

Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology

40(7): 152-161, 2022; Article no.AJAEES.86333 ISSN: 2320-7027

# Determine the Effect of Lime and Boron Interaction on Nutrient Availability and Acidity Parameters in Soil

Rohitashv Nagar<sup>a\*</sup> and Manoj Kumar<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Umiam, Meghalaya 793103, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2022/v40i730930

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86333

Original Research Article

Received 10 February 2022 Accepted 20 April 2022 Published 25 April 2022

# ABSTRACT

Field experiment was conducted to examine the determine the effect of lime and boron interaction on nutrient availability and acidity parameters in soil. The experiment was carried out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) in field with four levels of lime ( $L_0$  – No lime,  $L_{0.3}$  – Furrow application @ 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $L_{2.0}$  – Broadcasting @ 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $L_{5.0}$  – Broadcasting @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and four levels of boron ( $B_0$  – No Boron,  $B_{0.5}$  – Broadcasting @ 0.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $B_{1.0}$ – Broadcasting @ 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, B<sub>1.5</sub> – Broadcasting @ 1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. B fertilization is therefore important to improve soil B availability and crop growth in the region. Availability of applied B in soil may be affected due to its possible interaction with lime which is usually recommended as an ameliorative measure in acidic soils. In this view, a field experiment was undertaken to evaluate the effect of lime and B application on growth, yield and guality of soybean, as well as their effect on nutrient availability and acidity parameters in soil. The crop was grown with four doses of lime (0, 0.3, 2.0 and 5.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and as many doses of B application (0, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was taken in RBD design with factorial arrangements of the treatments. Seed yield of soybean increased with increasing doses of lime and Boron application with the highest yield being observed at 5tha<sup>-1</sup> lime and 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> B application; lime and B interaction was not significant Application of B @ 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased seed yield by 18.6%, while lime application @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Increased yield by 36%. The positive effect of lime and B application on soybean yield was mediated through improved growth and pod formation in the crop.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: rnagar1900@gmail.com;

Keywords: Available boron; soybean; lime.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Soybean (*Glycine max* [L.]) Is an important oriental crop whose agronomic characteristics were apparently well known in China before 2200 BC. It is a legume (Family- Fabaceae) that grows in tropical, sub-tropical and temperate climate. Originally domesticated in China around 1700-1000 BC. Soybean is now cultivated throughout east and south East Asia where people depend on it for food, animal feed and medicine [1].

Soybean is the number one oilseed crop in India. Sovbean has become an important oil seed crop in India in a very short period with approximately 10-million ha area under its cultivation. India is divided into five agro climatic zones for soybean cultivation. These are Northern Hill Zone, Northern Plain Zone, North-eastern Zone, Central Zone, and Southern Zone. There are specific varieties released for each zone which are suited to their agro-climatic conditions. There has been an unprecedented growth in soybean; which was just 0.03 M ha in 1970 and has reached to 9.30 M ha in 2010. The mean national productivity has increased from 0.43 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in 1970 to 1.36 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2010 [2]. An important crop in India and it has been declared as a potential crop for North-eastern-Hilly (NEH) region of India. The region offers scope for cultivation of a wide variety of agricultural crops because of its diversities in topography, altitude and climatic conditions. Soybean is one of the important major crops in the region. It is also being considered as a viable option in the region for enhancing food security and livelihood of rural households in the region [3]. In India the estimates of soybean area, production and productivity for 2012-13 are 120.327 lakh ha<sup>-1</sup>, 129.832 lakh ha<sup>-1</sup>, 1079 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and in Meghalaya soybean area, production and productivity are 1589 ha, 2908 mt and 1830 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The acidity in soil is produced by several factors including rainfall, climate and agricultural farming processes [4]. Legumes and pulses are highly sensitive to B deficiency, which partly explains their low productivity in NEH region. The corrections of B deficiency through fertilization and soil acidity through liming have the potential to improve crop productivity and quality [5]. Boron (B) is an essential micronutrient for plant growth. Some plants are more sensitive than others to the B deficiency and toxicity. B absorption depends on many factors, such as mineralogy, soil. clavs organic matters.

