Y\sigma^*, Y\sigma^*, \dots, Y\sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma_n\right) \\ &\leq (n-1)A\left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) + \lambda \left(\left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma^* \right) \right)^\alpha \left(\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma_n \right) \right)^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq (n-1)A\left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) + \lambda \left(\left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma^* \right) \right)^\alpha \left(\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) \right)^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$A\left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma^*\right) = 0 \tag{12}$$

This yields that $\sigma^* = Y\sigma^*$. Now, we prove the uniqueness of σ^* . Let ζ^* be another fixed point of Y in \mathfrak{D} , then $Y\zeta^* = \zeta^*$. Now, by (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^*\right) &= A\left(\underbrace{Y\sigma^*, Y\sigma^*, \dots, Y\sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta^*\right) \\ &\leq \lambda \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma^*\right) \right)^\alpha \left(A\left(\underbrace{\zeta^*, \zeta^*, \dots, \zeta^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta^*\right) \right)^{1-\alpha} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

This yields that $\sigma^* = \zeta^*$. It completes the proof.

Theorem 2.6 Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be a complete A-metric space. Let $Y: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a (λ, α, β) -interpolative Kannan contraction. Then, Y admits a unique fixed point in \mathfrak{D} .

Proof Following the steps of proof of Theorem 2.5, we construct the sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ by iterating

$$\sigma_{n+1} = Y\sigma_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\sigma_0 \in \mathfrak{D}$ is arbitrary starting point. Then, by (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) &= A\left(\underbrace{Y\sigma_{n-1}, Y\sigma_{n-1}, \dots, Y\sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma_n\right) \\ &\leq \lambda \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma_{n-1}\right) \right)^\alpha \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma_n\right) \right)^\beta \\ &= \lambda \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n\right) \right)^\alpha \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) \right)^\beta \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha < 1 - \beta$, the last inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) \right)^{1-\beta} &\leq \lambda \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n\right) \right)^\alpha \\ &\leq \lambda \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n\right) \right)^{1-\beta} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) &\leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n\right) \\ &\leq \lambda A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n\right) \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1}\right) &\leq \lambda A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n\right) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-2}, \dots, \sigma_{n-2}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n-1}\right) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \lambda^n A\left(\underbrace{\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_0}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_1\right) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

A fixed-point $\sigma^* \in \mathfrak{D}$ is produced by the classical process, as was previously shown in the proof of Theorem 2.5. Now, we prove the uniqueness of σ^* . Let ζ^* be another fixed point of Y in \mathfrak{D} , then $Y\zeta^* = \zeta^*$. Now, by (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^*\right) &= A\left(\underbrace{Y\sigma^*, Y\sigma^*, \dots, Y\sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta^*\right) \\ &\leq \lambda \left(A\left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma^*\right) \right)^\alpha \left(A\left(\underbrace{\zeta^*, \zeta^*, \dots, \zeta^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta^*\right) \right)^\beta = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

This yields that $\sigma^* = \zeta^*$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.7 Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be a complete A -metric space. Let $Y: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a $(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ -interpolative Reich contraction. Then, Y admits a unique fixed point in \mathfrak{D} .

Proof Following the steps of proof of Theorem 2.5, we construct the sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ by iterating

$$\sigma_{n+1} = Y\sigma_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\sigma_0 \in D$ is arbitrary starting point. Then, by (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\frac{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) &= A\left(\frac{Y\sigma_{n-1}, Y\sigma_{n-1}, \dots, Y\sigma_{n-1}, Y\sigma_n}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \\ &\leq \lambda \left(A\left(\frac{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \right)^\alpha \left(A\left(\frac{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, Y\sigma_{n-1}}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \right)^\beta \left(A\left(\frac{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n, Y\sigma_n}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \right)^\gamma \\ &\leq \lambda \left(A\left(\frac{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \right)^\alpha \left(A\left(\frac{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \right)^\beta \left(A\left(\frac{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \right)^\gamma \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha + \beta < 1 - \gamma$, the last inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(A\left(\frac{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \right)^{1-\gamma} &\leq \lambda \left(A\left(\frac{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \right)^{\alpha+\beta} \\ &\leq \lambda \left(A\left(\frac{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \right)^{1-\gamma} \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\frac{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) &\leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} A\left(\frac{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \\ &\leq \lambda A\left(\frac{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\frac{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1}}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) &\leq \lambda A\left(\frac{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 A\left(\frac{\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-2}, \dots, \sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-1}}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \lambda^n A\left(\frac{\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_0, \sigma_1}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

A fixed-point $\sigma^* \in \mathfrak{D}$ is produced by the classical process, as was previously explained in the proof of Theorem 2.5. We now demonstrate σ^* 's uniqueness. If ζ^* be another fixed point of Y in \mathfrak{D} , then $Y\zeta^* = \zeta^*$. As of (5), we now have

$$A\left(\frac{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*, \zeta^*}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right) = A\left(\frac{Y\sigma^*, Y\sigma^*, \dots, Y\sigma^*, Y\zeta^*}{(n-1) \text{ times}}\right)$$

$$\leq \lambda \left(A \left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^* \right) \right)^\alpha \left(A \left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma^* \right) \right)^\beta \left(A \left(\underbrace{\zeta^*, \zeta^*, \dots, \zeta^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta^* \right) \right)^\gamma = 0 \quad (20)$$

This yields that $\sigma^* = \zeta^*$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.8 Let (\mathfrak{D}, A) be a complete A -metric space. Let $Y: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a (λ, α, β) -interpolative Dass-Gupta rational contraction. Then, Y admits a unique fixed point in \mathfrak{D} .

