



**31(1): 1-8, 2019; Article no.JPRI.52308 ISSN: 2456-9119** (Past name: British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-2919, NLM ID: 101631759)

## Parent's Attitude, Knowledge and Belief of Child's Fever Managements in Al-Qassim - Saudi Arabia

Yasser Almogbel<sup>1\*</sup>, Jouza H. Al-Qussair<sup>2</sup>, Abdulrahman M. Alamri<sup>3,4</sup>, Ahmad Alhowail<sup>5</sup> and Mugahid A. Mobark<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy Practice, College of Pharmacy, Qassim University, 51452 Al Qassim, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. <sup>2</sup>College of Pharmacy, Qassim University, 51452 Al Qassim, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Practice, College of Pharmacy, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacy Practice, College of Pharmacy, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
<sup>4</sup>Pharmaceutical Care Services, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, College of Pharmacy, Qassim University, 51452 AI Qassim, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author YA designed the study and performed the statistical analysis. Authors MAM and AA wrote the protocol and the first draft of the manuscript. Author JHAQ managed the analyses of the study. Author AMA managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2019/v31i130292 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Syed A. A. Rizvi, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nova Southeastern University, USA. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Syed Umer Jan, University of Balochistan, Pakistan. (2) Luiz Antonio Del Ciampo, University of São Paulo, Brazil. Complete Peer review History: <u>https://sdiarticle4.com/review-history/52308</u>

Original Research Article

Received 13 August 2019 Accepted 22 October 2019 Published 01 November 2019

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Parent's misconception of fever, result in increased anxiety and antipyretics are commonly used in this situation. So any lack of parent's knowledge regarding strategies of using them raises the possibility of drug-related problems.

**Objective:** This study evaluated the parents' knowledge, attitude and beliefs in dealing with the children's fever.

**Methods:** An ethically approved cross-sectional study was conducted in Qassim region -Saudi Arabia.

**Results:** A total of 490 parents were participated in this study, 83.7% of them were mothers. Half of parents use the armpit site for measuring temperature. The majority of parents considered the temperature  $\leq 37^{\circ}$ C as normal and more than half of them considered  $\geq 38^{\circ}$ C as fever temperature. Convulsion was believed to be a complication of fever in 71% of parents and there was a significant association between the number of children and the practice of giving antipyretics. A wrong practice of assessing fever was using hand touch, and this study revealed that this behavioral was presented in a nearly third of parents. Acetaminophen was the commonly used antipyretics beside ice packs as a common non pharmacological therapy. The study also showed the majority of parents didn't know the importance of weight in considering antipyretic. **Conclusion:** Over all, parents participated in this study have inadequate knowledge about fever,

its assessment and decision of giving a medication. However, past experiences and the number of sibling highly influence their practice and knowledge. Therefore, there is a need of effort to maximize parents' information and awareness about fever.

Keywords: Children; fever; parents; Saudi Arabia.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Fever is one of the most common causes of visiting the doctor [1] and it is up to 20% of children in pediatric emergency presented with fever [2]. Since 1980, it has been perceived that parents in Europe and North America have different and unrealistic fears about fever. Parents' misconceptions and anxiety 'fever phobia' was first examined and reported by Schmitt in 1980 [3].

A study was conducted in the United States (USA), showed that 57% of parents were very worried about the harmful effect of fever on their children [4]. Phobia of fever has been shown to affect the parents' decisions regarding seeking medical care [5]. Although parents perceive fear from fever, they have poor knowledge of fever and its consequences [6]. In 2000, a study was performed in Saudi Arabia reported that, more than two third of parents have a poor understanding of fever, high fever, untreated fever with maximum temperature, and threshold temperature which justifies the use of antipyretic medications [7].

Parents have different beliefs about the reliable method in assessing the body temperature of their children. In Kuwait two third of mothers use a touch practice and general look of child as fever determination [8]. While, measuring the temperature by thermometer considered as the most accurate way to identify fever and decreases human variability and errors. The body is considered to be feverish when the rectal temperature records more than 38 (Celsius) °C, oral temperature exceeds 37.8°C, and auxiliary temperature above 37.4°C [9].

The standard methods of fever control consist of antipyretic drug therapy and external physical cooling, including cooling blankets, ice packs, tepid water sponge baths [10]. The use of antipyretics by the parents' is a favored strategy to manage fever in children [11]. However, current World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines on the management of fever recommends that children with a body temperature of more than 38.5°C with a mild to moderate rise, should not be routinely suppressed by antipyretics [12]. The extensive use of antipyretic could lead to an increase accidental overdosing [13].