temperature, and moisture content and so on. The absorption of B by plant depends also on the pH and B concentration in the soil. As soil's pH increases B availability decreases. This condition will be found in calcareous soils and soils with high clay content. This is probably because of B  $(OH)_4$  and adsorption of B anions. The absorption of B will decrease in dry condition; this reduction is due to B mobility and polymerization of boric acid. Soil pH is one of the most important factors affecting the availability of B in soils. So, much lime can cause symptoms of B deficiency in plants. B deficiency symptoms are usually observed in the presence of calcium in high level [6]. Boron (B) is an essential micronutrient for plant growth. Some plants are more sensitive than others to the B deficiency and toxicity. B absorption depends on many factors, such as soil, clays mineralogy, organic matters, temperature, and moisture content and so on. The absorption of B by plant depends also on the pH and B concentration in the soil. As soil's pH increases B availability decreases. This condition will be found in calcareous soils and soils with high clay content. This is probably because of B (OH)<sup>4</sup> and adsorption of B anions. The absorption of B will decrease in dry condition; this reduction is due to B mobility and polymerization of boric acid. Soil pH is one of the most important factors affecting the availability of B in soils. So, much lime can cause symptoms of B deficiency in plants. B deficiency symptoms are usually observed in the presence of calcium in high level [6].

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during Kharif season of 2014 to study the determine the effect of lime and boron interaction on nutrient availability and acidity parameters in soil. The details of materials used, experimental procedures followed and techniques adopted during the course of investigation are described in this chapter.

# 2.1 Experimental Site and Location

The field experiment was conducted during 2014-2015 at the Agronomy upland field of Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) Complex for NEH Region, Umiam, Meghalaya which is located at  $25^{\circ}41$ 'N latitude and  $91^{\circ}54$ 'E longitude with an elevation of 950 m above mean sea level.

#### 2.2 Soil Condition and Crop History

The configuration of the plots used for the experiment was a mid-hill bench terrace. The experimental plots were previously used for the cultivation of maize crop. Composite soil samples were collected from the ploughed layer (0-15 cm depth) randomly from the experimental site prior to experiment and analyzed for their physical and chemical properties. The soil type was sandy clay loam and was acidic in nature (pH 4.35). The results of soil analysis of experimental site revealed that the soil was high in organic carbon (1.36 %), medium in available nitrogen (335 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), high in available phosphorus (34 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>1</sup>),medium in available potassium (140 kg ha <sup>1</sup>),and low in available Boron (0.40 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>).

#### **2.3 Experimental Details**

The experiment was carried out in Factorial Randomized Block Design (RBD). There were 48 plots with an individual size of (3x4) m<sup>2</sup>. Two growth factors with different levels were arranged in factorial combination which resulted in the treatment combinations as follows:

### 2.4 Details of Treatment

**A.** levels of lime application:

- I. No Lime
- II. Lime- 0.3 t  $ha^{-1}$  (in furrow)
- III. Lime- 2.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (broadcast)
- IV. Lime- 5.0 t  $ha^{-1}$  (broadcast)

B. Levels of Boron fertilization (through Borax)

- I. No boron
- II. Boron 0.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (broadcast) III. Boron- 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>(broadcast)
- IV. Boron 1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (broadcast)

Description of the 16 treatments has been given as follows:

Total Treatments : Sixteen (16)

| S. No. | Treatment code                                       | Treatment details   |
|--------|--|---|
| 1.     | $T_1 (L_0 B_0)$                                      | Control (No lime, no B)   |
| 2.     | $T_2(L_0B_{0.5})$                                    | No lime + B (@ 0.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                          |
| 3.     | $T_3 (L_0 B_{1.0})$                                  | No lime +B (@1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                            |
| 4.     | T <sub>4</sub> (L <sub>0</sub> B <sub>1.5</sub> )    | No lime + B (@1.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                           |
| 5.     | $T_5(L_{0.3}B_0)$                                    | Lime (@ 300kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + No B                           |
| 6.     | T <sub>6</sub> (L <sub>0.3</sub> B <sub>0.5</sub> )  | Lime (@ 300kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + B (@ 0.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |
| 7.     | T <sub>7</sub> (L <sub>0.3</sub> B <sub>1.0</sub> )  | Lime (@ 300kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + B (@1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )  |
| 8.     | T <sub>8</sub> (L <sub>0.3</sub> B <sub>1.5</sub> )  | Lime (@ 300kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + B (@1.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )  |
| 9.     | T <sub>9</sub> (L <sub>2.0</sub> B <sub>0</sub> )    | lime (@ 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + no B                             |
| 10.    | T <sub>10</sub> (L <sub>2.0</sub> B <sub>0.5</sub> ) | lime (@ 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) +B (@ 0.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )    |
| 11.    | T <sub>11</sub> (L <sub>2.0</sub> B <sub>1.0</sub> ) | lime (@ 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) +B (@ 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )    |
| 12.    | T <sub>12</sub> (L <sub>2.0</sub> B <sub>1.5</sub> ) | lime (@ 2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> )+ B (@1.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )     |
| 13.    | T <sub>13</sub> (L <sub>5.0</sub> B <sub>0</sub> )   | lime (@ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) +no B                              |
| 14.    | T <sub>14</sub> (L <sub>5.0</sub> B <sub>0.5</sub> ) | lime (@ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) +B (@ 0.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )    |
| 15.    | T <sub>15</sub> (L <sub>5.0</sub> B <sub>1.0</sub> ) | lime (@ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) +B (@ 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )    |
| 16.    | T <sub>16</sub> (L <sub>5.0</sub> B <sub>1.5</sub> ) | lime (@ 5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) + B (@1.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )    |

