

Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research

18(2): 6-20, 2022; Article no.AJFAR.89032 ISSN: 2582-3760

Re-evaluation Survey of Fish Composition, Abundance and Distribution in Agbokim Waterfalls, Nigeria

Gabriel Ujong Ikpi a* , Irom Bassey Okey ^a and Benedict Obeten Offem ^a

^aDepartment of Fisheries and Aquatic Science, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, Cross River University of Technology, Obubra Campus, Obubra, Cross River State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJFAR/2022/v18i230435

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89032

Original Research Article

Received 28 April 2022 Accepted 02 July 2022 Published 08 July 2022

ABSTRACT

Alterations in the diversity of the ichthyofauna of a tropical waterfalls system can be affected by unsustainable utilisation of the fisheries resources, anthropogenic activities, runoff from faulty agricultural practices and environmental perturbation. To re-establish the status of the fish composition and diversity of Agbokim Waterfalls, monthly fish samples obtained from the fishery and physico-chemical properties were investigated in rainy and dry seasons, for six months along the length of the three reaches of the waterfalls. The results revealed a total of 833 fish specimens representing 18 species belonging to 15 genera from 9 families which is in deficit of four fish species, in the previous study which are *Alestes nurse* (Characidae), *Aphyosemion gardneri* (Cyprinodontidae), *Pelmatochromis guntheri* and *Hemichromis fasciatus* (Cichlidae). Cichlidae, Clariidae and Bagridae were the most abundant families accounting for 54.4% of the total catch with Cichlidae (23.17%) as the most dominant family and Mockokidae (1.68%) as the least with *Tilapia zillii* (15.4%), *Clarias gariepinus* (10.9%) and *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* (9.5%) as the most dominant species accounting for 35.8% and *Synodontis clarias* (1.7%) with the least abundance. Fish species distribution in the downstream reach was dominant with 15 taxa and 607 (72.9%) individuals and in the midstream reach with 11 taxa but least (12.6%) number of individuals. Shannon Wiener diversity index for the six months was 2.7101 with Evenness value of 0.9376. The Richness index of Margalef's was 2.5279 and Menhinick's 0.6237. Seasonal variations in physico-

**Corresponding author: Email: gikpi@yahoo.com;*

chemical properties showed that, dissolved oxygen and conductivity were significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher in the raining season while pH showed no significant difference ($P > 0.05$). Temperature was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) in the dry season than in the raining season. The hydrological properties showed a significantly higher mean values of rainfall (364.67± 0.00 mm) in the rainy and transparency (45.55 \pm 1.58) in the dry season at (P < 0.05). In order to determine the cause of decline in fish species composition and abundance, further research on the heavy metals profile of the waterfalls is suggested.

Keywords: Waterfalls; shannon wiener index; Menhinick's index; fish species; physico-chemical properties; distribution.

1. INTRODUCTION

The diversity of aquatic life particularly that of freshwater fish in a waterfalls ecosystem can be affected by fishery operations, other anthropogenic activities, organic pollution, chemical pollution (example heavy metals, pesticides and fertilizers) [1] and eutrophication, which in turn affect water quality, reduction in fish species composition, abundance and distribution, and the structure of other aquatic biota [2]. The effects of these changes on aquatic systems are severe especially where there is increasing demand for freshwater resources generated by continued growth in human population, urbanization, industrialization, irrigation and agricultural development, which cause serious alterations of the aquatic communities [3]. For example, in aquatic systems, it is well known that pesticides generally reduce the abundance and diversity of fish [4] and aquatic invertebrates and cause changes in community composition in rivers that receive polluted runoff [5] [6]. In extreme cases, pesticide runoff from agriculture can result in large fish kills [7]. Ecosystem threats include: climate change, pollution, habitat destruction, overexploitation and introduction of invasive species [3]. There are several reasons why the aquatic environments are so vulnerable to degradation, these include the complex properties of water itself, the interactions between the aquatic and the terrestrial environments and the proximity of human populations to aquatic systems [8] [3]. The productivity of various aquatic environments is driven largely by the capacity of water as a solvent and its tendency to ionize dissolved substances. As a result, inland and near shore aquatic environments are affected not only by internal biogeochemical processes, but also by processes in adjacent terrestrial environments [9] [10]. Diversity can be viewed in terms of the number of species in an area (species richness) or in terms of the number of

higher taxa, such as families, orders or phyla [10]. The main reason for using fish to monitor biodiversity is that we know more about them than about other aquatic organisms, and are relatively easy to collect and identify [3].

