

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

34(23): 1522-1531, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.94105 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Phenology and Heat Unit Requirement of Wheat Varieties under Different Thermal Environments and IW: CPE Ratio-Based Irrigation Scheduling

# Aakanksha Lanjhewar<sup>a\*</sup>, K. K. Agrawal<sup>a</sup>, Manish Bhan<sup>a</sup> and Kiran Patel<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (M.P.)-482004, India. <sup>b</sup> Department of Soil Science, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (M.P.)-482004, India.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i232571

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94105

**Original Research Article** 

Received 27 September 2022 Accepted 29 November 2022 Published 02 December 2022

# ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* seasons of 2020-21 and 2021-2022 to study the heat unit indices viz; accumulated growing degree days (GDD), helio-thermal unit (HTU), photo-thermal unit (PTU), phenothermal index, helio-thermal use efficiency (HTUE), photothermal use efficiency (PTUE) and heat use efficiencies (HUE) at different phenological stages of two wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties (Lok 1 and MP 3336) grown under different thermal environments (3<sup>rd</sup> December, 18<sup>th</sup> December and 2<sup>nd</sup> January) and irrigation schedules (IW: CPE= 1.0, IW: CPE= 0.9, IW: CPE= 0.8 and IW: CPE= 0.7). Results of present study reveals that the crop sown on thermal environment of 3<sup>rd</sup> December took maximum duration (113), GDD (1595.7°C days), HTU (11,146.3°C days hours), PTU (16,628.6°C days hours), HUE (2.97 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> day), HTUE (0.43 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> day), and PTUE (0.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> day). Among irrigation schedule I<sub>1</sub> (IW: CPE= 1.0) attained maximum crop duration (103 days), GDD (1513.8°C days), HTU (10,550.0°C days hours), PTU (15,461.3°C days hours), HUE (2.96 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> day), HTUE (0.43 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> day) and PTUE (0.29 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> day). As regards varieties, MP 3336 took maximum duration (104), GDD (1548.1°C days), HTU (10818.9°C days hours), PTU (15863.5°C days hours), HUE (2.84 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> day), HTUE (0.41 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> day) and PTUE (0.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> day). The heat unit indices decrease during vegetative stages but increases during reproductive phase. The crop duration,

heat indices (GDD, HTU, PTU and PTI), HUE, HTUE, PTUE and grain yield was higher under thermal environment of  $3^{rd}$  December sown crop with irrigation schedule I<sub>1</sub> (IW: CPE= 1.0) and wheat variety MP 3336.

Keywords: Grain yield, biological yield; thermal environments; irrigation schedules; IW: CPE ratio; temperature; wheat.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is the second most crucial cereal crop of India and performs an essential role in food and nutritional security of the country. It is also one of the most nutrient dense cereals that has contributed to human diet puts it in the top for feeding the planet. India is the world's second largest wheat producer after China, Worldwide, wheat accounts for 215 million hectares (mha) area, 765 million metric tonnes (mt) with the productivity of 3420 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> [1]. It is grown as the second-largest crop in India after rice in terms of acreage and production with an area of 29 mha and production of 109.1 mt [2]. Madhya Pradesh has a land area of 5.52 million hectares, a production capacity of 15.47 million tonnes, and a productivity of 3198 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> [3].

Wheat development is influenced by several factors such as nutrients, water, photoperiod and temperature. As wheat crop is thermo-sensitive crop, the ideal sowing timing and selection of improved cultivars have a significant influence in maximizing the yield potential of the crop under certain agro-climatic conditions. The timing of wheat sowing determines the duration of different phenological stages and conversion efficiency of biomass into the sink i.e., grain yield. Crops sown under an optimal thermal environment have a longer growth duration, which allows them to accumulate more biomass than latesown crops, resulting in a higher grain yield [4]. Temperature is a key climatic factor in determining sowing time and consequently the duration of various phenophases, which affect crop productivity [5]. As a result, optimization of sowing time is a crucial component for achieving maximum yield and efficient conversion of biological yield into economic yield. Crop is exposed to a range of seasonal changes during its different phenophases of growth, resulting in significant variations in growth rate and yield. The thermal units approach is widely used for quantifying the thermal relationship of crops [6] and has been further modified to include photothermal units and heliothermal units [7].

