



## Effect of Fertilizer Levels and Foliar Nutrition on Growth and Yield of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill)

T. Warpe Sarika<sup>a</sup>, Jakku Prasanna<sup>b\*</sup>, D. A. Sonawane<sup>a</sup>, P. B. Ghodke<sup>c</sup>  
and S. P. Ubale<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Pune- 411005, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Agronomy, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, MPKV, Rahuri, India.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i1430987

### Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86057>

Original Research Article

Received 02 February 2022  
Accepted 11 April 2022  
Published 15 April 2022

### ABSTRACT

Improper nutrition being the major constraint of soybean fields in the state of Maharashtra, an investigation entitled "Effect of fertilizer levels and foliar nutrition on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill)" was conducted during *Kharif* 2019 at Agronomy farm, College of Agriculture, Pune. The seven treatment combinations were laid out in factorial randomized block design and was replicated thrice. The results revealed that application of 100% GRDF has recorded significantly higher all the growth and yield contributing characters *viz.*, plant height (58.94 cm), number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>(6.67), leaf area plant<sup>-1</sup> (29.10 dm<sup>2</sup>), dry matter plant<sup>-1</sup> (24.30 g), number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (62.80), number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (2.46), seed weight plant<sup>-1</sup> (29.87 g), seed and straw yield (21.73 and 29.63 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). From the treatments chosen for the investigation, 1% foliar spray application with 19:19:19 recorded significantly higher growth and yield contributing characters namely, plant height (59.46 cm), number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (6.95), leaf area (10.63 dm<sup>2</sup>), dry matter plant<sup>-1</sup> (26.07 g), number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (68.25), number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (2.70), seed weight plant<sup>-1</sup>(35.37 g), grain and straw yield (25.53 and 33.51 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively).

**Keywords:** Foliar sprays; GRDF; growth; yield.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Imbalanced nutrition is one of the important constraint of soybean productivity in the North Indian plains [1]. Continuous use of high level of chemical fertilizers has led to problems of soil degradation, which is proving detrimental to soybean production. Foliar fertilization is gaining importance in plant nutrition these days. The foliar applied nutrients are more effective as compared to soil applied nutrients. Because of higher uptake efficiency, foliar supply of nutrients can increase photosynthetic efficiency by delaying the leaf senescence [2].

Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) known as Chinese pea belonging to the family *leguminaceae* and sub family *Papilionaceae* is one of the most important pulse and oilseed crop grown in *kharif* in the state of Maharashtra. This particular crop is considered as a miracle crop of 20<sup>th</sup> century and often designated as “Gold bean”. It's vital importance in Indian Agriculture, is due to the decisive role in oil economy of India. It is the cheapest and main source of dietary protein of majority vegetarian Indians. Soybean seed consists of 18-25 per cent oil and 30-50 per cent protein [3]. It is preferable for human nutrition due to its high protein content. It has been recognized as a potential supplementary source of edible oil. It is also highly adaptable to varying soil and climatic conditions, giving fairly high yield compared to other pulse crops. It is a good source of its flavones and therefore, it helps in preventing heart diseases, cancer and HIVs [4]. It helps in reducing the protein malnutrition and increasing the oil production. Hence, for the utmost care and concern on human health the crop is chosen for consumption as edible oil in the state.

Besides, Soybean production can meet the challenges of the vagaries of monsoon in view of its capacity to do well under both drought and waterlogged conditions which adversely affect other *kharif* crops. In addition it enriches the fertility of soil by addition of organic matter through defoliation of leaves.

Foliar fertilization of soybean with N, P, K and S during the seed filling period promises to increase soybean yield. This approach helps to avoid the depletion of nutrients in the leaves and avoid reduction in photosynthetic rate during this period due to poor nutrient uptake from the soil

and translocation of these elements from the leaves to the developing seeds. Foliar spray of nutrients is the fastest way to boost up crop growth because the nutrients are available to plants at the initial and critical stages. Under rainfed condition when the availability of moisture becomes scarce, the application of fertilizers as foliar spray resulted in efficient absorption and usage which are economical in respect to other methods of fertilization. Flower senescence and improper filling of pods are the major drawbacks in soybean, which can be managed through foliar application of nutrient and growth regulators [5].

