

Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology

Volume 27, Issue 2, Page 9-14, 2024; Article no.JABB.113172 ISSN: 2394-1081

In vitro Inhibition of Rhizoctonia solani Radial Growth by Native Mycoflora: Implications for Root Rot Disease in Chili

Anupam Kumari^{a++*}, P. K. Jha^{a#} and Anamita Sen^{a++}

^a Department of Plant Pathology and Nematology, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur 848 125, Bihar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JABB/2024/v27i2695

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113172

Original Research Article

Received: 05/12/2023 Accepted: 10/02/2024 Published: 16/02/2024

ABSTRACT

In the course of the study, native bioagents isolated from the rhizosphere of the chili crop were used to manage the soil-borne pathogens *Rhizoctonia solani*. The study was conducted in the laboratory of the Department of Plant Pathology and Nematology, RPCAU, Pusa, Bihar in the year 2020-23. The soil microflora (fungal and bacterial) was isolated from the rhizosphere of Chili and screened *in vitro* by evaluating their antagonistic potential against *Rhizoctonia solani*, and resultantly two fungal and two bacterial isolates were found most effective in inhibiting the mycelial growth of the pathogen over control. The maximum percent inhibition was recorded in the case of *Trichod*erma harzianum (71.98%) followed by *Trichod*erma viride (62.54%) and among the bacterial isolates maximum inhibition was recorded in the case of RB1 that inhibit (69.38%), followed by RB6 (66.42%). Overall, these findings suggest that the combination of *Trichoderma*

⁺⁺ Ph.D Scholar;

[#] Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: anupamplantpatho@gmail.com;

J. Adv. Biol. Biotechnol., vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 9-14, 2024

and *Bacteria* could be an effective and sustainable method for reducing the radial growth of *Rhizoctonia solani* causing Root rot disease in chilli. *Rhizoctonia solani* was established as a causal organism of chili. The use of Bio-control agents is an eco-friendly approach and a good option to manage soil borne phyto-pathogens. These biological control agents either use the mechanism of antibiosis or mycoparasitism against the fungal pathogen. Evaluation of *Trichoderma* spp. and Bacterial isolates against *Rhizoctonia solani* showed that significantly reduced the mycelial growth of *Rhizoctonia solani in vitro*.

Keywords: Bio-control; chili; Rhizoctonia solani; rhizobacteria; trichoderma.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chili peppers, also known as Capsicum annuum are an important crop in agriculture with global production reaching over 36,286,643.77 tons [1]. This crop is widely cultivated and consumed in many parts of the world due to its culinary and medicinal properties. Chili peppers are rich in vitamin C, vitamin A, potassium, fiber, minerals, antioxidants, and other essential nutrients, making them a vital component of a balanced diet [2]. Additionally, they are used in the production of spices, sauces, and other food products contributing significantly to the food industry's economic value. However, chili pepper production is threatened by various diseases caused fungal pathogens including by Rhizoctonia solani which causes root rot disease leading to significant crop losses and a reduction of yield. It was found to record 33.2 percent disease incidence of the seedlings in greenhouse conditions and 40.20 percent in the main field [3]. This pathogen is commonly found in soil and identified as both a seed and soil-borne pathogen [4]. Traditional control methods for this disease involve the use of chemical pesticides which pose a threat to the environment and human health. Therefore, there is an increasing demand for safer and sustainable approaches to manage this disease. As an alternative, the use of bio-control agents such as, Trichoderma, Bacillus, and Pseudomonas isolates has gained popularity in recent years. In the pursuit of environmentally friendly and locally adapted solutions, this study focuses on the in vitro screening of native microflora residing in the rhizosphere, to identify potential bio-control agents against Rhizoctonia solani. Indigenous microflora have demonstrated the capacity to act as antagonists against soilborne pathogens, thereby offering a promising avenue for sustainable disease management [5,6]. The rhizosphere region influenced by root secretions is known to harbor a diverse community of microorganisms, including fungi, which play crucial roles in plant health. Guide the evaluation

process, ensuring the reliable identification of biocontrol candidates [7, 8]. The importance of genetic characterization in understanding the diversity and taxonomy of fungal isolates, is a critical step in elucidating the potential bio-control mechanisms [9]. Through this in vitro screening approach, our study seeks to contribute valuable insights into the identification of indigenous microflora with the potential to mitigate *Rhizoctonia solani,* induced root rot in chili peppers. The outcomes of this research hold promise for the development of sustainable and region-specific strategies for managing root diseases in chili cultivation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Isolation of Pathogen

The roots were thoroughly washed with tap water to eliminate soil particles. Subsequently, roots were cut into small segments, each measuring approximately 0.5 cm, surface sterilization was done using a 0.1% mercuric chloride solution for 1 minute, and then these segments were washed three times with sterilized distilled water before being aseptically transferred to Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium. The plates were then incubated at a temperature of 25 ± 2°C for 3 to facilitate their mycelial growth. davs Purification of the cultures was achieved by using the hyphal tip method [10]. The subsequent analysis involved a comparison of various cultural and morphological characteristics of the isolated pathogen [11]. The isolation of the pathogen from infected chili roots and seedlings was conducted during the experiment [12].