Water is required at every developmental stage of crop growth, from seed germination to crop

maturity, in order to harvest the maximum potential yield of wheat. At the critical phases, there is a positive correlation between grain yield and irrigation frequency. Irrigation losing during some critical growth stage can significantly reduce grain yield [8]. Moisture stress has also been found to shorten the number of days required to achieve any phenological stage and the crop growth indices [9]. Therefore, an experiment was planned to determine the phenology and heat unit requirement of wheat varieties under different thermal environments and IW: CPE ratio-based irrigation schedules.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field investigation was conducted at the Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (M.P.) during the rabi season of 2020-2021 and 2021-2022. It is situated at 23°09' N latitude and 79°58' E longitude and an altitude of 411 above mean sea level. The soil of the experimental site was sandy clay loam with pH 7.1, EC 0.25 ds/m and 0.54 % organic carbon. Total rainfall received was 22 mm and 51.5 mm distributed in 2 and 7 rainy days during first and second year respectively. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three thermal environments (3<sup>rd</sup> December, 18<sup>th</sup> December and 2<sup>nd</sup> January) in main plots, four IW: CPE ratiobased irrigation schedules ( $I_1 = 1.0, I_2 = 0.9, I_3 =$ 0.8 and  $I_{4}$ = 0.7) in sub-plots and two wheat varieties (V<sub>1</sub>= Lok 1 and V<sub>2</sub>= MP 3336) in subsub plots with three replications. The crop was sown at recommended seed rate i.e., @ 125 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at the depth of 5 cm. The crop was grown with all recommended package of practices of the region. Irrigation schedules was followed as per the treatments, based on climatological data, a known amount of irrigation water was applied when CPE reached a predetermined level. When it reaches in a particular level then irrigation is scheduled. Depth of irrigation was 5 cm for each irrigation schedule. Weather data were collected from the Agrometeorological Observatory. JNKVV, Jabalpur. Agro-meteorological indices were computed for different phenophases by adopting procedure laid out by different workers. Various heat units were calculated as follows:

#### 2.1 Growing Degree Days

The growing degree-days (GDD) were determined by Nuttonson [10].

GDD = (Tmax, + Tmin)/2 - Tt

Where, Tmax and Tmin are the daily maximum and minimum temperature ( $^{\circ}C$ ) and Tt is the base temperature taken as 5 $^{\circ}C$  for the wheat crop.

#### 2.2 Helio-Thermal Unit

The HTU is the product of heat unit (HU) and daily hours of bright sunshine. The HTU worked using the formula Rajput [11].

HTU (°C day hours) =  $\sum$  (GDD x SSH)

Where, (SSH = Bright Sunshine Hours)

#### 2.3 Photo Thermal Units

Photo thermal units (PTU) was calculated by using the equation given by Wilsie [12].

 $PTU = GDD \times L$ 

Where, GDD = Growing degree days, L = Maximum possible day length (hrs).

#### 2.4 Heat Use Efficiency

The HUE worked using the formula Rao et al., [4].

HUE = (Seed yield/GDD) \* 100

#### 2.5 Phenothermal Index (PTI)

Phenothermal index (PTI) for each phenophase was calculated as per following formula given by Sastry and Chakravarty [13].

 $\mathsf{PTI} = \frac{\mathsf{GDD}}{\mathsf{Growth days}}$ 

#### 2.6 Helio-Thermal Use Efficiency (HTUE)

The helio-thermal use efficiency (HTUE) represented the efficiency of crop to make use of the available maximum possible bright sunshine hours.