As the Saudi parents' attitude and knowledge towards childhood fever were minimally addressed, so, this study targeted the parent's beliefs and knowledge about fever and its management in children at age under 12 years in the Qassim region in Saudi Arabia with an aim to enable health professionals to focus on the ideal way of educating parents regarding fever management.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Study Design and Area

An observational, survey-based, cross-sectional study was conducted with a convenience sample of Saudi parents in the Qassim region from March 2018 to April 2018. The data were collected from three major cities in the Qassim region; Buraydah, Onaizah and Alrass. The survey was distributed in both male and female elementary schools as they include heterogenic type of population. The study included all parents of children aged from 1-12 years with exclusion of healthcare professionals in order to reduce the bias. A signed consent covering all the important points regarding the research was obtained before the survey. The survey was divided into demographic characteristics section which included questions related to age, gender, children's number, marital status, employment and the availability of health care insurance.

The second section of the survey included questions regarding parents' knowledge and beliefs about fever. The third section included the parents' attitude and practices regarding fever.

The sample size was 490 and it was calculated using G\*Power software program (version 3.1.9) Three level of effect size was taken into consideration according to Cohen in 1988. The medium level was used as it is mostly used in literature.

The questionnaire was validated using test-retest reliability, 10 participants were randomly selected and asked to fill the questionnaire two times two weeks apart. The test-retest data was analyzed on each item using correlation coefficients for each item to ensure that questionnaire is reliable.

#### 2.2 Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics (Frequencies, Percentages, Mean, and Standard deviation), chi-square test to compare frequencies, Fisher exact test in cases of frequencies that equal to five or less were used in the analysis of these results. Moreover, unpaired t-test to compare the means for the continuous variables such as age was also used. The statistical analysis was performed at a significance level of 0.05 using SAS University Edition (SAS Institute Inc., Carey, North Carolina).

#### 3. RESULTS

# 3.1 Demographic Characteristics of the Study Population

A total of 490 parents was completed the questionnaire, 83.7% of them were mothers. The mean age of participants was 38.6 ( $\pm$  6.8) years. All most all of them (98.2%) were married and only 1.2% were divorced and 0.6% were widowed. The majority of parents (71.8%) were employed and nearly two thirds (64.7%) of them have a university degree. Interestingly ~50% of the population had three to five children (Table 1).

| Almogbel et al.; JPRI, 31(1): 1-8 | , 2019; Article no.JPRI.52308 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|

 
 Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study population (n = 490)

| Frequency | Percentage<br>(%)          |
|-----------|----------------------------|
|           |                            |
| 80        | 16.3                       |
| 410       | 83.7                       |
|           |                            |
| 481       | 98.2                       |
| 6         | 1.2                        |
| 3         | 0.6                        |
|           |                            |
| 34        | 6.9                        |
|           |                            |
| 25        | 5.1                        |
|           |                            |
|           | 19.2                       |
| 317       | 64.7                       |
|           |                            |
|           |                            |
| 20        | 4.1                        |
|           |                            |
|           |                            |
| 100       | <u> </u>                   |
|           | 20.4                       |
|           | 79.6                       |
| -         | ~~~                        |
|           | 28.3                       |
| -         | 50.8                       |
| 102       | 20.8                       |
|           | 80<br>410<br>481<br>6<br>3 |

#### 3.2 Parents' Beliefs about Fever and Its Management

In this study, nearly half of parents considered the armpit as the most common place to measure temperature followed by ear and mouth. About 43% of parents considered 37°C as the normal body temperature and 36.5°C was considered in 20.4% of parents. While only 11.6% of parents chosen 37.5°C as the normal temperature. Besides that, 45% of parents considered a fever in their children when their temperature measured 38°C and 38.0% of parents considered 37°C as fever. The study also showed that, most of the parents (71%) thought that fever may cause Seizure / convulsion and 10% of respondents reported that the fever might cause brain damage if not controlled and dehydration was selected as complication in 4.9% of parents (Table 2).

