

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

34(19): 16-23, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.86863 ISSN: 2320-7035

Determination of Genetic Variability and Diversity in Bread Wheat for Yield and Yield Contributing Traits

Himanshu Chaudhary aⱷ* , J. P. Jaiswal a# , Amit Kumar ^a and Sivendra Joshi ^a

^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar - 263145, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i1931084

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86863

Original Research Article

Received 22 February 2022 Accepted 01 May 2022 Published 16 May 2022

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to estimate genetic variability and genetic divergence for 8 traits in 52 diverse genotypes of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under normal sowing condition. For all of the features studied, the analysis of variance revealed extremely significant differences in mean square owing to genotypes. Grain yield per plot and tillers per meter have high genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percent of mean was observed for grain yield/plot and tillers/meter and plant height. Genetic divergence was assessed by Mahalanobis \dot{D}^2 statistic, which grouped 52 genotypes into seven clusters. Maximum genetic divergence was observed between cluster V and VI followed by that between VI and VII (D=34.61). Cluster IV had desirable rating for spike length, spikelets/spike, thousand grain weight and yield/plot. Cluster VII had desirable rating for tillers/meter and had highest contribution towards total genetic divergence.

Keywords: Genetic variability; genetic divergence; heritability; genetic advance and D 2 statistic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the major staple food grains of India and globally as well with a good source of energy and nutrition. It is grown under diverse agro-ecological conditions of India where growth conditions differ and so is the yield harvest (Mohan et al., 2022). "Wheat is

^ⱷPh.D. Scholar; #Professor;

**Corresponding author: E-mail: himanshugenetics2513@gmail.com;*

the second most important food crop after rice in terms of both area and production. In India, during 2020-21, the annual production of wheat was 108.75 million tons with an average national productivity of 3424 kg/ha" (IIWBR, 2021). Punjab, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, and Rajasthan, which are located on the Indo-Gangetic Plains and account for 85 percent of total wheat production in India, are the major wheat producing states. Crop improvement programmes require an ample amount of genetic variation to be available. For a successful breeding programme, it is critical to understand the variation in characters and the association between a particular trait and other traits that affect crop yield [1]. The breeding program's most important consideration is the selection of parents. Effective selection necessitates knowledge of the nature and magnitude of population diversity, the relationships between traits and yield, and the extent to which the environment influences the expression of these traits [2]. Therefore, a breeder must use measures such as the phenotypic coefficient of variation, genotypic coefficient of variation, heritability, and genetic advance to get a comprehensive picture of the population's variability [3]. "Thus, the aforesaid parameters offer information regarding the availability of genetic variability for different characters in germplasm. Therefore, study of genetic variability of grain yield and its component characters among different varieties provides a strong basis for picking of suitable genotypes for expansion of yield and other agronomic characteristics" [4]. In a transgressive breeding programme, the selection of genetically diverse parents for hybridization is also dependent on the classification of breeding materials. The Mahalanobis D^2 is a powerful genetic divergence measurement technique. Diverse parentage is important in plant breeding because it allows for higher heterosis in hybrids than is possible in crosses between closely related parents, which in turn can lead to a wider range of genetic variety in genetically segregating populations [5].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifty-two wheat genotypes were evaluated in a completely randomized block design (RBD) with three replications during *Rabi,* 2020-21 at the N.E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre (NEBCRC), G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and

Technology, Pantnagar, District U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand. Each entry was planted in a 2 m long, two-row plot. The rows were spaced 20 cm apart. All the recommended package of practices for wheat was followed to raise a healthy crop. The observations for eight quantitative traits, *viz*., plant height, spike length, spikelets/spike, tillers/meter, 1000 grain weight and yield/plot were recorded from five randomly selected plants in each entry while the observations for days to heading and days to maturity were recorded on plot basis. ANOVA was estimated as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme [6], to estimate the Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV) and Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (GCV), the coefficient of variation was calculated as suggested by Sivasubramanian and Menon [7], heritability in the broad sense was estimated using the formulae proposed by Burton and De Vane [8], and genetic advance (GA) was calculated using the formulae proposed by Johnson et al $\overline{[9]}$. The D² statistic $\overline{[10]}$ as a measure of genetic divergence was calculated using the procedure as described by Rao [11]. The genotypes were clustered according to Tocher's approach [11].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Genetic Variability

Individual genotypes in a population have a tendency to differ from one another, which is measured by genetic variability. The variability of a trait refers to how much it varies in response to environmental and genetic factors. In this study, ANOVA showed that the mean sum of squares due to genotypes was highly significant for all the traits as shown in Table 1, suggesting the existence of ample quantity of genetic variation among the genotypes for all the eight characters under study. Table 2 lists the various components under investigation. For all of the traits, there was a close relationship between genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV). PCV had a slightly higher magnitude than GCV, indicating that environmental variation had minimal effect on their expression. This suggested that phenotypic variability may be used to estimate genotypic variability. Related findings have also been reported by Anzer et al. [12]; Kumar et al. [13]; Rathwa et al. [14] and Gaur [15].