2.2 Isolation of Rhizospheric Microflora from Chili Rhizosphere

Soil samples were collected from different ecosystems of the chili rhizosphere in various locations at RPCAU, Pusa, and serial dilution technique was followed to isolate both fungal and bacterial microflora [13]. Ten grams of soil were taken from each sample and mixed with 90 ml of sterile distilled water to create a 100 ml suspension. One ml of the suspension was transferred to a new tube containing 9 ml of sterile distilled water. This process was repeated until a 10⁻⁸ dilution was achieved followed by one ml of sample suspension from each dilution was spread on nutrient agar (NA) plate media. The NA plates were then incubated for 24 hours at 28 ± 2°C. This allows the bacteria to grow and form colonies. After inoculation, individual colonies that developed on the plates were transferred to new NA plates. For isolation of Trichoderma, 10⁻⁶ dilutions were prepared in sterilized distilled water and 1 ml diluted sample was poured on the surface of Trichoderma Selective Medium (TSM). Plates were inoculated at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 96 h. morphologically different colonies appearing on the plates were purified in the Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA).

2.3 Dual Culture Technique

The antagonistic activity of fungal and bacterial microflora against Rhizoctonia solani was assessed by dual culture technique [14] on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium. Seven days old test pathogen and fungal biocontrol agent were used in this experiment. A 5 mm size mycelial disc was cut from the test pathogen and transferred on fresh PDA plates at one cm apart from the edge of the Petri plates whereas Trichoderma isolates were placed opposite to the test fungus one cm from the opposite edge of the plate. The individual growth of the pathogen on the PDA medium was utilized as a control. The experiment comprised three replicates for each treatment, and the entire setup followed a completely randomized design. The plates were incubated at 25 ± 1°C for 7 days. Radial growth was recorded on the 7th day of inoculation and mycelia inhibition was calculated according to the given formula [15].

Percentage Growth Inhibition = $(C-T)/C \times 100$

Where,

C = Radial growth of pathogen (mm) in check

T = Radial growth of pathogen (mm) in treatment

Similarly, the bacterial isolates were also evaluated for their antagonistic potential *in vitro* against *Rhizoctonia solani* [16]. A 5 mm sample of the test pathogen from a seven day old culture

was placed at the center of a 90 mm Petri plate containing PDA medium. Four different bacterial isolates from respective 24-hour old cultures were streaked on four sides of the Petri plate opposite to each other and at 1 cm from the periphery. These plates were inoculated at 26±1 °C. A plate inoculated with only *Rhizoctonia solani* was maintained as control. The inhibition of mycelial growth of the test pathogen was observed. Radial growth of the pathogen was recorded and percent inhibition was calculated by using the following formula [14]:

Percentage Growth Inhibition = (C-T) / C ×100

Where,

C = Radial growth of pathogen (mm) in check

T = Radial growth of pathogen (mm) in treatment

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Isolation of Rhizospheric Microflora from Different Chili Rhizosphere

The antagonistic ability of each microflora isolate against *Rhizoctonia solani* was assessed *in vitro*. Different isolates were obtained from several chilli rhizosphere ecosystems at RPCAU, Pusa, the investigation viz. *Aspergillus niger, A. flavus, A. fumigates, T. harzianum, T. viride, T. asperellum, Penicillium* sp., *Alternaria* sp., *Fusarium* sp. *Trichoderma* isolates were cultivated on specific media that were made to favor the development of *Trichoderma* while preventing the growth of other fungi. On the other hand, nutrient agar was selected for facilitating bacterial growth [17].

3.2 Antagonism of *Trichoderma* Isolates against *Rhizoctonia Solani*

Using a dual culture method, the antagonistic activity of *Trichoderma* and bacterial isolates was assessed against the test fungus. The test fungus and antagonist's radial growth were observed, and percentage inhibition was computed using this data. According to the findings shown in Table 1, all the isolates of *Trichoderma* were considerably better than the control at preventing the growth of the test fungus. After six days of inoculation, the highest percent inhibition was seen in the case of *Trichoderma harzianum* (71.98%), followed by