### 2.5 Design and layout

Design : Factorial RBD in Field Number of treatments: 16 Replications : 3 Total number of plots: 48 : (3×4) m<sup>2</sup> Plot size : 40 cm × 10 cm Spacing : JS 80-21 Soybean variety

The treatments, layout of the experiment of the various plots are depicted in the figure.

# 2.6 Soil Sampling and Analysis

#### **Soil Samples**

Soil samples are taken from the field before sowing of the crops for initial sampling and for post-harvest analysis soil samples are collected after harvest of the crop at 0-15 cm and 15-20 cm depth. The collected soil samples were air dried, grinded and passed through the 2 mm sieve.

# рΗ

Soil samples were analyzed for pH (1:5 soil/water suspension) using a standard pH meter (Mettler Toledo, Switzerland).

#### Available Nitrogen (AvI-N)

Fresh soil (5.0 a) sieved through 2-mm sieve was used for determination of AvI-N by alkaline permanganate oxidation method as described by Subbiah and Asija [7]. Soil sample was taken in a distillation tube and to this 30 ml of 0.32% KMnO<sub>4</sub>, 25 ml of 2.5% NaOH and 5 ml of paraffin wax (heavy liquid) were added and distilled for 6 min in an automated distillation chamber (Classic DX, Pelican Equipment, Chennai). Ammonia generated during distillation was collected in 2% boric acid containing few drops of mixed indicator (Composition: of mixed indicator: 0.099 g bromocresol green with 0.066 g of Methyl red and dissolved this mixture in 100 ml ethyl alcohol) in a conical flask and finally amount of boric acid used for absorption of ammonia was determined by titrating with standard 0.02 N  $H_2SO_4$ . Avl. N was expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

# Available Phosphorus (AvI-P)

AvI-P in soil was determined by following the stannous chloride blue colour method [8]. Finely grinded air dried soil (5.0 g) was extracted with 50 ml of 0.03N NH<sub>4</sub>F in 0.025*N*HCl for 5 min in a reciprocating shaker. After shaking the soil suspension was filtered through Whatmann No. 42. 5 ml of the supernatant was taken for developing blue colour by adding 5ml of Dickman Bray's reagent and 1 ml stannous chloride. Finally, intensity of blue colour was measured at 882 nm (Spectrascan UV-2600, Thermo Scientific, USA) and concentration of P was obtained from the standard curve. AvI-P was expressed as kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

# Available Potassium (AvI-K)

Potassium was determined by using flame photometer as described by Jackson, [9]. In 5.0 g

air dried soil 50ml of 1 N NH<sub>4</sub>OAc solution was added (1 soil : 10 Extractant) and the contents was shacked in electric shaker for 5 minutes and filtered the amount of available potassium in soil was read and was expressed in kg ha<sup>-1.</sup>

# Available Boron (AvI- B)

Boron was determined by using Hot water method by Gupta [10]. In 20 g air dried soil and 40 ml distilled water was added, 0.5 g of activated charcoal and boil for 5 minutes on a hot plate, filtered immediately through Whatman No. 42 filter paper. Then transfer 1ml aliquot in polypropylene tubes. Add 2 ml of buffer solution and 2 ml of azomethine-H reagent, mix and after 30 minutes read the absorbance at 420 nm on a spectrophotometer and expressed in mg kg<sup>-1</sup> or ppm.