#### 3.3 Parents' Practices in Managing Fever

In this study, approximately a third of parents (31.8%) used to use their hands in assessing

#### Almogbel et al.; JPRI, 31(1): 1-8, 2019; Article no.JPRI.52308

their children's temperature while, 28.6% of them used electronic thermometer and 26.5 % used a tympanic thermometer. 38.4% of the parents check the temperature each 15 to 30 minutes. Approximately half of parents (47.3%) considered the age of the child when giving fever lowering drugs then followed by the severity of fever (29.4%) and only a 19% had considered the dosing of antipyretic based on the weight. Two thirds of parents followed the previous advice from the pediatrician in selecting the right fever lowering drug while, 15.7% of parents did that based on the information gathered from the media. The most commonly used drug was acetaminophen in 96.9% and about 14.7% of the parents, they used antibiotics in addition to acetaminophen (with/without medical advice). In calculating the dose of the drug nearly half of patients (46%) followed the previous advice from the pediatrician while, 28% read the package leaflet and only 13% used to consult the pharmacists. Concerning the route of medication administration, in 62.4% of the parents the medications were given orally, whereas in 36.9% they were given by rectal route. In addition, 78.4% of the participents used a specific measuring spoon or syringe of the drug for giving the medication. Regarding to the nonpharmacological therapy, the ice pack was the most commonly used by parents (62.7%) followed by tepid sponging in 23.3% (Table 3) This study also reveiled that most of the parents gave their children treatment for fever when the temperature was more than 38°C and 38.6% of them would call the doctors when child fever reached 39°C followed by 31.4% at 38°C. In addition, the results of the bivariate analysis of giving a medication showed statistically significant difference that more parents who have < 6 children had reported giving medication when a temperature read  $\leq$  37°C than  $\geq$  38°C (P = 0.011).

| Variable                 |                     | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Beliefs about the best   | The mouth           | 55        | 11.2           |
| place where temperature  | The armpit (axilla) | 241       | 49.2           |
| is measured              | The rectum (bottom) | 3         | 0.6            |
|                          | The ear             | 169       | 34.5           |
|                          | l do not know       | 22        | 4.5            |
| Beliefs about the normal | 35°C                | 21        | 4.3            |
| body temperature         | 35.5°C              | 14        | 2.9            |
|                          | 36°C                | 77        | 15.7           |
|                          | 36.5°C              | 100       | 20.4           |
|                          | 37°C                | 210       | 42.9           |
|                          | 37.5°C              | 57        | 11.6           |
|                          | 38°C                | 6         | 1.3            |
|                          | 38.5                | 1         | 0.2            |
|                          | 39°C                | 0         | 0              |
|                          | 39.5°C              | 1         | 0.2            |
|                          | ≥40°C               | 0         | 0              |
|                          | l don't know        | 1         | 0.2            |
| Beliefs about the fever  | 36°C                | 19        | 3.9            |
| temperature              | 37°C                | 186       | 38             |
|                          | 38°C                | 222       | 45.3           |
|                          | 39°C                | 39        | 8.0            |
|                          | 40°C                | 21        | 4.3            |
|                          | 41°C                | 3         | 0.6            |
| Beliefs about the        | Seizure             | 348       | 71             |
| complications of fever   | Brain damage        | 50        | 10.2           |
| -                        | Death               | 8         | 1.6            |
|                          | Dehydration         | 24        | 4.9            |
|                          | Coma                | 22        | 4.5            |
|                          | Nothing will happen | 10        | 2              |
|                          | I don't know        | 28        | 5.7            |

| Table 2. Beliefs about fever | as reported by parents (n=490) |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|