 $HTUE = \frac{Total grain yield}{Accumulated HTU}$ 

#### 2.7 Photothermal Use Efficiency (PHUE)

The photothermal use efficiency (PTUE) represented the efficiency of crop to make use of the available maximum possible day length.

$$PTUE = \frac{Total grain yield}{Accumulated PTU}$$

#### 2.8 Statistical Analysis

In the present study the data analysis for split plot design has been performed using OP stat software.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### **3.1 Phenological Development**

The days required to attain different growth stages was more in 3rd December thermal environment and it gradually decreased as the sowing was delayed. The crop sown on 3rd December took longest period (113 days) for maturity than 18<sup>th</sup> December (102 days) and 2<sup>nd</sup> January (91 days) also reported that due to late sowing, low temperatures during the early vegetative phase and high temperatures during the reproductive phase of wheat reduced the number of days required to reach different phenological stages. The same findings were reported by Jain et al. [14]. Irrespective of irrigation schedule or wheat variety, there was minimal or no difference in maturity period. Thorat et al. [15] concluded with the similar results.

#### 3.2 Heat Units during Crop Growth Period

#### 3.2.1 Growing Degree Days (GDD)

The GDD was estimated from initial emergence to harvest maturity. The GDD dropped significantly with delay in sowing. The results reveals that the agrometeorological indices i.e., growing degree day (GDD) from date of emergence to harvest maturity accounted higher with 3<sup>rd</sup> December sown environment as compared to 18<sup>th</sup> December and 2<sup>nd</sup> January sown environment. Analysis of the data (Table 1) confirmed that delay in sowing resulted in reduction of GDD requirement khande et al. [16] noted the similar results. This concisely explains how temperature affects crops. Lower consumption of heat units under delayed sowing. The result showed that highest GDD i.e., 1595.7,

1513.8 and 1548.1°C days was recorded at maturity when crop sown on 3<sup>rd</sup> December thermal environment with IW: CPE=1.0 irrigation schedule and wheat variety MP 3336 respectively. The reduction in GDD accumulation was due to a decrease in the number of days required to reach any phenological stage under water stress conditions, as also reported by Thorat et al. [15] and Bist et al. [17].

# 3.2.2 Helio-Thermal Units (HTU)

The accumulated HTU required to attain different phenological stages of wheat are presented in Table 1. Data shows that the highest Helio thermal unit 11,146.3°C day hours were required for maturity under 3<sup>rd</sup> December thermal with IW: CPE=1.0 environment irrigation schedule (10,550.0°C day hours) and wheat variety MP 3336 (10818.9°C day hours) as compared to delay sowing on 18th December and 2<sup>nd</sup> January thermal environment. This could be because early sown wheat crops mature later than late sown wheat crops. According to Masoni et al. [18] and Agrawal et al. [19], HTU for various phenological phases decreased with delay in sowing.

# 3.2.3 Photo-Thermal Units (PTU)

The variations in PTU under different treatments at various pheno-phases of wheat are presented in Table 1, revealed that delay of sowing date decreased the PTU consumption. The result indicated that the crop sown on 3rd December required maximum PTU with IW: CPE=1.0 irrigation schedule and wheat variety MP 3336 (16,628.6, 15,461.3 and 15863.5°C day hours) respectively for maturity as compared to late sown crop environment, Thorat et al. [15] found similar results. While lowest value of heat unit was in delay sowing on 2<sup>nd</sup> January with IW: CPE=0.7 irrigation schedule and wheat variety Lok 1. The higher PTU value in early sown crop may be due to fact that crop took longer duration to reach phenological stages. The PTU was lowest under late sown crop i.e., 2<sup>nd</sup> January thermal environment Prasad et al. [20] also noted the similar findings.