Waterfalls are described as inland wetlands based on the Ramsar Classification system for 'Wetland Type' as approved by recommendation 4.7 of the Convention on Wetlands of International importance [11]. They are significant considering their economic importance in fisheries, ecotourism, and agriculture. In the tropics, they serve the local communities with domestic water supply, bathing, swimming, transportation, fishing, as a source of food and sinks for waste products [9] [3] [1] and are also important in biodiversity and biodiversity conservation. A waterfalls faunal community is one of great diversity of aquatic life [9] [12]. Fishes are good indicators in the determination of aquatic biodiversity because their variety reflects a wide range of environmental conditions [3].

Agbokim Waterfalls had previously been investigated [12] [13] [9] and showed that 22 fish species belonging to 16 genera and nine families were identified, with Cichlidae (22.0%), Clariidae (17.7%) and Cyprinidae (17.0%) as the dominant families and with *Tilapia zillii*, *Clarias gariepinus* and *Labeo coubie* respectively as the dominant fish species.The objectives of the present study is to re-evaluate the fish species composition, abundance, distribution and diversity and also physico-chemical and hydrological properties of the Agbokim Waterfalls.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The study area is Agbokim Waterfalls in Cross River State, Nigeria, latitude 5° 59' North and

longitude 8° 45' East. It is bounded in the West by the Cross River and in the North by the Cameroon high forests. The climate is the tropical hinterland type, with wet (May-November) and dry (December-April) seasons. Mean annual temperature ranged between 20℃ and 32℃ and annual total average rainfall, from 1450mm to 3015mm. The vegetation is the rainforest type with soil consisting of deep laterite and dark fertile, clay and loamy soils. The Agbokim Wwaterfalls is a product of two rivers, River Ekim and River Bakue, which are tributaries of the Cross River system. River Ekim is divided into three streams, while River Bakue has four streams. These seven streams flow into a floodplain, from where they independently cascade over steep cliff which provides sevenfaced falls into the casket or waterfalls. Of ecological importance are numerous small pools

and swamps which are found along the length of the waterfalls. The high annual discharge and rainfall of the area provide excellent buffers against natural ecological stresses such as drought [14]. For the purpose of this study, the 6817.7m long waterfalls is divided into three reaches; upstream, midstream (region of waterfalls) and downstream. Upstream from the confluence of River Ekim and River Bakue in Abia Village to the uppermost part of Agbokim Waterfalls (see Fig, 2) is 2003m long with substrate of gravel and rocks under fast water current and shoreline covered with high forest and cocoa farms, midstream length of 807 m has substrates of sand and rocks under heavy water turbulence with shoreline sparsely shaded with vegetation while downstream length, 4007 m has fine sand and clay under slow water current with an extensive wide area.

Fig. 1. Map of Cross River State, Nigeria, showing Agbokim Waterfalls

Fig. 2. Map of Etung, showing the upstream, midsteam and downstream of Agbokim Waterfalls

2.2 Fish Sampling

Fish samples were randomally obtained from the fishery from the three sites upstream, midstream and downstream at monthly intervals for six months between September, 2021 and February, 2022. As the fishers landed on return from fishing trips with the fish caught with variety of fishing gears; (gill net with 22-76 mm stretched mesh size, seine net with 10 mm stretched mesh size and cast net 10 mm stretched mesh size, hooks and lines, cutlasses and traps), catches were examined and sorted according to species. The fishing gears used by the fishers were identified with reference to FAO [15]. The fish weights to the nearest 0.1 g were recorded (Loading Melter model DM 2000 for small fish and Salter model 180 for larger species). Sampling was done between 8.00am and 12noon. Fish samples were identified using fish species identification guide by Idodo-Umeh [16], Olaosebikan and Raji [17] and Holden and Reed [18]. Total fish landings were estimated in each reach.

2.3 Species Abundance and Diversity

Species abundance of each sampling reach was presented as a numerical contribution by species. This was determined by calculating the percentage each species represented of the total catch for each site, based on the number of species and relative abundance.