# **Total acidity**

Total acidity was determined using the procedure given by Kappen [11]. In 40 g air dried soil and add 100 ml of N NaOAc solution, which is to be previously adjusted to pH 8.2. Shake the contents of the flask for one hour, and filter. Titrate the extract against 0.1 N NaOH solution, using 2-3 drops of phenolphthalein indicator until a persistent pink coloration is obtained. Total acidity was expressed in meq100g<sup>-1</sup>.

# **Exchange acidity**

Exchange acidity was determined using the procedure given by Sokolo [12]. In 40 g air dried soil and add 100 ml of N KCl solution, and shake for 10 minute, filter the solution. Then transfer 20 ml of the filtrate into a titration flask and add 2-3 drops of phenolphthalein indicator, and titrate the solution against 0.1 N NaOH until a pink coloration is obtained.

# Exchange Al<sup>3+</sup>

Take the titrate solution of exchange acidity and add the 5ml of freshly prepared NaF (4 %) solution. After 3-4 minute titrate the solution against 0.1 N HCl solution until the coloration just disappears.

# 2.7 Seed Analysis

# **Total Nitrogen**

Total nitrogen was estimated by modified micro kjeldahl method [9]. In this method 0.5 g of well

grinded seed sample was digested by adding 2-3g digestion mixture along with 10 ml of concentrated  $H_2SO_4$  to the digestion tube till the colour changes to light bluish colour. The digested sample distilled for 6-9 minute after adding 50 ml of 40% NaOH. The distillate was collected in 20 ml of 2% boric acid kept in the receiving end after adding 5-6 drops of mixed indicator. The collected distillate was titrated with 0.1  $N H_2SO_4$  till the end point was reached.

# **Total Phosphorus**

Phosphorus content in plant sample was determined by vanadomolybdo- phosphoric yellow colour method [9]. 0.5 g of powdered plant sample was digested by using di-acid mixture  $(HNO_3 + HCIO_4)$  in 3: 1 ratio until the clear solution appears. The clear colour solution was filtered by Whatmann No.42 into a 100 ml volumetric flask and volume with distilled water. 5 ml of aliquot was pipette out in a 50 ml volumetric flask and 10 ml of  $HNO_3$ vanadomolybdate reagent was added and intensity of colour was recorded at 420 nm wavelength value obtained was expressed in percent.

#### **Total Boron**

Total boron (B) in seed material was measured by dry ashing [13] and subsequent measurement of B by colorimetry using Azomethine-H [14]. 1.0 g dry, ground seed material was taken in porcelain crucible. Then, it was Ignited in a muffle furnace by slowly raising the temperature to 550°C. Ash was wetted with five drops of DI water, and then add 10 ml 0.36 N sulfuric acid solution into the porcelain crucibles. It was left at room temperature for 1 hour, stirring occasionally with a plastic rod to break up ash. Then it was filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper into a 50-ml polypropylene volumetric flask and to bring to volume. 1.0 ml of the clear aliquot of the soil extract was transferred into a polyethylene tube or a small breaker; added 2 ml of the buffer solution and mixed the contents thoroughly; then added 2 ml of azomethine -H reagent and again mixed the contents thoroughly. After 30 minutes, the per cent transmittance or absorbance was measured using a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 420 nm.

#### Protein content in seed (%)

Protein content in seed was obtained by multiplying treatment wise nitrogen content of soybean grain with 6.25 [15].

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the field to elucidate the effect of lime and boron fertilization on soybean are presented in this chapter. Results from the field experiment are as follows:

# 3.1 Quality Components of Soybean

#### Total phosphorus of soybean seed

The data on total phosphorus (%) of soybean seed was not influenced by various doses of lime and boron application and data are presented in Table 1. Lime and boron effect and lime  $\times$  B interaction effect on total phosphorus (%) of soybean seed are not significant.

#### Boron of soybean seed

Boron (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) concentration in soybean seed was not influenced by various doses of lime and boron application and data are presented in Table 2.Lime and boron effect and lime  $\times$  B interaction effect on boron (mgkg<sup>-1</sup>) of soybean seed are not significant.

#### Protein of soybean seed

Protein content (%) of soybean seed was not influenced by various doses of lime and boron application and data are presented in Table 3.Lime and boron effect and lime  $\times$  B interaction effect on protein (%) of soybean seed are not significant.

#### Status of soil fertility after crop harvest

The data on status of soil pH, available nitrogen (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available phosphorus (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available potassium (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),total acidity (meq  $100g^{-1}$ ), exchangeable acidity (meq  $100g^{-1}$  soil), available boron (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), exchangeable aluminum (meq  $100g^{-1}$  soil) as influenced by various doses of lime and boron (B) application and the interactions (lime x boron) are presented hereunder.