Trichoderma viride (62.54%). However. Trichoderma spp. reduced Rhizoctonia solani after 7 days of inoculation. This finding aligns with numerous reports that have asserted that T. harzianum, T. virens, and T. hamatum exhibit high efficacy in suppressing the mycelial growth of soil-borne, seed-borne, phyllosphere and storage plant pathogens on PDA [18, 19]. Numerous enzymes that break down cell walls have been discovered to be secreted by Trichoderma strains during their mycoparasitic interactions with their hosts. Chitinases and β -1, 3-glucanases have been revealed to have a

direct role in this regard, enabling them to pierce through their host fungus and draw resources for their growth. In addition, it strongly inhibited sclerotia production and suppressed sclerotia germination of pathogen [20]. *Trichoderma harzianum* was shown to have the greatest mycelial growth inhibition of *Rhizoctonia solani* (64.81%) [21]. Numerous workers have reported similar findings about the inhibition of *R. solani's* mycelial growth, which is harmful to chilies and certain other host plants, by distinct microbial antagonists such as *Trichoderma* spp. [22,23].

Table 1. <i>In vitro</i> evaluation of antagonistic potential of rhizospheric fungal isolates against							
Rhizoctonia solani							

SI No.	Name of fungal isolates	Radial growth of <i>Rhizoctonia</i> <i>solani</i> (mm)			Inhibition over control after
		72hrs	120hrs	168 hrs	168hrs (%)
1	Aspergillus niger	25.33	32.33	31.68	31.96
2	A. flavus	23.67	30.78	32.87	34.56
3	A. fumigatus	22.53	24.78	26.88	44.56
4	T. harzianum	14.35	16.45	15.86	71.98
5	T. viride	12.34	18.34	22.45	62.54
6	T. asperellum	16.20	20.33	24.00	56.67
7	Penicillium sp.	24.67	32.45	38.33	16.67
8	Alternaria sp.	28.33	32.33	35.43	19.45
9	Fusarium solani	30.67	31.67	26.67	40.73
10	Control	34.67	39.67	45.00	0
C.D. at 5%		1.51	2.01	2.25	
C.V. (%)		2.35	2.58	3.45	
S.Em (±)		0.39	0.41	0.37	

Table 2. In vitro evaluation of antagonistic potential of rhizospheric bacterial isolates against Rhizoctonia solani

SI No.	Name of bacterial	Radial gro	Inhibition over control after		
	isolates	72 hrs	120hrs	168hrs	168hrs (%)
1	RB-1	11.67	14.33	13.67	69.38
2	RB-2	14.67	39	44	1.5
3	RB-3	13.67	18.33	25.33	43.28
4	RB-4	18	22	25	44.02
5	RB-5	12.67	15	17	61.93
6	RB-6	12.67	13.53	14.38	66.42
7	RB-7	17.33	21.67	23.67	47
8	RB-8	15.33	38.33	44.33	0.76
9	RB-11	14.33	17.23	24.67	44.76
10	Control	25	38.33	44.67	0
C.D. at 5%	, D	1.06	1.07	1.17	
C.V. (%)		3.89	2.85	2.64	
S.Em (±)		0.35	0.38	0.39	

3.3 Antagonism of Bacterial Isolates against *Rhizoctonia Solani*

The assessment of antagonistic activity between Rhizoctonia solani and bacterial isolates was conducted through dual culture methods. According to the findings as shown in Table 2, Bacterial isolate RB1 was found to record maximum growth reduction of R. solani by 69.38% over control which was followed by bacterial isolate RB6 which recorded a growth reduction of 66.42%. The assay showed marked retardation of pathogen growth. This result correlates with the findings of other workers which revealed that Bacillus subtilis produces iturin and surfactin enzymes in the late phase of growth that inhibit mycelial growth of Rhizoctonia solani [24]. Maximum-inhibition was showed by Pseudomonas fluorescens (41.48 %), this was statistically at par with Bacillus subtilis (34.81%) against the Rhizoctonia solani causing root rot disease in chili [25].

4. CONCLUSION

The ability of native microflora against the was phytopathogen Rhizoctonia solani investigated in vitro by dual culture technique. T. harzianum was the strong rhizospheric isolate in decreasing the radial development of Rhizoctonia solani, which causes root rot disease in chilies, with a percentage of 71.98%. In contrast, RB1 (69.38%), an isolate of bacteria, was identified as the greatest inhibition of the test pathogen's mycelial growth. However this needs to be assessed further by contrasting the current isolates with different bioagents and fungicides.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Sincere gratitude is extended by the authors to Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, for providing all the facilities required to carry out the current experiment.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. FAOSTAT. Crops and livestock products. Food and Agricultre Organization of the United Nations; 2023. Available:https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#d ata/QCL (Accessed April, 2023).