2.4 Physico-chemical and hydrological sampling

Physico-chemical and hydrological parameters were determined monthly between September, 2021 and February, 2022. Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water [19] were used for all measurements. Monthly rainfall data for the study sites was obtained from weather meteorological stations, located in each of the three reaches. Habitat variables, length and width of the waterfalls system were measured on site. Water velocity (flow velocity) was determined by recording velocity readings using Wagtech current flow meter model WFM001 with 125mm diameter impella.

2.5 Data Analysis

To calculate mean abundance, numbers in different samples were summed for each species and averaged across all sampling reaches. Shannon-Wiener diversity function (H') was used to calculate heterogeneity for each site. Richness index was expressed using Margalef's richness index and Menhinick's richness index. The mean and standard deviation of each of the physicochemical parameters were calculated. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for statistical differences between the means of the physical and chemical parameters of the sampling reaches.

$$
d = (S - 1) / logN
$$
 [20]

$$
H^{'}=\text{-}\sum_{i=1}^s P_i\text{ In }P_i_{[21]}
$$

 $D_{Mn} = S/\sqrt{N}$ [22] [23]

H´ = Shannon-Wiener Diversity Function [21]

 $E = H/H_{max} = H'/lnS$ [21] [24]

E = Equitability**/**Evenness is the ratio of observed diversity to maximum diversity

d = Margalef's richness index

 D_{Mn} = Menhinick's richness index where S = total species number and \sqrt{N} = square root of the total number of individuals summed over all S species.

S = total species number

 p_{i} = proportion of each species in each sample Relative abundance $% = (n/N) \times 100$,

n refers to the number of individuals of the species in the samples and N to the total number of individuals of fish caught.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Fish Species Composition, Abundance and Distribution

Need to refer to all tables in numerical sequence, from Table 1 to Table 5. i n this section Tables 2- 4 are omitted. The results revealed a total of 833 fish specimens representing 18 species belonging to 15 genera from 9 families in rainy and dry seasons (Table 1), which is in deficit of four fish species, in the previous study which are *Alestes nurse* (Characidae), *Aphyosemion gardneri* (Cyprinodontidae) and *Pelmatochromis guntheri* and *Hemichromis fasciatus* (Cichlidae). Cichlidae, Clariidae and Bagridae were the most abundant families accounting for 54.4% of the total catch with Cichlidae (23.17%) as the most dominant family and Mockokidae (1.68%) as the least with *Tilapia zillii* (15.4%), *Clarias gariepinus* (10.9%) and *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* (9.5%) were the most dominant species accounting for 35.8% and *Synodontis clarias* (1.7%) with the least abundance. The highest monthly fish abundance value was recorded in *Tilapia zillii* with 128 individuals, followed by *Clarias gariepinus* 91 and *Synodontis clarias* (14) with the least number of individuals (see Table 2). While seasonal variation of fish abundance showed that, *Tilapia zillii* (68) had the highest number of fish specimens during the rainy

season and *Synodontis clarias* (6) with the least number during the dry season (see Table 3). *Tilapia zillii* (86) also had the highest number of individuals in the downstream with *Hydrocynus vittatus* (2) and *Synodontis clarias* (2) with the least number of individuals (see Table 4). Fish species distribution along reaches, revealed downstream reach as dominant with 15 taxa and 607 (72.9%) individuals followed by upstream with 11 taxa 121 (14.4%) individuals with midstream also with 11 taxa but least (12.6%) number of individuals (Table 5). During sampling *Aphyosemion filamentosum* and *Epiplatys sexfasciatus* in the family Cyprinodontidae; *Distichodus rostratus* and *Distichodus engycephalus* in the family Distichodontidae and *Clarias anguillaris* in the family Clariidae where not in the distribution in upstream and midstream while *Auchenoglanis occidentalis* in the family Bagridae were not represented in the distribution but only in upstream and *Hepsetus odoe* in the family Hepsetidae were also not represented except in midstream Table 5. The dominant fish species in upstream were *Tilapia zillii* (24.8%) followed by *Clarias gariepinus* (20.7%) and *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* (13.2%); midstream had *Clarias gariepinus* (15.2%) as dominant, *Chrysicthys nigrodigitatus* (13.2%) and *Tilapia zillii* (11.4%) as the least.