#### Available nitrogen

Nitrogen availability (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in soil increased with the application of lime and the highest value of available nitrogen was found in lime @ 2 tha<sup>-1</sup> does. It increased available N by 12.9% compared to control plot (L<sub>0</sub>). Boron and lime  $\times$  B interaction was not significant; data are presented in Table 4.

# Available phosphorus

Phosphorus availability (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in soil decreased with the application of lime and the lowest value of available phosphorus was found with lime @ 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> dose (86.6% lower than control plot). Boron and lime  $\times$  B interaction was not significant (Table 5).

# Available potassium

Potassium availability (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in soil increased with the application of lime and highest value of available potassium was found in lime @ 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> dose (17% higher than control plot).Boron and lime  $\times$  B interaction was not significant (Table 6).

# Available boron

Boron availability (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in soil decreased with the application of lime and lowest value of available boron was found in lime @ 2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> dose.It decreased 24.48% compare to control plot. Boron availability in soil increased with the application of boron and highest value was found in boron @1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> does. Lime × B interaction was not significant and data are presented in Table 7.

# Soil pH, total acidity, exchangeable acidity, ex. Al (meq100g<sup>-1</sup>)

All acidity parameters (viz. pH, total and exchangeable acidity and exchangeable Al) were reduced by lime application (Table 7). Soil acidity parameters were not affected significantly by Boron application and therefore B application effect on acidity parameters are not presented in table.

# Effect on nutrient status of soil after the harvest of the crop

Availability of boron in soil decreases with the application of lime as compared to the unlimed or control plots as shown in Fig. 1. Mikko, [16] and Tisdale et al., [17] reported that liming in general increased the B retention capacity of soil due to formation of insoluble metaborate. Goldberg and Forster [18] reported that application of lime generally increased the B fixation in soils because it raised the soil pH. In addition to its effect on soil pH, CaCO<sub>3</sub> also acts as an important B adsorbing surface in soil. Chaudhury Debnath [19] reported that freshly and precipitated Fe and Al oxides and hydroxides in lime-treated soil may be responsible for reduction

in water soluble B fraction in soil. Reduction in readily soluble B fraction may be responsible for severe reduction in dry matter yield in lime treated pot particularly where no B was applied. Boron availability in soil increased with the application of boron as compared to the control plots as shown in Fig. 2. Raij et al., [20] reported that application of highest fertilizer dose 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in a soil boron concentration of 0.9 mg dm<sup>-3</sup>, a high content.

Availability of available nitrogen in soil increases significantly with the application of lime as compared to the control plots as shown in Fig. 3. Brady and Weil [21] and Tisdale et al., [17] reported that application of lime enhanced availability of N. The positive effect of liming on mineral N content in soil may be attributed to the increase in soil pH or Ca and Mg boron effect on available nitrogen was not significant.

Availability of phosphorus in soil decreased with the application of lime as compared to the control plots as shown in Fig. 4.Tisdale et al., [17] reported that increase in the available soil P from lime application may be ascribed to the reduction in adsorption of P by Fe and Al oxides with increase in pH. Boron effect was not significant on available P.

Availability of potassium in soil increased significantly with the application of lime as compared to the control plots as shown in Fig. 5. Similar findings were reported by Barman et al., [22] who observed that significant increase (from 30.5 to 31.8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in ammonium acetate extractable potassium (K) in soil as a result of addition of lime at 2/3 LR.

The applications of lime increase the soil pH and reduce the acidity parameters viz. total acidity, exchange acidity, exchange acidity, exchange aluminum significantly. Fageria et al., [23] reported that application of the liming materials increased the soil pH, reduced soil exchangeable acidity, exchangeable hydrogen. This is because the liming materials contained basic cations and basic anions  $(CO_3^{-2})$  that are able to pull H<sup>+</sup> from exchange sites to from H<sub>2</sub>O+CO<sub>2</sub>. Cations occupy the space left behind by H<sup>+</sup> on the exchange.