 \mathbf{r}

Table 1. ANOVA for various characters in 52 bread wheat genotypes

**, ** Significant at 5% and 1% levels, respectively*

Table 2. Phenotypic range, coefficient of range, phenotypic (PCV %) and genotypic (GCV %) coefficients of variation, heritability, genetic advance and genetic advance expressed as a percent of mean for various characters in bread wheat

Where, DH- days to heading, DM- days to maturity, PH- plant height, SL- spike length, SPS- spikelets/spike, TPM- tillers/meter, TGWthousand grain weight, Y/P- yield/plot Deshmukh et al*.* [16] classified "PCV and GCV values as low (0-10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (20% and above). The high genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic coefficient of variation was observed for yield/plot and tillers/meter. The high genotypic coefficient of variation revealed that the characters under investigation had a wide range of variation, allowing for individual trait selection". For traits with high genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation like grain yield/plot and tillers/meter were reported by Sidharthan and Malik, [17]; Zarkti et al*.* [18]; Yadav et al. [19]; Nusrat et al*.* [20]; Anzer et al*.* [12]; Kumar et al*.* [13]; Gaur, [15], Santosh and Jaiswal, [21] and Ibrahim, [22].

Robinson et al*.* [23] classified "heritability values as high (>60%), moderate (30-60%) and values less than 30% low". The heritability estimates presented here were made in a broad sense only, and hence the overall genetic variance may contain dominance and epistatic components that are not selectable. In present study, high heritability in broad sense estimates were observed for plant height (99.02%), spike length (95.98%), days to heading (92.21%), tillers/meter (92.00%), days to maturity (90.47%), 1000-grain weight (86.55%), yield/plot (86.00%) and spikelets/spikes (82.00%). Similar findings were also reported by Sidharthan and Malik, [17];

Majumder et al*.* [24]; Kamboj, [25]; Alam et al*.* [26]; Kumar et al*.* [13]; Wolde et al*.* [27] and Bhanu et al*.* [28], Santosh and Jaiswal, [21].

Falconer and Mackay [29] classified genetic advance as percent of mean as low (0-10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (20% and above). The genetic advance expressed as percent of mean was highest for tillers/meter (50.35%) and yield/plot (48.14%). Similar findings were reported by Yadav et al*.* [19], Rathwa et al*.* [14], Guar, [15] and Mangroliya et al., [4].

3.2 Genetic Diversity

Genetic diversity is different from variability, genetic diversity is the amount of variation seen in a particular population. Genetic divergence analysis plays an important role in assessing the nature of diversity in order to identify the genetically diverse genotypes for their use in plant breeding programmes. In the present study, seven diverse clusters are formed from 52 genotypes which are shown in Table 3. Dendrogram depicting the distribution of 52 genotypes among 7 clusters by employing Tocher's method. On the basis of D^2 values, seven clusters were formed from 52 genotypes. The cluster II having largest number of genotypes (18) followed by cluster I (15), cluster VI (7), cluster V (6), cluster IV (3) and cluster III (2). On the other hand, cluster VII was the solitary cluster.

Table 3. Grouping of 52 genotypes of bread wheat in various clusters on the basis of D 2 statistic

Chaudhary et al.; IJPSS, 34(19): 16-23, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.86863

Fig. 1. The dendrogram depicting the distribution of 52 bread wheat genotypes into 7 diverse clusters

Table 4. Average intra-cluster and inter-cluster distances in 52 genotypes of bread wheat

Clusters			Ш	IV		V١	VII
	10.95	16.76	20.47	16.17	24.47	17.95	23.21
Ш		11.96	19.14	17.31	16.24	27.07	21.30
Ш			5.36	22.82	24.53	30.90	26.36
IV				14.27	24.99	24.04	26.14
					13.81	35.34	19.97
V١						14.96	34.61
VII							0.00