3.2 Richness and Diversity Indices

The Shannon Wiener diversity index for the six months was 2.7101 with Equitability or Evenness value of 0.9376. The Richness index value of Margalef's was 2.5279 while the Menhinick's richness index value was 0.6237 Table 2. The seasonal variation showed a rainy season Shannon Wiener value of 2.7363 with Equitability value of 0.9467 and with 2.7536 Margalef's richness value and 0.8215 Menhinick's richness index value. The rainy season Richness and Diversity index values were all higher than the dry season's with Shannon Wiener 2.6618, Equitability 0.9209, Margalef's index 2.8978 and Menhinick's index 0.9580 Table 3. The results for the reaches showed a higher Shannon Wiener index value of 2.7329 for downstream followed by midstream 2.1756 and upstream with the lowest value of 2.1695. It followed the same pattern with Evenness, Margalef's and Menhinick's index with downstream values of 0.9455, 2.6527 and 0.7306 respectively; midstream 0.8755, 2.3635 and 1.1711 respectively with upstream values of 0.8731, 2.2937 and 1.0909 respectively Table 4.

3.3 Physico-chemical and Hydrological Properties

The results of the physico-chemical properties of the study area are shown in Figs $2 - 4$. Upstream Temperature values ranged from $24.2 - 29.0^{\circ}$ C, dissolved oxygen 6.0 - 8.7mg/l and conductivity $14.0 - 72.10 \mu$ S/cm (Fig. 2). The lowest value of temperature and the highest of conductivity were recorded in September while February had the least values of dissolved oxygen and highest pH. At the midstream the temperature ranged from $24.2 - 29.2^{\circ}$ C, DO $5.0 - 6.6$ mg/l and conductivity 70.2 – 12.1 μ S/cm (Fig. 3). The months of September recorded the highest value of conductivity and lowest temperature while that of January had the highest of temperature and lowest of conductivity. The pH was almost constant with a lower range of $6.7 - 7.1$ with the highest value recorded in February. Similar trend in the values of physico-chemical properties was also recorded at the downstream with temperature and conductivity. However February had the least conductivity value and the highest temperature. The range in the values of conductivity were lower at the downstream than midstream and upstream respectively.

Seasonal variations in physico-chemical properties showed that, dissolved oxygen and conductivity were significantly (P< 0.05) higher in the raining season. While pH was not $(P > 0.05)$ significant, temperature was higher ($p < 0.05$) in dry season than in the raining season.

The overall mean values of the hydrological properties showed a significantly higher mean values of rainfall (364.67± 0.00 mm) in the rainy and transparency (45.55 ± 1.58) in the dry season at $(P < 0.05)$ but water velocity showed no significant difference $(P > 0.05)$ with values that ranged from $0.76\pm0.04 - 1.37\pm0.05$ with the least value in October and highest in January (Table 7).

4. DISCUSSION

Fish communities are described, identified and classified in various ways reflecting the goals of the present? study and the attributes to be emphasized. In some cases fish communities or species assemblages have been named on the basis of ecology and numerical dominance. The ichthyofauna diversity of Agbokim Waterfalls had

previously been investigated [9] [12] with a total of 5484 fish representing 22 fish species belonging to 16 genera from 9 families with *Tilapia zilii* (Cichlidae 22.0%), *Clarias gariepinus* (Clariidae 17.7%) and *Labeo coubie* (Cyprinidae 175.0%) as dominant fish species and families. A re-evaluation study was conducted following concerns from stakeholders and some nongovernmental organizations on the abuse of the system arising from anthropogenic activities and environmental perturbation. This investigation revealed a total number of 833 fish specimens representing 18 species belonging to 15 genera from 9 families which is in deficit of four fish species, in the previous study Ikpi [9] and Offem, [12], which are *Alestes nurse* (Characidae), *Aphyosemion gardneri* (Cyprinodontidae) and *Pelmatochromis guntheri* and *Hemichromis fasciatus* (Cichlidae) and with *Tilapia zillii* (Cichlidae), *Clarias gariepinus* (Clariidae) and *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* (Bagridae) as dominant fish species and families which is not in agreement with the previous study Ikpi and Offem, [12] where the third dominant species was *Labeo coubie*. This findings revealed a lower fish abundance and richness in the present study than the previous study, and followed the trend of the previous study, where downstream revealed a higher abundance with 607 individuals followed by upstream with 121 and midstream 105. Similar studies Olele et al. [25] followed the same pattern on the composition, abundance and distribution of fishes in Onah Lake, Asaba, Nigeria, showed that site C was the most abundant with 1009 fish species followed by site A with 880 individuals and site B with the least abundance. The number of individuals in the present study, is far lower than that of the previous study Ikpi, [9] which has supports the possibility of a negative impact of anthropogenic activities, faulty agricultural practices leading to soil erosion and runoff of chemicals such as pesticides and fertilizers into the waterfalls, which is similar to the findings of Betts et al*.* [4] that pesticides generally reduce the abundance and diversity of fish and aquatic invertebrates in rivers and streams that receive polluted runoffs [5] [6]. The present study is also higher than Ndome et al. [26] on the ichthyofauna of the upstream and downstream reaches of the Kwa Falls, Oban, Cross River State, Nigeria with a total of 562 fish representing 12 species belonging to 11 genera from 10 families and 6 orders; and also higher