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research experiment “Effect of fertilizer levels and foliar nutrition on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill)” was conducted during *Kharif* 2019 at Agronomy Farm, Plot number 27, ‘A’ Division, College of Agriculture, Pune. The Soil textural class of the experimental plot was found to be clay loam and the nutrient composition is low available nitrogen (180.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium available phosphorus (22.17 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), high available potassium (380.73 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), low organic carbon content (0.41%). The pH and EC was noted to be 7.6 (alkaline) and 0.47 respectively. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications. The treatment combinations consisted two factors in which the first factor comprised of two fertilizer levels *viz.*, F<sub>1</sub>- 100% GRDF (Gross Recommended Dose of Fertilizer), F<sub>2</sub>- 75% GRDF (Gross Recommended Dose of Fertilizer). The second factor comprised of seven levels of foliar nutrition N<sub>1</sub>- water spray at pod initiation, N<sub>2</sub>- 2% foliar spray of urea, N<sub>3</sub>- 2% foliar spray of SSP, N<sub>4</sub>- 1% foliar spray of KCL, N<sub>5</sub>- 2% foliar spray of DAP, N<sub>6</sub>- 1% foliar spray of 19:19:19 and N<sub>7</sub>- 1% foliar spray of Potassium nitrate. The variety chosen for the research trail was KDS-726 (*Phule Sangam*) due to its better adaptability to the soil type and the growing season. The sowing was undertaken on 14<sup>th</sup> August, 2019 with a spacing of 45 × 5 cm<sup>2</sup> and the seed rate of 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as prescribed by the University. As mentioned above, the Gross Recommended dose of fertilizer for soybean was taken as 50:75:45 kg NPK + 5 t of FYM (Farm Yard Manure) ha<sup>-1</sup>. The basal fertilizer application was undertook before sowing as per the different treatment combinations and also the foliar application at pre-flowering and pod initiation stage. The growth attributing characters

viz., plant height, number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, leaf area plant<sup>-1</sup> and dry matter plant<sup>-1</sup> as well as yield contributing characters like number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>, seed weight plant<sup>-1</sup>, straw weight plant<sup>-1</sup>, 100 seed weight, grain and straw yield ha<sup>-1</sup> of soybean were recorded. The crop was harvested on 26<sup>th</sup> November, 2019.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Growth Parameters

Among fertilizer levels, application of 100% GRDF has recorded significantly higher growth parameters viz., plant height (58.94 cm), number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (6.67), leaf area plant<sup>-1</sup> (29.10 dm<sup>2</sup>) and dry matter plant<sup>-1</sup> (24.30 g). This was followed by application of 75% GRDF. Higher dry matter production at 100% GRDF might be obtained due to significantly recorded higher crop growth contributing characters at all the growth stages. The results are in line with the findings of Ayyadurai et al. [6] and Pradhan et al. [7].

Among the different treatment combinations the growth attributes viz., plant height (59.46 cm) obtained was significantly more due to 2% foliar spray of urea than the other treatments. It might be due to availability of nitrogen through foliar spray of urea. Nitrogen increases photosynthetic activity and helps in maintaining auxin level, which might have resulted in better plant height. Number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (6.95), leaf area (10.63 dm<sup>2</sup>) and dry matter accumulation per plant (26.07 g) were found significantly maximum with the application of 1% foliar spray of 19:19:19. This increase in dry matter production plant<sup>-1</sup> is due to higher proportion of nutrients in the foliar fertilization that enhanced the crop growth and photosynthetic activity which leads to better supply of carbohydrates and ultimately higher dry matter production per plant. Thakur et al. [8] concluded that foliar spray of 2% 19:19:19 in blackgram recorded higher plant height (29.2 cm), leaf area index (2.83 dm<sup>2</sup>) and dry matter plant<sup>-1</sup> over control. The results are in line with findings of Ullasa et al. [9].

#### 3.2 Yield Parameters

The yield contributing characters like number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (62.80), number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (2.46), seed weight plant<sup>-1</sup> (29.87 g), straw weight plant<sup>-1</sup> (11.20 g), 100 seed weight (20.27 g) were found significantly higher with the application of 100% GRDF. This was followed by application of

75% GRDF. The increase in yield contributing characters and proper seed setting may leads to give more weight of 100 seed weight. There was a significant influence of the nutrient levels with respect to grain and straw yield. The statistically higher grain and straw yield of 21.73 and 29.63 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively were recorded with the application of 100% GRDF. The reason behind increase in seed yield of soybean might be due to adequate supply of nutrients which helped in better absorption and translocation inside plant system which increased the growth and yield contributing characters that lead to better pod and seed setting with proper development and ultimately the higher seed yield of soybean was achieved. Similar findings were reported by Joshi and Billore [10].

Similarly, The yield attributes viz., number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (68.25), number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (2.70), seed weight plant<sup>-1</sup> (35.37 g), straw weight plant<sup>-1</sup> (13.99 g), 100 seed weight (21.51 g) were found significantly higher with the application of 1% foliar spray of 19:19:19 but it was found at par with the application of 2% foliar spray of DAP. Spraying of optimum amount of nutrients at proper growth stage may lead to increase in yield contributing characters. The application of 1% foliar spray of 19:19:19 has recorded the higher grain and straw yield of soybean (25.53 and 33.51 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The foliar supply of optimum amounts of nutrient source leads to better translocation of photosynthates from source to sink and there by better yield attributing characters and yield. The results are in line with findings of Dandge et al. [11].