| Variables                               |  | f       | %        |
|---|--|---------|----------|
| Methods to measure                      | Hand                                       | 156     | 31.8     |
| the temperature                         | Electronic thermometer                     | 140     | 28.6     |
|   | Mercury-in-glass thermometer               | 41      | 8.4      |
|   | Tympanic (Ear) thermometer                 | 130     | 26.5     |
|   | Skin infrared thermometer                  | 8       | 1.6      |
|   | Plastic strip placed on forehead           | 4       | 0.8      |
|   | I do not check my child's temperature      | 10      | 2        |
|   | I do not know                              | 1       | 0.2      |
| Frequency of                            | Less than 15 minutes                       | 81      | 16.5     |
| neasuring the                           | From 15 to 30 minutes                      | 188     | 38.4     |
| emperature,                             | From 30 minutes to 1 hour                  | 115     | 23.5     |
| every:                                  | From 1 to 2 hours                          | 79      | 16.1     |
| ,                                       | More than 2 hours                          | 27      | 5.5      |
| To give a fever                         | Age  | 232     | 47.3     |
| owering drug, you                       | Sex  | 2       | 0.4      |
| Consider                                | Weight                                     | 93      | 19       |
|   | Height                                     | 2       | 0.4      |
|   | Severity of fever                          | 144     | 29.4     |
|   | Severity of illness                        | 17      | 3.5      |
| The right fever                         | Previous advice from the pediatrician      | 328     | 66.9     |
| owering drug would                      | Consulting the pharmacist                  | 12      | 2.4      |
| be decided by                           | Consulting other persons                   | 5       | 1        |
|   | Information gathered by media              | 5<br>77 | 15.7     |
|   | I decide by myself what I think is right   | 15      | 3.1      |
|   | I call my pediatrician                     | 41      | 8.4      |
|   | Other                                      | 12      | 2.4      |
| Drug administered                       | Acetaminophen                              | 475     | 96.9     |
| for fever                               | Ibuprofen                                  | 473     | 9.8      |
|   | Aspirin                                    | 40      | 9.8<br>0 |
|   | Antibiotics                                | 0<br>72 | 14.7     |
| The right doop of                       |  | 225     |          |
| The right dose of<br>ever-lowering drug | Previous advice from the pediatrician      | 135     | 46       |
| would be decided by                     | Reading the package leaflet                | 64      | 28<br>13 |
| would be decided by                     | Consulting the pharmacist                  |         | -        |
|   | Consulting other persons                   | 3       | 0.6      |
|   | Information gathered by media              | 0       | 0        |
|   | I decide by myself what I think is right   | 18      | 3.7      |
|   | I call my pediatrician                     | 39      | 8        |
|   | Other                                      | 6       | 1.2      |
| Route of medication                     | Orally                                     | 306     | 62.4     |
| administration                          | Rectally                                   | 181     | 36.9     |
|   | Injection                                  | 3       | 0.6      |
| nstrument used to                       | Regular tablespoon or teaspoon             | 37      | 7.6      |
| administer the                          | Specific measuring spoon or syringe of the | 384     | 78.4     |
| Medication                              | drug                                       |         |          |
|   | Measuring spoon or syringe of other drug   | 69      | 14.1     |
| Remedies used in                        | Cold sponging                              | 25      | 5.1      |
| addition to drugs                       | Ice pack                                   | 307     | 62.8     |
|   | Tepid sponging                             | 114     | 23.3     |
|   | I use drugs only                           | 25      | 5.1      |
|   | Other                                      | 18      | 3.7      |

Table 3. Parent's practices in managing childhood fever (n = 490)

| Variables  |   | Frequency | %    |
|--|---|-----------|------|
| Reason of giving an antibiotic                           | He/she has a fever  | 38        | 7.8  |
| drug for child   | You suspect an infection  | 138       | 28.2 |
|  | The physician said to give him/her<br>or through a medical prescription | 304       | 62.0 |
|  | A friend suggestion   | 3         | 0.6  |
|  | A relative suggestion   | 2         | 0.4  |
|  | Found information on the Internet, TV, or papers about its benefits     | 5         | 1    |
|  | In all the cases above  | 38        | 7.8  |
| Insisting in prescribing antibiotics                     | Yes   | 47        | 9.6  |
| to child even if the doctor didn't consider it necessary | No  | 443       | 90.4 |
| All children who develop fever                           | Yes   | 49        | 10   |
| the antibiotics should be prescribed to them             | No  | 441       | 90   |

Table 4. The parents' practice in obtaining and using antibiotics (n = 490)

#### 3.4 The Parents' Practice of Obtaining and Using Antibiotics

In illustrating the reasons of giving antibiotics to febrile child, 62% of parents depend on physicians or a medical prescription, while, 28% reported that they used it whenever they suspected infection. Only 9.6% of the parents insisted on prescribing antibiotics to their children, even if it was not considered necessary by the doctor. Only 10% believed that antibiotic should be prescribed to all children who developed fever (Table 4).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

This study evaluated the parents' knowledge, beliefs and practice regarding childhood fever in Qassim region. A total of 490 parents were participated in this study with a response rate of 96 %, which was beyond the usual expected response rate as the reported average response rate for paper-based surveys is 56% with a range between 32.6% to 75% [14]. Most of the participants were mothers (83.7%). The majority of parents were educated with college and university degree which reflect the development in higher education in Saudi Arabia.