Table 1. Composition and relative abundance of fish families and species

S/N	FISH SPECIES	Abundance	Pi	(In) Pi	Pi (In) Pi
1)	Hepsetus odoe	30	0.0360	-3.3242	-0.1197
2)	Hydrocynus vittatus	21	0.0252	-3.6809	-0.0928
3)	Alestes macrolepidotus	47	0.0564	-2.8753	-0.1622
4)	Auchenoglanis occidentalis	50	0.0600	-2.8134	-0.1689
5)	Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus	79	0.0948	-2.3560	-0.2234
6)	Heterobranchus bidorsalis	22	0.0264	-3.6344	-0.0960
7)	Clarias gariepinus	91	0.1092	-2.2146	-0.2419
8)	Clarias anguillaris	18	0.0216	-3.8351	-0.0829
9)	Synodontis clarias	14	0.0168	-4.0864	-0.0687
10)	Distichodus rostratus	43	0.0516	-2.9642	-0.1530
11)	Distichodus engycephalus	18	0.0216	-3.8351	-0.0829
12)	Labeo coubie	71	0.0852	-2.4628	-02099
13)	Barbus occidentalis	29	0.0348	-3.3581	-0.1169
14)	Aphyosemion filamentosum	61	0.0732	-2.6146	-0.1915
15)	Epiplatys sexfasciatus	46	0.0552	-2.8968	-0.1600
16)	Tilapia zillii	128	0.1537	-1.8728	-0.2878
17)	Tilapia melanopleura	26	0.0312	-3.4673	-0.1082
18)	Oreochromis niloticus	39	0.0468	-3.0619	-0.1434
	Total	833			-2.7101
	Shannon Wiener Index				2.7101

Table 2. Fish abundance during rainy and dry seasons using diversity indices

Shannon Wiener Index H' = -∑ = 2.7101 Margalef's Index d = (S – 1)/lnN = 2.5279 Menhinick's Index DMn = S/√N = 0.6237

 ln18

Evenness (E)/Equitability = 0.9376

than Ikenna et al. [27] in the investigation of Otamiri River, with a total of 129 benthic fish fauna belonging to 5 species and 4 families with *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* (32.65%) as the most dominant fish species and *Synodontis soloni* (2.18%) as less dominant. Ikenna et al. [27] reported that anthropogenic activities at Otamiri River (a tributary of Imo River) in Imo State, Nigeria affected the faunal diversity of the system.

4.1 Fish Richness and Diversity Indices

The overall Margalef's richness index September, 2021 to February, 2022 was 2.5279 which was higher than 1.932 reported by Ndome et al. [26] in their downstream. The Menhinick's richness index value 0.6237 of the AGAIN BE CLEAR ON WHICH STUDY present study was however lower than the Margalef's richness value of Ndome et al. [26]. The Shannon Wiener Index during rainy and dry seasons also in the present study was 2.7101 which was higher than Emmanuel [28] with 2.015, 1.899 and 1.896 of the three stations in the three tributaries of River Ore, South West, Nigeria. Equitability 0.9376 in

the presentAGAINstudy was also higher than Emmanuel [28] with value 0.740. The difference can be attributed to disparity in ecological zones, hence the values for H' obtained for both monthly and across reaches in the present study indicates a good spread of species diversity but with low abundance. Seasonal variation in the diversity indices revealed higher values for the rainy season fish samples over dry seasons for Shannon Wiener and Equitability but with higher richness index values of Margalef's and Menhinick's for dry season over rainy season.