# 3.2.4 Pheno-Thermal Index (PTI)

The accumulated growth stage unit required per growth day between each two consecutive

phenophases for different combination called pheno-thermal index (PTI) were particularize and it was presented in Table 2. The pheno-thermal index is expressed as degree day per growth day Sastry and Chakravarty, [13]. It is clear from the Table 2, the value of PTI during the milk stage to physiological maturity showed an increasing trend, whereas the early vegetative phases revealed a complex relationship between the length of crop time and the permissible ambient independent of temperature. the sowina environment, irrigation schedule, and variety. The PTI value was highest (15.7) in late sown wheat on 2<sup>nd</sup> January environment as compared to rest of the sowing times at harvest maturity. The highest PTI value (15.3) was noted under IW: CPE= 0.7 with MP 3336 (15.6). The PTI for the whole crop cycle (from emergence to maturity) showed very few differences between the different thermal environments but the irrigation schedules and varieties were remarkably similar. The duration and GDD dynamics supported the PTI values under various thermal environments. Agrawal et al. [21] and Khande et al. [16] revealed similar results.

# 3.2.5 Heat Use Efficiency (HUE)

GDD accumulated to produce a unit amount of grain yield was used to calculate HUE. The HUE for grain yield was 2.97 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> days and for biological yield was 7.09 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> days under 3<sup>rd</sup> December, whereas lowest HUE was on 2<sup>nd</sup> January thermal environment for grain yield (2.58 kg ha-1°C-1 days) and biological yield (6.15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> days). The highest HUE on the 3rd December crop could be attributed to increasing dry matter proportionately per each heat unit assimilated. The accumulation of comparable GDD to that of early sowing at later crop growth stages results in the lowest HUE in delayed sowing. This could be due to higher temperature remaining during the reproductive phase, which has a negative effect on dry matter accumulation and grain yield. HUE was recorded significantly superior on 3<sup>rd</sup> December thermal environment (2.97 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> days) with irrigation schedule  $I_1$ (2.96 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> days) and wheat variety MP 3336 (2.84 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>°C<sup>-1</sup> days) over rest of the treatments. These results were supported by finding at Jabalpur by Gajbhiye [22] at Jabalpur condition.

Treatments	Emergence	CRI	Tillering initiation	Jointing	Earhead emergence	Milk stage	Dough stage	Physiological maturity	Harvest maturity		
Duration (days)						-		•			
Thermal environ	ments										
$E_1 = 3^{rd}$	7	19	29	46	70	86	96	108	113		
December											
$E_2 = 18^{th}$	6	18	27	43	67	79	90	97	102		
December											
$E_3 = 2^{n\alpha}$ January	6	14	21	39	60	71	82	87	91		
Irrigation schedules (IW: CPE Ratio)											
I <sub>1</sub> = 1.0	6	18	26	43	67	79	90	98	103		
$I_2 = 0.9$	6	17	25	43	66	78	89	97	102		
$I_3 = 0.8$	5	16	24	41	65	76	87	96	100		
$I_4 = 0.7$	5	17	25	41	64	75	85	95	98		
Varieties											
V <sub>1</sub> = Lok 1	5	15	24	41	63	76	87	94	98		
V <sub>2</sub> = MP 3336	6	18	27	44	69	79	91	98	104		
GDD (°C day)											
Thermal environ	ments										
$E_1 = 3^{10}$	88.6	245.4	343.0	549.6	832.6	1067.1	1214.8	1427.8	1595.7		
December											
E <sub>2</sub> = 18"	78.5	236.1	321.6	520.7	805.9	956.2	1102.7	1271.8	1497.0		
December											
$E_3 = 2^{14}$ January	64.0	196.1	259.6	481.0	717.6	845.4	979.1	1118.9	1416.6		
Irrigation sched	ules (IW: CPE R	latio)									
$I_1 = 1.0$	88.5	231.0	318.1	523.7	796.6	964.1	1110.0	1286.0	1513.8		
$I_2 = 0.9$	75.5	225.5	306.5	522.1	790.9	961.9	1108.5	1276.3	1511.2		
$I_3 = 0.8$	73.4	222.0	304.9	511.8	781.4	950.6	1092.1	1267.4	1497.2		
$I_4 = 0.7$	/0.7	225.1	302.7	510.8	772.5	948.3	1084.8	1261.7	1490.3		
Varieties											
$V_1 = Lok 1$	70.3	213.6	294.7	499.6	742.3	938.3	1073.9	1232.5	1458.1		
V <sub>2</sub> = MP 3336	83.8	238.2	321.3	534.6	828.4	974.2	1123.8	1313.2	1548.1		