- Caruso G, Stoleru V, Munteanu N, Sellitto VM, Teliban GC, Burducea M, et al. Quality performances of sweet pepper under farming management. Not Bot Horti Agrobo. 2018; 47:458–64. DOI: 10.15835/nbha47111351
- Rini CR, Sulochana KK. Management of Seedling rot of Chili (*Capsicum annuum* L.) using Trichoderma sp. and flourecent Pseudomonas. J. Tropic. Agric. 2006;44(1-2):79-82.
- Malhotra A, Agarwal T, Trivedi PC. In vitro efficacy of Various Fungal and Bacterial Antagonists against *Rhizoctonia solani*, Causal agent of Damping off disease in *Capasicum annum* L. International J. Pharm. BioSci. 2006;3(2):288-292.
- 5. Reddy PP, Kumar PA. Plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) for sustainable agriculture: Perspectives and challenges. In Bacteria in Agrobiology: Plant Probiotics. 2013;1-25.
- Sharma SB, Sayyed RZ, Trivedi MH, Gobi TA. Phosphate solubilizing microbes: sustainable approach for managing phosphorus deficiency in agricultural soils. SpringerPlus. 2017;6(1); 1742.
- Bharadwaj DP, Lundquist PO, Alström S, Strobel GA. Evaluation of bacteria isolated from rice for plant growth promotion and biological control of seedling disease of rice. Canadian Journal of Microbiology. 2011;57(9):815-824.
- 8. Singh BP, Singh YV, Bajpai V. Fungal pathogenesis, principles and mechanisms. Agricultural Sciences. 2012;3(1):1-12.
- 9. Mishra J, Fatima T, Arora NK. Role of secondary metabolites from plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria in combating salinity stress. In Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria for Sustainable Stress Management. 2016;147-160.
- 10. Dasgupta MK. Principles of plant Pathology. Allied Publisher Pvt. Ltd. Banglore. 1988;1140-45.
- 11. Mathur K, Singh RB, Gujar RBS. Rhizosphere mycoflora in chili [Capsicum annum]. Indian Phytopathol. 1995;48:374.
- 12. Kannan R, Jayaraj J. Effect of various levels of inoculation of Bacillus subtillus on the incidence of damping-off of tomato and on plant growth parameters. Annamalai Uni. Agric. Res. Ann.1998;21:24-27.
- 13. Krassilnikov NA. Actinomycetesantagonists and antibiotic substances (in

Russian), Academy of Sciences, USSR, Moscow; 1950.

- Dennis C, Webster J. Antagonist properties of species group of Trichoderma. III hypal interaction. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.1971;57:363.
- 15. Vincent JM. Distortion of fungal hyphae in the presence of certain inhibitors. Nature.1947;159:850
- Utkhede RS, Rahe JE. Interactions of antagonist and pathogen in biological control of onion white rot. Phytopathology. 1983;73:890-893.
- 17. Elad Y, Chet I, Henis Y. A selective medium for improving quantitative isolation of Trichoderma spp. from soil. Phytoparasitica. 1981;9(1):59-67.
- Bhattacharjee R, U. Dey. An overview of fungal and bacterial biopesticides to control plant pathogens/disease. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res. 2014;8(17):1749-1762.
- Vinale F, Sivasithamparam K, Ghisalberti EL, Woo SL, Nigro M, Marra R, et.al., Trichoderma secondary metabolites active on plants and fungal pathogens. The Open Mycology Journal. 2014;8(Suppl-1, M5);127-139.
- Naeimi S, Okhovvat SM, Javan-Nikkhah M, Vágvölgyi C, Khosravi V, Kredics L. Biological control of *Rhizoctonia solani*

AG1-1A, the causal agent of rice sheath blight with Trichoderma strains. Phytopathol. Mediterr. 2010;49:287300.

- 21. Verma M, Brar SK, Tyagi RD, Surampalli RY, Valéro JR. Antagonistic fungi, Trichoderma spp.: Panoply of biological control. Biochem. Eng. J. 2022;37:1-20.
- Babal V, Kumar D, Kumar H, Singh K, Lal AA. In vitro effect of bio- control agents and selected botanicals against root rot (*Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn) of Chili (*Capsicum annuum* L.). International J Current Microbiol. Applied Sci. 2017; 6(3):1374-1378. 6.
- 23. Devi MC, Reddy MN. In vitro screening of effective biocontrol agents against *Rhizoctonia solani*. J Mycol. Pl. Path. 2002;32:399.
- Elkahoui SN, Djébali O, Tabbene A, Hadjbrahim B, Mnasri R, Mhamdi, et.al., Evaluation of antifungal activity from Bacillus strains against *Rhizoctonia solani*. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2012; 11(18):41964201.
- 25. Varma S, Kumhar DR, Meena AK. Integrated Disease Management of Rhizoctonia Root Rot of Chili (*Capsicum annum* L.) Incited by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn in vivo. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2020;9(4):1635-42.

© 2024 Kumari et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/113172