Verma et al., [24], Mongia et al., [25] and Smith et al., [26] reported the ameliorating effect of lime in reducing soil acidity by increasing soil pH and reducing activity of aluminum ion in soil solution by chelating organic molecules.

|                             | B <sub>0</sub> | B <sub>0.5</sub> | <b>B</b> <sub>1.0</sub> | B <sub>1.5</sub> | Mean |
|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------|
| Lo                          | 0.70           | 0.74             | 0.76                    | 0.73             | 0.73 |
| L <sub>0.3</sub>            | 0.58           | 0.98             | 0.65                    | 0.60             | 0.70 |
| L <sub>2.0</sub>            | 0.66           | 0.72             | 0.57                    | 0.49             | 0.61 |
| L <sub>5.0</sub>            | 0.70           | 0.67             | 0.71                    | 0.67             | 0.69 |
| Mean                        | 0.66           | 0.78             | 0.67                    | 0.62             |      |
| <i>CD</i> ( <i>p</i> =0.05) | Lime= NS       | B = NS           |                         | Lime×B= NS       |      |

Table 1. Effect of lime and boron fertilization on total P (%) of soybean seeds

| Table 2. Effect of lime and boron fertilization on b | boron (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> | ) of soybean seeds |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------|
|--|----------------------------|--------------------|

|                             | B <sub>0</sub> | B <sub>0.5</sub> | B <sub>1.0</sub> | <b>B</b> <sub>1.5</sub> | Mean  |  |
|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------|--|
| L <sub>0</sub>              | 16.33          | 18.73            | 18.03            | 17.03                   | 17.53 |  |
| L <sub>0.3</sub>            | 16.33          | 17.43            | 19.60            | 20.87                   | 18.56 |  |
| L <sub>2.0</sub>            | 17.67          | 18.17            | 19.67            | 18.30                   | 18.45 |  |
| L <sub>5.0</sub>            | 17.33          | 17.50            | 18.43            | 18.33                   | 17.90 |  |
| Mean                        | 16.92          | 17.96            | 18.93            | 18.63                   |       |  |
| <i>CD</i> ( <i>p</i> =0.05) | Lime= NS       | B =              | NS               | Lime×B= NS              |       |  |

Table 3. Effect of lime and boron fertilization on protein (%) of soybean seeds

|                  | B <sub>0</sub> | B <sub>0.5</sub> | B <sub>1.0</sub> | B <sub>1.5</sub> | Mean  |
|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|
| L <sub>0</sub>   | 33.43          | 34.00            | 33.50            | 34.77            | 33.93 |
| L <sub>0.3</sub> | 34.23          | 33.67            | 35.17            | 34.63            | 34.43 |
| L <sub>2.0</sub> | 35.60          | 35.20            | 37.73            | 37.33            | 36.47 |
| L <sub>5.0</sub> | 35.26          | 36.00            | 37.30            | 36.30            | 36.22 |
| Mean             | 34.63          | 34.72            | 35.93            | 35.76            |       |
| CD (p=0.05)      | Lime= NS       | B = NS           | Lim              | e×B= NS          |       |

Table 4. Effect of lime and boron fertilization on available N (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in soil

|                  | B <sub>0</sub> | <b>B</b> <sub>0.5</sub> | <b>B</b> <sub>1.0</sub> | <b>B</b> <sub>1.5</sub> | Mean   |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| L <sub>0</sub>   | 331.20         | 336.20                  | 341.67                  | 325.98                  | 333.76 |
| L <sub>0.3</sub> | 342.67         | 346.56                  | 350.00                  | 353.31                  | 348.14 |
| L <sub>2.0</sub> | 363.33         | 357.53                  | 374.98                  | 355.41                  | 362.81 |
| L <sub>5.0</sub> | 370.67         | 372.12                  | 379.23                  | 384.98                  | 376.75 |
| Mean             | 351.97         | 353.10                  | 361.47                  | 354.92                  |        |
| CD (p=0.05)      | Lime= 23.66    | B =                     | = NS                    | Lime×B= NS              |        |

Table 5. Effect of lime and boron fertilization on available P (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in soil

|                  | B <sub>0</sub> | B <sub>0.5</sub> | B <sub>1.0</sub> | B <sub>1.5</sub> | Mean  |  |
|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|--|
| L <sub>0</sub>   | 32.66          | 29.34            | 28.13            | 30.02            | 30.04 |  |
| L <sub>0.3</sub> | 26.03          | 26.67            | 24.99            | 26.68            | 26.10 |  |
| L <sub>2.0</sub> | 22.64          | 21.25            | 20.08            | 19.67            | 20.91 |  |
| L <sub>5.0</sub> | 17.85          | 16.67            | 14.08            | 15.81            | 16.10 |  |
| Mean             | 24.80          | 23.48            | 21.82            | 23.04            |       |  |
| CD (p=0.05)      | Lime= 4.04     | B = NS           |                  | Lime×B= NS       |       |  |