 Table 1. Crop duration, accumulated heat units, Helio-Thermal Unit (HTU) and Photo-Thermal Unit (PTU) of wheat varieties sown under different thermal environments and irrigation schedules (mean of two years)

#### Lanjhewar et al.; IJPSS, 34(23): 1522-1531, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.94105

Treatments	Emergence	CRI	Tillering initiation	Jointing	Earhead emergence	Milk stage	Dough stage	Physiological maturity	Harvest maturity
HTU (°C day hou	irs)				-				-
Thermal environ	ments								
$E_1 = 3^{rd}$	605.9	1366.0	2090.9	3090.7	5283.0	7,122.4	8,702.8	10,284.1	11,146.3
December									
$E_2 = 18^{th}$	538.1	1315.1	1945.6	2914.4	4951.0	6,298.9	7,737.9	9,498.9	10,586.6
December									
E <sub>3</sub> = 2 <sup>nd</sup> January	444.3	1035.5	1514.7	2717.8	4195.0	5,341.7	6,588.5	8,145.3	9,652.7
Irrigation schedu	ules (IW: CPE F	Ratio)							
I <sub>1</sub> = 1.0	605.9	1287.2	1901.5	2940.1	4914.4	6,350.2	7,770.2	9,413.4	10,550.0
I <sub>2</sub> = 0.9	513.9	1242.5	1833.0	2933.5	4866.5	6,297.7	7,754.7	9,330.8	10,535.5
$I_3 = 0.8$	503.8	1221.8	1834.9	2882.9	4762.5	6,194.5	7,613.4	9,268.0	10,408.5
$I_4 = 0.7$	494.1	1204.0	1832.4	2874.0	4695.4	6,174.9	7,567.4	9,225.4	10,353.4
Varieties									
V <sub>1</sub> = Lok 1	483.7	1150.4	1766.7	2810.7	4432.1	6115.0	7459.4	8974.6	10104.8
V <sub>2</sub> = MP 3336	575.2	1327.3	1934.2	3004.5	5187.2	6393.6	7893.4	9644.3	10818.9
PTU (°C day hou	rs)								
Thermal environ	ments								
$E_1 = 3^{rd}$	959.5	2541.2	3602.8	5753.0	8593.1	10,918.7	12,652.4	15,192.3	16,628.6
December									
$E_{2}=18^{th}$	822.5	2457.6	3360.0	5445.1	8287.1	10,217.9	12,298.1	14,141.1	15,362.2
December									
$E_3 = 2^{nd}$ January	670.1	2050.7	2712.9	5028.9	7347.6	9,026.0	10,992.5	12,979.2	14,041.1
Irrigation schedu	ules (IW: CPE F	Ratio)							
I <sub>1</sub> = 1.0	941.0	2414.9	3323.6	5484.9	8204.9	10,152.8	12,132.4	14,257.6	15,461.3
$I_2 = 0.9$	786.3	2357.3	3206.9	5464.9	8139.7	10,121.0	12,095.0	14,144.7	15,438.7
I <sub>3</sub> = 0.8	777.4	2320.6	3195.0	5350.6	8003.4	9,994.1	11,893.8	14,041.2	15,254.0
I <sub>4</sub> = 0.7	764.7	2306.5	3175.4	5335.6	7955.8	9,948.9	11,802.7	13,973.1	15,221.7
Varieties									
V <sub>1</sub> = Lok 1	741.6	2226.4	3080.0	5237.5	7608.7	9864.1	11702.8	13637.3	14824.3
V <sub>2</sub> = MP 3336	893.1	2473.3	3370.5	5580.5	8543.1	10244.3	12259.2	14571.0	15863.5