In this study the parents' beliefs about fever showed that half of them (49.2%) considered the armpit as the favorite site for measuring body temperature and 34.5% of them considered the ear while, 11.2 % of parents have considered the mouth. These results were largely affected by the marketed devices used in measuring body temperature and the easy usage and access to the site. However, in another study, 50% of participants use the mouth [15]. Many of parents considered  $37^{\circ}$ C and  $36.5^{\circ}$ C as normal temperature. These findings were similar to another study conducted in Taiwan, which showed that 67% of participants considered  $\leq 37^{\circ}$ C as normal body temperature [16]. Although 45.3% of parents defined fever at  $38^{\circ}$ C, 38% of them believed that  $37^{\circ}$ C is the temperature of a fever. This reflected the lower level knowledge to define fever. Most of the parents had a concern from seizure/convulsion as a harmful consequence of fever, others had concern from brain damage and dehydration.

The findings of this study were similar to the study of Jalil HA, Jumah NA, Al-Baghli AA, which reported that most of parents considered seizures as side effect of fever [8]. In study conducted by Zyoud et al., showed a high percentage of parents had concern of brain damage (38.1%) as complication of fever while in this study only 10.2% reported this [15]. An excessive scare from fever and the bad consequence of it, may lead to increase the monitoring frequency of administration of medication [3]. As recommended in guideline antipyretic should be given when the fever temperature is >38°C. However, in this study the parents used to give the antipyretic when fever temperature is 38 °C and less. This properly may indicate overuse of antipyretic medication for childhood fever. A significantly parents who have  $\geq$  6 children used to give their child medication when the temperature is  $\geq$  38°C, this may be explained by more experience for determining the fever temperature from previous incidences and less concern regarding complication. A previous study reported that when a child grow up parents would have experience with resultant less fever concern [17].

Although, nearly a third of parents they use their hands in assessing their children's' temperature, which is not a recommended method as it has a wrong assessment of fever with subjective variation. About (28.6%) of parents use electronic thermometer and up to 26.5% of tympanic thermometer. parents use The electronic assessment is the most accurate and easiest method to measure the temperature at home. More than third of parents (38.4%) check the child's temperature from 15 to 30 minutes. As reported by Crocetti et al., about half of parents measure their child's temperature every one hour and less, which reflect the increased levels of parent's carefulness and wariness [18]. The most commonly antipyretic is acetaminophen, which was represented in 96.9%.

This finding aligns with other study findings, but in contrast to what they reported that a high percent of parents alternated to other antipyretic, in this study actually a high percent of parents not alternated to other antipyretics [19]. In addition to medication the ice pack was the most commonly used non pharmacological therapy (62.7%) followed by tepid sponging (23.3%) a similar finding was reported in Badawy NAK, Alhajraf AF and Alsamdan MF study [19]. As stated in another study, the bathing is not effective and cause shivering which may increase the temperature as a result of the decrease a temperature by sponging [8].

The oral route was the most commonly used routes for administering the medication (62.4%), followed by the rectal route (36.9%). This finding was similar to a previous study which found that about half of participants use oral route [15]. The rectal route may be the most convenient rout of management of babies. Significantly fathers have a practice of giving a medication by mouth more than mothers, this may be related to the fact that fathers take care of children at large age than mothers who take care for younger children. The majority of parents (78.4%) uses specific measuring spoon or syringe of the drug for giving the medication.

Large percentages of parents decide the right drug (66.9%) and calculate the dose (46%) based on previous advice from a pediatrician. Approximately half of parents (47.3%) consider the age of the child when giving antipyretic drugs then followed by the severity of fever (29.4%)

and only a 19% considering the weight, which indicated a lack of awareness regarding the importance of considering the weight when giving fever lower drugs. The interesting finding is that parents have a good awareness about using antibiotics. Among 14.7% of antibiotics used 62.0% of parents used them based on physician prescription.

#### **5. LIMITATION**

Although, cross-section study is a convenient method, but it lacks proof of causality because it was collected in one period of time. Second, the study was performed using a convenience sample technique. This method is known as nonprobability sampling technique. However, we used this method because we have no control over schools to participate. Third, we conducted this study using a questionnaire in a written Arabic language. ancient Thus, some uneducated parents may face some reading difficulties. Therefore, this might affect their participation or understanding of the questioner. Lastly, this result will be generalized only to a similar population.