4.2 Physico-chemical and Hydrological Properties

Seasonal variations in physicochemical properties showed that, dissolved oxygen, and conductivity were significantly $(P < 0.05)$ higher in the raining season which was in agreement with Ikpi, [9]; Ikpi and Offem [12]. While pH was not significant ($P > 0.05$) and also in agreement with Ikpi [9]; Ikpi and Offem [12]. Temperature was higher ($p < 0.05$) in dry season than in the raining season. There was no significant difference in pH across seasons. Water

Evenness (E) = H'/lnS = 2.7101

Table 3. Seasonal variation of diversity indices by species

Shannon Wiener Index H' = -∑

Shannon Wiener index value for Rainy season = H' = 2.7363

Evenness Index (Rainy Season) = 0.9467

Shannon Wiener Index value Dry season = H' = 2.6618

Margelef's Index (d) (Dry season) = 2.8978

Menhinick's Index (DMn) (Rainy season) = 0.8215

Menhinick's Index (D_{Mn}) *(Dry season) = 0.9580*

Evenness € / Equitability (Rainy season) = 0.9467

Evenness € / Equitability (Dry season) = 0.9209

Evenness Index (Dry Season) = 0.9209

Margelef's Index (d) (Rainy season) = 2.7536

Table 4. Diversity indices in Upstream, Midstream and Downstream

Upstream: Shannon Wiener index 2.1695; Evennes 0.8731; Margalef's index 2.2937; Menhinick's index 1.0909 Midstream: Shannon Wiener index 2.1756; Evenness 0.8755; Margalef's index 2.3635; Menhinick's index 1.1711 Downstream: Shannon Wiener index 2.7329; Evenness 0.9455; Margalef's index 2.6527; Menhinick's index 0.7306

Table 5. Fish distribution in sampling Reaches during rainy and dry seasons

Values in parenthesis = percentage abundance (%)

Fig. 2. Monthly variation of the physico-chemical parameters in upstream

Fig. 3. Monthly variation of the physico-chemical parameters in midstream

Mean with the same alphabet in the same parameter for the respective seasons are not significant at (p < 0.05)

Mean with the same alphabet in the same parameter for the respective seasons are not significant at (p < 0.05)

Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen and pH recorded in this study were within the values recommended by Boyd [29].

The overall mean values of the hydrological properties showed a significantly higher mean values of Rainfall (364.67 \pm 0.00 mm) in the rainy and transparency (45.55± 1.58) in the dry season at P < 0.05. Water velocity showed no significant difference which was not in agreement with Ikpi and Offem [12] that showed significant differences.

5. CONCLUSION

The present study showed a total number of 833 fish specimens representing 18 species belonging to 15 genera from 9 families which is in deficit of four fish species, which are *Alestes nurse* (Characidae), *Aphyosemion gardneri*