# Table 6. Effect of lime and boron fertilization on available K (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in soil

|                  | B <sub>0</sub> | B <sub>0.5</sub> | B <sub>1.0</sub> | <b>B</b> <sub>1.5</sub> | Mean   |  |
|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------|--|
| L <sub>0</sub>   | 137.69         | 129.28           | 133.79           | 128.96                  | 132.43 |  |
| L <sub>0.3</sub> | 128.83         | 126.67           | 129.64           | 135.10                  | 130.08 |  |
| L <sub>2.0</sub> | 146.67         | 141.67           | 148.33           | 146.00                  | 145.67 |  |
| L <sub>5.0</sub> | 153.33         | 156.67           | 161.67           | 148.67                  | 155.08 |  |
| Mean             | 141.63         | 138.57           | 143.36           | 139.71                  |        |  |
| CD (p=0.05)      | Lime=15.0      | B = NS           | Lime             | ×B= NS                  |        |  |

| _                           | B <sub>0</sub> | B <sub>0.5</sub> | B <sub>1.0</sub> | B <sub>1.5</sub> | Mean |
|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------|
| L <sub>0</sub>              | 0.43           | 0.51             | 0.68             | 0.82             | 0.61 |
| L <sub>0.3</sub>            | 0.40           | 0.49             | 0.69             | 0.81             | 0.60 |
| L <sub>2.0</sub>            | 0.37           | 0.43             | 0.62             | 0.74             | 0.54 |
| L <sub>5.0</sub>            | 0.31           | 0.40             | 0.56             | 0.67             | 0.49 |
| Mean                        | 0.38           | 0.46             | 0.66             | 0.76             |      |
| <i>CD</i> ( <i>p</i> =0.05) | Lime= 0.08     | B = 0.08         |                  | Lime×B= NS       |      |

| Table 7. Effect of lime and boron fertilization on boron (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) in s | soil |
|--|------|
|--|------|

Table 8. Effect of lime fertilization on acidity parameters (pH, total and ex. acidity, ex. Al.) of soil

|                  | рН   | Total Acidity<br>(meq100g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Ex. Acidity<br>(meq100g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Ex. Al <sup>+3</sup><br>(meq100g <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|------------------|------|---|---|--|
| L <sub>0</sub>   | 4.40 | 2.43                                      | 1.34                                    | 1.20   |
| L <sub>0.3</sub> | 4.49 | 2.29                                      | 1.21                                    | 1.03   |
| L <sub>2.0</sub> | 4.89 | 2.19                                      | 1.12                                    | 0.98   |
| L <sub>5.0</sub> | 5.07 | 1.70                                      | 0.82                                    | 0.73   |
| Mean             | 4.71 | 2.15                                      | 1.12                                    | 0.99   |
| CD (p=0.05)      | 0.07 | 0.18                                      | 0.19                                    | 0.24   |



Fig. 1. Effect of lime on B (mg/kg)



Fig. 3. Effect of lime on Avl. N (kg/ha)



Fig. 2. Effect of boron on B (mg/kg)



Fig. 4. Effect of lime on Avl. P (kg/ha)

Nagar and Kumar; AJAEES, 40(7): 152-161, 2022; Article no.AJAEES.86333



Fig. 5. Effect of lime on AvI. K (kg/ha)

# 4. CONCLUSION

From the present investigation on "Determine the effect of lime and boron interaction on nutrient availability and acidity parameters in soil", the following major conclusions may be drawn:

- 1. The positive effect of lime and B application on soybean yield was mediated through improved growth and pod formation in the crop.
- Seed quality (protein, P, B concentration) of soybean remained largely unaffected by lime and B application.
- 3. B availability in soil was increased by B fertilization but decreased by lime application. Their interaction was not significant.
- 4. Values of all acidity parameters (viz. total and exchangeable acidity and exchangeable AI) were reduced by lime application, but remained unaffected by B fertilization.

# DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- CGIAR. CGIAR: Research and impact areas as research: Soybean; 2005.
  WWW.Cgiar.org/ Impact / research / soybean. Html (Accessed 14/07/2010).
- Dinesh K, Agarwal SD, Billore AN, Sharma BU, Dupare SK, Srivastava. Soybean: Introduction, improvement, and utilization in India problems and prospects. Agri. Res. 2013;2(4):293-300.
- Baiswar PNT, Ao D, Upadhyay N, Chandra S. Screening of varieties against soybean rust caused by phakopsorapachyrhizi in mid-hills of Meghalaya. Indian J. of Hill Farming. 2012;25(1):17-20.
- Matsumato H. Preface in proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> International symposium on plantsoil interactions of low pH, Sendai, Japan. Japanese Society Soil Science and Plant Nutrition (JSSSPN), Sendai, Japan; 2004.
- 5. Singh S, Singh RN. Proper timing and placement of boron and lime impacts legumes on acid upland soils. Better Crops. 2014;98.
- Arzani K, Khosh Ghalb H, Malekooti MJ, Bozorgmehr M. The Effect of Ca, Zn and B application and harvest time on fruits polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity in Two Asian pear cultivars during storage. Pardis Aboureyhan Journal Agriculture. 2010;12:1-9.
- 7. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for determination of available nitrogen in soils. Current Society. 1956;25:259-260.
- Page AL, Miller RL, Keeny DR. Methods of soil analysis.Part-2chemical and microbiological properties.2nd edition, Agronomy Monogr 9:961-1010, ASA, SSSA, CSSA, Madison, WI. 1982;39-594.

- Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 1973;187.
- 10. Gupta UC. A simplified method for determining hot-water soluble boron in podzol soils. Soil Sci. 1967;103:424-28.
- Kappen G. Pochvennaya Kislotnost, Selkhogiz, Moscow. Indian Society of Soil Science. 1934;39:246.
- 12. Sokolov AV. Kimizastia sots Zemledelia. J. Indian Society Soil Sci. 1939;7:246.
- Chapman HD, Pratt PF. Methods of analysis for soils, plants and water. University California, Berkeley, CA, U.S.A; 1961.
- 14. Bingham FT. Methods of soils analysis, part 2: Chemical and mineralogical properties. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, U.S.A. 1982;431-448.
- AOAC. Official methods of analysis, International 17<sup>th</sup> edn. Gaithersburg (Ed). Association of official analytical chemists, Virginia, USA; 2002.
- 16. Mikko S. Review on individual trace elements. In: trace elements in soil and Agriculture, Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United National, Rome. 1972;15-20.
- 17. Tisdale SL, Havlin A, Nelson WL, Beton JD. Soil fertility and fertilizers. New Delhi: Pearson Education, Inc; 2005.
- Goldberg S, Forster HS. Boron sorption on calcareous soils and reference calcites. Soil Science. 1991;152:304-310.
- 19. Chaudhury SG, Debnath A. Effect of Liming on retention and availability of Boron in Entisol and Alfisol. J.Indian Society Soil Sci. 2008;56:64-70.

- Raij B Van, Quaggio JA, Cantarella H, Abrev CA. Recomendacoes de adubacao e calagem para o estado de sao Paulo. In: Raij, B. Van, cantarella. H., Quaggio, J.A., Furlani, A.M.C. Recomendacoas de adubacao e calagem para o estado de sao Paulo, campinas. 1997);2:1-12.
- 21. Brady NC, Weil RR. Nature and properties of soil. New Delhi. Pearson Education; 2002.
- 22. Barman M, Shukla LM, Datta SP, Rattan K. Effect of applied lime and boron on the availability of nutrirnts in an acid soil. J. plant nutrition. 2014;37(3):357-373.
- 23. Fageria NK, Baliger VC, Zobel RW. Yield, nutrient uptake and soil chemical properties as influenced by liming and boron application in a no-tillage system. Comunication Soil Sci. and Plant Analysis. 2007;38(8):1637-1652.
- 24. Verma TS, Singh S. Effect of lime and gypsum on different froms of soil aluminium and acidity and crop yields in acid alfisols of Himachal Pradesh. Soil Science Soceity Indian J. 1996;44:417-421.
- Mongia AD, Singh NT, Mandal IN, Guha A. Effect of lime and phosphorus application on nutrient transformation in acid and acid sulphate soil under submergence, Soil Science Social Indian J. 1998;46:18-22.
- Smith CJ, Peoples MB, Keertisingle G, James TR, Garden DL, Tuomi SS. Effect of surface application of lime, gypsum and phosphor-gypsum on the allevation of surface and subsurface acidity in a soil under posture. Australian J. Soil Res. 1994;32:995-1008.

© 2022 Nagar and Kumar; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86333