#### 6. CONCLUSION

Over all, the most participated parents were mothers and this reflects their maior responsibility of care for children. parents have inadequate knowledae about fever. its assessment and decision of giving a medication. Despite their high education level, " fever phobia" is widespread among parents. However, the number of sibling and past experiences highly influence their practice. So, a need of effort to maximize parents' information and awareness of fever is crucial especially for new parents.

#### CONSENT

As per international standard, parents of the children's informed written consent has been collected and preserved by the authors.

#### ETHICAL APPROVAL

The study followed the regulations of the national Ethical committee and it was approved by Qassim University Ethical Committee.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Almogbel et al.; JPRI, 31(1): 1-8, 2019; Article no.JPRI.52308

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Keatinge D, Rawlings K. Outcomes of a nurse-led telephone triage service in Australia. International Journal of Nursing Practice. 2005;11(1):5-12.
- 2. Betz MG, Grunfeld AF. Fever phobia'in the emergency department: A survey of children's caregivers. European Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2006;13(3): 129-33.
- Schmitt BD. Fever phobia: Misconceptions of parents about fevers. American Journal of Diseases of Children. 1980;134(2): 176-81.
- 4. Rupe A, Ahlers-Schmidt CR, Wittler R. A comparison of perceptions of fever and fever phobia by ethnicity. Clinical Pediatrics. 2010;49(2):172-6.
- 5. Sharma M, Usherwood T. Up closereasons why parents attend their general practitioner when their child is sick. Australian family physician. 2014;43(4): 223.
- Agrawal RP, Bhatia SS, Kaushik A, Sharma CM. Perception of fever and management practices by parents of pediatric patients. International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences. 2017;1(4): 397-400.
- Al-Eissa YA, Al-Sanie AM, Al-Alola SA, Al-Shalaan MA, Ghazal SS, Al-Harbi AH, Al-Wakeel AS. Parental perceptions of fever in children. Annals of Saudi Medicine. 2000;20(3-4):202-5.
- Jalil HA, Jumah NA, Al-Baghli AA. Mothers' knowledge, fears and self-management of fever: A cross sectional study from the capital governorate in Kuwait. Kuwait Medical Journal. 2007;39(4):349.
- Chaturvedi D, Vilhekar KY, Chaturvedi P, Bharambe MS. Reliability of perception of fever by touch. The Indian Journal of Pediatrics. 2003;70(11):871-3.

- Axelrod P. External cooling in the management of fever. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2000;31(Supplement 5):S224-9.
- 11. El-Radhi AS, Carroll J, Klein N. Clinical manual of fever in children. Berlin, Germany: Springer. 2009;8:1-318.
- 12. WHO IMCI. Integrated Management of Childhood Illness (IMCI) Chart Booklet. Distance Learn Course. 2014;1–76.
- Walsh A, Edwards H, Fraser J. Over-thecounter medication use for childhood fever: A cross-sectional study of Australian parents. J Paediatr Child Health. 2007; 43(9):601–6.
- 14. Nulty DD. The adequacy of response rates to online and paper surveys: What can be done? Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education. 2008;33:301–14.
- Sa'ed HZ, Al-Jabi SW, Sweileh WM, Nabulsi MM, Tubaila MF, Awang R, Sawalha AF. Beliefs and practices regarding childhood fever among parents: A cross-sectional study from Palestine. BMC Pediatrics. 2013;13(1):66.
- Chang LC, Liu CC, Huang MC. Parental knowledge, concerns, and management of childhood fever in Taiwan. Journal of Nursing Research. 2013;21(4):252-60.
- 17. Enarson MC, Ali S, Vandermeer B, Wright RB, Klassen TP, Spiers JA. Beliefs and Expectations of Canadian Parents Who Bring Febrile Children for Medical Care. Pediatrics. 2012;130(4):e905–12.
- Crocetti M, Moghbeli N, Serwint J. Fever phobia revisited: Have parental misconceptions about fever changed in 20 years? Pediatrics. 2001;107(6):1241-6.
- Badawy NA, Alhajraf AF, Alsamdan MF. Kuwaiti parent's knowledge of their children's fever and their patterns of use of over the counter antipyretics. Australasian Medical Journal (Online). 2017;10(10): 848-55.

© 2019 Almogbel et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://sdiarticle4.com/review-history/52308