#### 3.3 Economics

From the research trial undertaken, it was found that the higher gross monetary returns (83364 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>), net monetary returns (40656 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit cost ratio (1.96) were obtained with the application of 100% GRDF which was more over the application of 75% GRDF (₹71649). The proper fertilization and fulfillment of nutrition gave maximum soybean yield which leads to better economics. These findings are in line with Malunekar et al. [12].

The 1% foliar spray of 19:19:19 recorded the higher gross monetary returns (97818 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>), net monetary returns (54881 ₹ ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit cost ratio (2.27) on comparison to the rest of the treatments. These findings are similar to the work of Kulkarni et al. [13] and Ullasa et al. [9]. It is further proved in this research that the foliar

fertilization improves all the growth and yield contributing characters and finally the yield of the crop as they are highly phloem mobile and are translocated to all parts of the plant.

**Table 1. Effect of fertilizer levels and foliar spray of nutrients on growth attributes of soybean**

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Number of branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	Leaf area plant <sup>-1</sup> (dm <sup>2</sup> )	Dry matter plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)
<b>I) Fertilizer levels</b>				
F <sub>1</sub> : 100% GRDF	58.94	6.67	29.10	24.30
F <sub>2</sub> : 75% GRDF	54.56	6.34	26.73	20.52
S.E <sub>m</sub> ±	0.57	0.08	0.59	0.56
C.D. at 5%	1.66	0.23	1.72	1.71
<b>II) Foliar spray of nutrients</b>				
N <sub>1</sub> : Water spray at pod initiation	53.43	6.08	25.19	19.13
N <sub>2</sub> : 2% Foliar spray of urea	59.46	6.45	26.69	20.55
N <sub>3</sub> : 2% Foliar spray of SSP	55.96	6.28	27.52	21.85
N <sub>4</sub> : 1% Foliar spray of KCL	56.10	6.56	27.30	22.91
N <sub>5</sub> : 2% Foliar spray of DAP	56.86	6.81	29.65	24.29
N <sub>6</sub> : 1% Foliar spray of 19:19:19	58.53	6.95	30.63	26.07
N <sub>7</sub> : 1% Foliar spray of KNO <sub>3</sub>	56.90	6.43	28.41	23.40
S.E <sub>m</sub> ±	1.07	0.15	1.10	1.04
C.D. at 5%	3.11	0.44	3.22	3.09
<b>III) Interaction (F×N)</b>				
S.E <sub>m</sub> ±	1.51	0.21	1.56	1.47
C.D. at 5%	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
General Mean	56.74	6.50	27.91	22.55

**Table 2. Effect of fertilizer levels and foliar spray of nutrients on yield attributes and yield of soybean**

Treatment	Number of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of seeds pod <sup>-1</sup>	Seed weight plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)	Straw weight plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)	100 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>I) Fertilizer levels</b>							
F <sub>1</sub> : 100% GRDF	62.80	2.46	29.87	11.20	20.27	21.73	29.63
F <sub>2</sub> : 75% GRDF	58.17	2.27	27.62	9.87	18.95	18.65	26.35
S.E <sub>m</sub> ±	0.91	0.05	0.76	0.39	0.23	0.41	0.47
C.D. at 5%	2.67	0.17	2.22	1.15	0.69	1.20	1.37
<b>II) Foliar spray of nutrients</b>							
N <sub>1</sub> : Water spray at pod initiation	50.78	1.96	19.10	8.32	17.58	16.81	23.75
N <sub>2</sub> : 2% Foliar spray of urea	56.33	2.23	24.33	9.29	18.79	17.40	25.24
N <sub>3</sub> : 2% Foliar spray of SSP	57.70	2.40	29.08	10.20	19.25	19.57	27.86
N <sub>4</sub> : 1% Foliar spray of KCL	59.36	2.26	29.11	9.49	19.36	18.30	26.17
N <sub>5</sub> : 2% Foliar spray of DAP	66.45	2.56	33.46	11.94	20.67	22.51	30.13
N <sub>6</sub> : 1% Foliar spray of 19:19:19	68.25	2.70	35.37	13.99	21.51	25.53	33.51
N <sub>7</sub> : 1% Foliar spray of KNO <sub>3</sub>	64.53	2.46	30.74	10.51	20.10	21.19	29.28
S.E <sub>m</sub> ±	1.72	0.11	1.43	0.74	0.44	0.77	0.88
C.D. at 5%	5.16	0.32	4.16	2.16	1.29	2.25	2.56
<b>III) Interaction (F×N)</b>							
S.E <sub>m</sub> ±	2.43	0.15	2.02	1.05	0.63	1.09	1.24
C.D. at 5%	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
General Mean	60.48	2.36	28.74	10.53	19.60	20.18	27.99