Proof Following the steps of proof of Theorem 2.5, we construct the sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ by iterating

$$\sigma_{n+1} = Y\sigma_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\sigma_0 \in \mathfrak{D}$ is arbitrary starting point. Then, by (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) &= A \left(\underbrace{Y\sigma_{n-1}, Y\sigma_{n-1}, \dots, Y\sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma_n \right) \\ &\leq \lambda \left(A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\left[1 + A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma_{n-1} \right) \right] A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma_n \right)}{1 + A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right)} \right)^\beta \\ &\leq \lambda \left(A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\left[1 + A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \right] A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right)}{1 + A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right)} \right)^\beta \\ &= \lambda \left(A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \right)^\alpha \left(A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) \right)^\beta \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha + \beta < 1$, the last inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) \right)^{1-\beta} &\leq \lambda \left(A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \right)^\alpha \\ &\leq \lambda \left(A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \right)^{1-\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) &\leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \\ &\leq \lambda A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_n, \sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_n}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n+1} \right) &\leq \lambda A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_n \right) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_{n-2}, \sigma_{n-2}, \dots, \sigma_{n-2}}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_{n-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \dots \leq \lambda^n A \left(\underbrace{\sigma_0, \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_0}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \sigma_1 \right) \tag{22}$$

A fixed-point $\sigma^* \in D$ is produced by the classical process, as was previously shown in the proof of Theorem 2.5. Now, we prove the uniqueness of σ^* . Let ζ^* be another fixed point of Y in D , then $Y\zeta^* = \zeta^*$. Now, by (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^* \right) &= A \left(\underbrace{Y\sigma^*, Y\sigma^*, \dots, Y\sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta^* \right) \\ &\leq \lambda \left(A \left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^* \right) \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\left[1 + A \left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\sigma^* \right) \right] A \left(\underbrace{\zeta^*, \zeta^*, \dots, \zeta^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, Y\zeta^* \right)}{1 + A \left(\underbrace{\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \dots, \sigma^*}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \zeta^* \right)} \right)^\beta \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

This yields that $\sigma^* = \zeta^*$. This completes the proof.

4 Conclusion

In this work, we presented the notion of (λ, α) -interpolative Kannan contraction, (λ, α, β) -interpolative Kannan contraction and $(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ -interpolative Riech contraction and (λ, α, β) -interpolative Dass-Gupta rational contraction. We also demonstrated the existence of fixed points for self-mapping. All of these concepts were introduced using the new framework of A-metric spaces.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

Authors are grateful to referee for their careful review and valuable comments, and remarks to improve this manuscript mathematically as well as graphically.

References

- [1] Banach S. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*. 1922;3:133–181.
- [2] Bakhtin A. The contraction principle in quasi-metric spaces,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*. 1989; 30:26–37.
- [3] Matthews SG. Partial metric topology,” *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 1994;728 (1):183–197.
- [4] Kannan R. Some results on fixed-point s. *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* 1968; 60:71–76.
- [5] Bakhtin A. The contraction mapping principle in almost metric space, *Functional Analysis and its Applications*. 1989; 30:26–37.

- [6] Czerwik S. Contraction mapping in b-metric spaces, *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis*. 1993;1(1):5–11.
- [7] Czerwik S. Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, *Atti del Seminario Matematico e Fisico dell'Università di Modena*. 1998;46(2):263–276.
- [8] Mujahid Abbas, Bashir Ali, Yusuf I Suleiman: Generalized coupled common fixed-point results in partially ordered A-metric spaces, *Fixed-point Theory and Applications*. 2015:64.
DOI: 10.1186/s13663-015-0309-2.
- [9] Reich S. Kannan's fixed-point theorem. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* 1971;(4) 4:1–11.
- [10] Yae Ulrich Gaba E. Karapinar, A new approach to the interpolative contractions, *Axioms*. 2019; 8:1-4.
- [11] Karapinar E. Revisiting the Kannan Type Contractions via Interpolation, *Advances in theory of nonlinear analysis and its applications*. 2018;2(2):8587.
- [12] Dass BK, Gupta S. An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expressions," *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 1975; 6:1455-1458.
- [13] Nazam M, Aydi H, Arshad M. A real generalization of the Dass-Gupta fixed point theorem," *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.* 2020;11(1):109-118.
- [14] Karapinar E. Revisiting the Kannan Type Contractions via Interpolation, *Advances in theory of nonlinear analysis and its applications*. 2018;2(2):8587.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113623>