In general, intra-cluster distances were lower than the inter-cluster distances, as found by

Alam et al. [26], Santosh et al. [21] and Mangroliya et al., respectively [4]. As a result, genotypes within a cluster tended to be less diverse from one another. The intra-cluster distance (D) ranged from 5.36 (cluster-III) to 14.96 (cluster-VI). The high intra-cluster distance suggested that the genotypes had more genetic variation, which may be leveraged to boost bread wheat productivity. Cluster V and VI had the greatest inter-cluster distance (D=35.34), followed by Cluster VI and VII (D=34.61). Cluster I and IV were found to have the shortest intercluster distance (D=16.17). D² analysis revealed the wider genetic diversity among 52 genotypes of bread wheat which were grouped into seven clusters.

Cluster VII outperformed the other clusters in terms of days to heading and days to maturity, whereas Cluster IV received favourable ratings for spike length, spikelets/spike, thousand grain weight, and yield/plot in this study. Cluster VII had desirable rating for tillers/meter. Therefore, intercrossing of these genotypes involved in these clusters should thus be beneficial for producing diversity in the corresponding traits and rationally improving grain yield in bread wheat.

3.3 Cluster Means

Cluster means were calculated for all the characters which exhibited considerable differences among the clusters. The mean performance of the clusters (Table 5) was used to select genetically diverse and agronomically superior genotypes out of 52 genotypes studied.

The highest cluster mean for days to 75% heading was exhibited by cluster III (88.17) followed by cluster I (79.49), cluster II (79.44) and lowest for cluster VII (77.00). The highest cluster mean for days to maturity was exhibited by cluster III (133.50) followed by cluster I (122.20), cluster II (122.15) and lowest for cluster VII (117.00). The highest cluster mean for plant height was exhibited by cluster VI (108.49) followed by cluster I (97.45), cluster IV (91.11) and lowest for cluster V (78.48). The highest cluster mean for spike length was exhibited by cluster IV (12.24) followed by cluster VI (11.04), cluster II (10.82) and lowest for cluster VII (7.61).

The highest cluster mean for spikelets/spike was exhibited by cluster IV (20.44) followed by cluster VI (20.16), cluster I (19.67) and lowest for cluster II (19.13). The highest cluster mean for tillers/ meter was exhibited by cluster VII (167.33) followed by cluster IV (159.44), cluster III

(157.50) and lowest for cluster V (116.17). The highest cluster mean for thousand grain weight was exhibited by cluster IV (46.20) followed by cluster VII (45.67), cluster III (44.51) and lowest for cluster V (36.51). The highest cluster mean for yield/plot was exhibited by cluster IV (479.67) followed by cluster VII (472.33), cluster III (445.00) and lowest for cluster VI (342.71).

4. CONCLUSION

Crop improvement relies on a wide range of genetic variation because it provides a greater opportunity for selection. Thus, the effectiveness of selection is dependent upon the nature, extent, and magnitude of genetic diversity present in the material and the extent to which it is heritable. For tillers/meter and yield/plot, estimations of high heritability along with high GCV and genetic progress expressed as a percentage of mean were observed in this study. The more genetically varied parents employed in a hybridization program, the more likely high heterotic hybrids will result [5]. Therefore, in the present investigation, based on high yielding genotypes and large inter-cluster distances, it is recommended that genotypes from cluster IV be crossed with genotypes from cluster VII which may result in a broad spectrum of favourable genetic variability for yield improvement in bread wheat.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mary SS, Gopalan A. Dissection of genetic attributes yield traits of fodder cowpea in F3 and F4. J Appl. Sci. Res. 2006; 2(10):805-808.
- 2. Yagdi K. Path coefficient analysis of some yield components in durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.). Pak. J Bot. 2009; 41(2):745- 751.
- 3. Kurmanchali M, Kurmanchali N, Kukreti A, Sihag N, Chaudhary H, Karnwal MK. Study of genetic variability, heritability and
genetic advance for various vield genetic advance for various attributing and quality traits in basmati rice (Oryza sativa L.). *International Journal of Chemical Sciences*, 2019;7(1):2486- 2489.
- 4. Mangroliya UC, Sapovadiya MH. Estimation of genetic variability and

diversity in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) for yield and yield contributing traits.
Journal of Pharmacoanosy and Journal of Pharmacognosy *Phytochemistry*, 2020; *9*(5), 784-789.