(Cyprinodontidae) and *Pelmatochromis guntheri* and *Hemichromis fasciatus* (Cichlidae) which is in difference of the previous study [9][12] with a total of 5484 fish representing 22 fish species belonging to 16 genera from 9 families. Whereas *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* (Bagridae) is the third dominant fish species in this study, *Labeo coubie* (Cyprinidae) was the third dominant fish species. Water velocity was influenced by rainfall intensity and steep gradient. In order to determine the cause of decline in fish species composition and abundance, further research on the heavy metals profile of the waterfalls is suggested.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETfund) for funding this research. We also thank the Agbokim community and Mr Ekuri for assisting in the collection of samples.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Tejerina-Garro FL, Maldonado M, Ibañez C, Pont D, Roset N, Oberdorff T. Effects of natural and anthropogenic environmental changes on riverine fish assemblages: a Framework for ecological assessment of Rivers, Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology. 2005;48(1):91 – 108.
- 2. Poff NL, Allan D, Bain MB, Karr JR, Prestegaard KL, Richter BD, Sparks RE, Stromberg JC. The natural flow regime. A paradigm for river conservation and restoration. Bioscience. 1997;47:769 - 784.
- 3. Fiedler PL, Jain SK. Conservation biology, the theory and practice of nature conservation, preservation and management, Chapman and Hall, New York, London. 1992;169.
- 4. Bettes JT, Espinoza JFM, Dans AJ, Jordan CA, Mayer JL, Urquhart GR. Fishing with Pesticides Affects River Fisheries and Community Health in the Indio Maíz Biological Reserve, Nicaragua, Sustainability. 2020;12:10152. DOI:103390/su122310152
- 5. Fleeger JW, Carman KR, Nisbet RM. Indirect effects of contaminants in aquatic ecosystems. Sci. Total Environ. 2003;317:207–233.
- 6. Schäfer RB. Responses of freshwater macroinvertebrates to pesticides: Insights from field studies. Curr. Opin.Environ. Sci. Health. 2019;11:1–7.
- 7. Polidoro BA, Morra MJ. An ecological risk assessment of pesticides and fish kills in the Sixaola watershed, Costa Rica. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2016;(23):5983– 5991.
- 8. Moyle PB, Leidy RA. Loss in Biodiversity in Aquatic Ecosystem: Evidence from Fish Fauna. In: conservation Biology. The theory and practice of nature conservation, preservation and management, Fiedler, P.L. and Jain, S. K. (eds). Chapman and Hall, London. 1992;127 – 169.
- 9. Ikpi GU. Ichthyofauna diversity of Agbokim Waterfalls, Cross River State, Nigeria. Ph.D Thesis, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. 2010;254.
- 10. Moyle PB, Cech Jr JJ. Fishes: An introduction to ichthyology; 2^{nd} ed. Englewood Cliffs, N. J: Prentice Halll; 1988.
- 11. Davis TJ. The Ramsar Convention manual: A guide for the Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as waterfall habitat. Ramsar Convention Bureau, T. J. Davis ed., Gland, Switzerland. 1994;207.
- 12. Ikpi GU, Offem BO. Fish Composition and Distribution of Tropical Waterfalls in South Eastern Nigeria, Journal of Asian Scientific Research. 2011;1(6):299-311.
- 13. Offem BO, Ikpi GU. Distribution and dynamics of a tropical waterfalls system, Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems. 2012;404:10p1-10p16, ONEMA.DOI: 101051/kmae/2012004
- 14. Teugels GG, Reid M, King RP. Fishes of the Cross River Basin. Taxonomy, Zoogeography, ecology and conservation. Ann. Mus. R. Afr. Centr. 1992;266:1-32.
- 15. Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO, 1994). Catalogue of small scale fishing gears in Nigeria RAFR/014/F1/94/02. 1994;142.
- 16. Idodo-Umeh G. Freshwater fishes of Nigeria. Taxonomy, ecological notes, diets and utilization. Idodo-Umeh Publishers Limited. 2003;232.
- 17. Olaosebikan BD, Raji A. Field guide to Nigerian freshwater fishes. Federal College of Freshwater Fishes (FCFF), New Bussa, Niger State, Nigeria. 1988;106.
- 18. Holden M, Reed W. West African freshwater. Longman Group Ltd, London. 1972;68.
- 19. American Public Health Association (APHA). Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water Washington DC; 1997.
- 20. Zar JH. Biostatistical Analysis. Prentice Hall International, London; 1996.
- 21. Magurran AE. Ecological diversity and its measurement, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersy. 1988;179.
- 22. Vyverman W. The Indo-Malaysian North-Australian phycogeographical region
revised. Hydrobiologia. 1996:118:107-Hydrobiologia. 1996;118:107-120.
- 23. Whittaker RH. Evolution of species diversity in land communities. In Evolutionary Biology, eds (M. K. Hecht, W. C. Steere and B. Wallace, Plenum, New York. 1977;10:1-67.

- 24. Pielou EC. An introduction to mathematical ecology, Wiley, New York; 1969.
- 25. Olele NF, Obi A, Okonji VA. Composition, abundance and distribution of fishes in Onah Lake, Asaba, Nigeria, African Journal of General Agriculture. 2008; $(4):171 - 180.$
- 26. Ndome CB, Olaleye IG, Ubangha II. The ichthyofauna of the upstream and downstream reaches of the KWA Falls, Oban, Akamkpa Local Government Area, Cross River State, Nigeria, International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies. 2021;9(4):234-238.
- 27. Ikenna OK, Didigwu NC, Eijkeme OG, Benthic Fish Fauna and Physicochemical Parameters of Otamiri River, Imo State, Nigeria. Fisheries and Aquaculture Journal. 2017;8(2):1–8.
- 28. Emmanuel LO, Modupe OO. Fish diversity in three tributaries of River Ore, South West, Nigeria, World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences. 2010;2(6):524 – 531.
- 29. Boyd CE. Water quality in warm water fish ponds. Agricultural Station, Auburn University, Alabama. 1979;35.

© 2022 Ikpi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89032*