**Table 3. Effect of fertilizer levels and foliar spray of nutrients on economics of soybean**

Treatment	Gross monetary returns (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cost of cultivation (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net monetary returns (₹ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C ratio
<b>I) Fertilizer levels</b>				
F <sub>1</sub> : 100% GRDF	83364	42522	40656	1.96
F <sub>2</sub> : 75% GRDF	71649	38436	32132	1.86
S.E <sub>m</sub> ±	1577	-	1492	-
C.D. at 5%	4586	-	4338	-
<b>II) Foliar spray of nutrients</b>				
N <sub>1</sub> : Water spray at pod initiation	64578	41398	23180	1.55
N <sub>2</sub> : 2% Foliar spray of urea	66935	41575	25360	1.60
N <sub>3</sub> : 2% Foliar spray of SSP	75220	41899	33321	1.79
N <sub>4</sub> : 1% Foliar spray of KCL	70346	41726	28620	1.68
N <sub>5</sub> : 2% Foliar spray of DAP	86300	42522	43778	2.02
N <sub>6</sub> : 1% Foliar spray of 19:19:19	97818	42937	54881	2.27
N <sub>7</sub> : 1% Foliar spray of KNO <sub>3</sub>	81350	42445	38905	1.91
S.E <sub>m</sub> ±	2950	-	2834	-
C.D. at 5%	8579	-	8241	-
<b>III) Interaction (F×N)</b>				
S.E <sub>m</sub> ±	4172	-	4008	-
C.D. at 5%	N.S.	-	N.S.	-
General Mean	77506	41718	35648	1.85

#### 4. CONCLUSION

It can be inferred that application of 100% GRDF (50:75:45 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 5 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>) with 1% foliar spray of 19:19:19 is suitable to the soybean crop. Application of 100% GRDF (50:75:45 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 5 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>) with 1% foliar spray of 19:19:19 was found beneficial for obtaining higher net returns (₹ 54881 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B: C ratio (2.27), followed by 2% foliar spray of DAP.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Tiwari SP. Shattering the production in soybean based cropping system. Research Journal, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya. 2001;35(1&2):1-10.
2. Choudhary GL, Yadav LR. Effect of fertilizer levels and foliar nutrition on cowpea productivity. Journal of Food Legumes. 2011;24(1):67-68.
3. Vahedi A. The effects of micronutrient application on soybean seed yield and on seed oil protein content. J. American Sci. 2011;7(6):44-49.
4. Kumar A. A study of consumer attitudes and acceptability of soy food in Ludhiana. MBA research project report, Department of Business Management, Punjab Agril. Uni., Ludhiana, Punjab; 2007.
5. Vinoth Kumar C, Vaiyapuri K, Mohamed Amanullah, Gopalaswamy G. Influence of foliar spray of nutrients on yield and economics of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill). J. Biol. Sci. 2013;13(6):563-565.
6. Ayyadurai P, Sathyapriya R, Manickasundaram P. Effect of fertility levels and foliar nutrition on Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.) under drip irrigation. Chem Sci Rev Lett. 2017;6(24):2436-2441.
7. Pradhan SS, Bohra JS, Pradhan S, Verma S. Effect of fertility levels and cow urine application as basal and foliar spray on growth and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czernj. & cosson). Ecology, Environment and Conservation. 2017;23(3):1549-1553.
8. Thakur V, Patil RP, Patil JR, Suma TC, Umesh MR. Influence of foliar nutrition on growth and yield of blackgram under rainfed condition. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(6):33-37.
9. Ullasa MY, Girijesh GK, Dinesh Kumar M. Effect of fertilizer levels and foliar nutrition on yield, nutrient uptake and economics of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Green Farming. 2016;7(6):1383-1388.

10. Joshi OP, Billore SD. Fertilizer management in soybean (*Glycine max* L.)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping systems. Indian J. Agron. 2004;56(3):209-216.
11. Dandge MS, Ingle YV, Peshattiwar, Dikey HH. Effect of foliar nutrition on soybean productivity. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(1):1290-1292.
12. Malunekar BD, Malik BB, Chaure JS, Hajare AR, Patil SC. Effect of consortia of beneficial microorganisms on yield and economics of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). J. Agric. Res. Technol. 2013;38(2):294-296.
13. Kulkarni S, Upperi SN, Jadhav RL. Greengram productivity enhancement through foliar spray of nutrients. Legume Research. 2016;39(5):814-816.

---

© 2022 Sarika et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86057>