- 5. Arunachalam V. Genetic distance in plant breeding. Indian J Genet. & Plant Breed. 1981; 41:226-236.
- 6. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, 1985, 87-89.
- 7. Sivasubramanian S, Menon M. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in rice. *Madras Agric. J*, 1973; 60(7):1139- 1140.
- 8. Burton GW, De Vane EH. Estimating heritability in tall Fescues (Festuca *arundinaceae*) from replicated clonal material. Agron. J. 1953; 45:1476- 1481.
- 9. Johnson HW, Robinson HE. Comstock RE. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J. 1955; 47:314-318.
- 10. Mahalanobis PC. On the generalized distance in statistics. *Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India,* 1936*;* 2: 49-55.
- 11. Rao CR. Advanced statistical method in biometric research. John Wiley and Sons Inc*.* New York, USA. 1952.
- 12. Anzer UI, Chhabra AK, Dhanda SS, Ovais HP. Genetic diversity and correlation studies for yield and its components in bread wheat under heat stress conditions. IOSR J Agri. Veter. Sci., 2017; 10(5):71- 77.
- 13. Kumar Y, Bishnoi OP, Singh V. Dissection of genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes for yield and its attributes. Int. J Pure App. Biosci. 2018; 6(3):32- 37.
- 14. Rathwa HK, Pansuriya AG, Patel JB, Jalu RK. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.). Int. J Curr. Microbio. App. Sci. 2018; 7(1):1208-1215.
- 15. Guar SC. Genetic Improvement through Variability, Herit ability and Genetic Advance for Grain Yield and its contributing traits in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em Thell). Int. J Pure App. Biosci. 2019; 7(1):368-373.
- 16. Deshmukh SN, Basu MS, Reddy PS. Genetic variability, character association and path coefficients and quantitative traits in Virginia bunch varieties of groundnut.

Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1986; 56:816-821.

- 17. Sidharthan B, Malik SK. Variability studies in wheat. Int. J Agric. Sci., 2007; 3:142- 144.
- 18. Zarkti H, Ouabbou H, Udupa SM, Gaboun F, Hilali A. Agro morphological variability in durum wheat landraces of Morocco. Australian J Crop Sci*.* 2012; 6(7):1172- 1178.
- 19. Yadav SK, Singh AK, Baghel SS, Jarman M, Singh, AK. Assessment of genetic variability and diversity for yield and its contributing traits among CIMMYT based wheat germplasm. *Journal of Wheat Research*, 2014; *6*(2), 154-159.
- 20. Nusrat, Subhash C, Kashyap. Studies on Genetic variability in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under temperate conditions of Kashmir. IJSR. 2015; 5(8):1442-1445.
- 21. Santosh, Jaiswal JP, Singh A, Gahatyari NC. Genetic Diversity Analysis in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.em. Thell.) for Yield and Physiological Traits. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019; 8(2):3059- 3068.
- 22. Ibrahim AU. Genetic variability, Correlation and Path analysis for Yield and yield components in F6 generation of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* Em. Thell.). IOSR J Agri. Veter. Sci, 2019; 12(1):17-23.
- 23. Robinson HF, Comstock RE, Harvey PH. Estimates of heritability and the degree of dominance in corn. Agron. J. 1949; 41:353-359.
- 24. Majumder DAN, Shamsuddin AKM, Kabir MA, Hassan L. Genetic variability, correlated response and path analysis of yield and yield contributing traits of spring wheat. J Bangladesh Agril. Univ. 2008; 6(2):227-234.
- 25. Kamboj RK. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in bread wheat under salinity stress conditions. Madras Agric. J. 2010; 97(3):98-99.
- 26. Alam MA, Khan AA, Alam MK, Sarker ZI, Rahman MM. Variability and diversity studies in durum wheat (*Triticum durum*). J Sci. Tech. 2013; 11:1-5.
- 27. Wolde T, Eticha F, Alamerew S, Assefa E, Dutamo D. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield related traits in durum wheat (*Triticum durum* L.) accessions. Sky J Agril. Res. 2016; 5(3):42-47.
- 28. Bhanu AN, Arun B, Mishra VK. Genetic variability, heritability and correlation study

of physiological and yield traits in relation to heat tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Biomed J Scientific and Technical Res., 2018; 2(1):1-5.

29. Falconer DS, Mackay TFC. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics (4th ed.), Longman, Essex, UK. 1996.

___ © 2022 Chaudhary et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution *License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86863*