Treatments	Emergence	CRI	Tillering	Jointing	Earhead	Milk stage	Dough stage	Physiological	Harvest maturity		
			initiation		emergence			maturity			
Thermal environments											
E <sub>1</sub> = 3 <sup>rd</sup> December	13.6	12.9	11.8	12.1	11.9	12.5	12.6	13.3	14.1		
E <sub>2</sub> = 18 <sup>th</sup> December	14.3	13.1	12.1	12.2	12.0	12.1	12.3	13.2	14.9		
E <sub>3</sub> = 2 <sup>nd</sup> January	10.7	14.0	12.7	12.3	12.0	12.0	11.9	12.9	15.7		
Irrigation schedules (IW: CPE Ratio)											
I <sub>1</sub> = 1.0	14.8	13.2	12.5	12.3	11.9	12.2	12.4	13.1	14.8		
$I_2 = 0.9$	14.0	13.2	12.3	12.3	11.9	12.3	12.5	13.1	14.9		
$I_3 = 0.8$	14.7	13.8	12.7	12.5	12.0	12.5	12.6	13.3	15.0		
I <sub>4</sub> = 0.7	14.1	13.6	12.3	12.4	12.2	12.6	12.8	13.3	15.3		
Varieties											
V <sub>1</sub> = Lok 1	14.1	13.2	12.1	12.3	11.4	12.2	12.4	13.4	14.4		
V <sub>2</sub> = MP 3336	15.2	14.2	12.5	12.3	12.4	12.5	12.3	13.2	15.6		

Table 2. Pheno-Thermal Index (PTI) of wheat varieties under different thermal environment and irrigation schedules at different phenological stage

Table 3. Yields and HUE, HTUE, PTUE of wheat varieties under different thermal environments and irrigation schedules

Treatments	Yield (kg ha⁻¹)		HUE (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> °C <sup>-1</sup> day)		HTUE (kg	ı ha <sup>-1</sup> °C <sup>-1</sup> day)	PTUE (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> °C <sup>-1</sup> day)		
	Grain yield	Biological yield	Grain yield	<b>Biological yield</b>	Grain yield	Biological yield	Grain yield	Biological yield	
Thermal environments	5								
E <sub>1</sub> = 3 <sup>rd</sup> December	4,735	11,315	2.97	7.09	0.43	1.02	0.28	0.68	
E <sub>2</sub> = 18 <sup>th</sup> December	4,244	9,838	2.80	6.49	0.40	0.93	0.28	0.64	
E <sub>3</sub> = 2 <sup>nd</sup> January	3,660	8,717	2.58	6.15	0.38	0.91	0.26	0.62	
Irrigation schedules (IW: CPE Ratio)									
I <sub>1</sub> = 1.0	4,496	10,840	2.96	7.13	0.43	1.03	0.29	0.70	
$I_2 = 0.9$	4,342	10,319	2.86	6.80	0.41	0.98	0.28	0.67	
$I_3 = 0.8$	4,146	9,724	2.76	6.46	0.40	0.94	0.27	0.64	
$I_4 = 0.7$	3,868	8,943	2.58	5.97	0.38	0.87	0.25	0.59	
Varieties									
$V_1 = Lok 1$	4,085.02	9,727.79	2.74	6.53	0.40	0.95	0.27	0.64	
V <sub>2</sub> = MP 3336	4,340.73	10,185.36	2.84	6.66	0.41	0.96	0.28	0.66	

#### 3.2.6 Helio-Thermal Use Efficiency (HTUE)

The highest HTUE for grain yield was 0.43 kg  $ha^{-1} \circ C^{-1}$  day and for biological yield was 1.02 kg  $ha^{-1} \circ C^{-1}$  day under 3<sup>rd</sup> December thermal environment, whereas lowest HTUE was on 2<sup>nd</sup> January for grain yield and biological yield was 0.38 kg  $ha^{-1} \circ C^{-1}$  day, 0.91 kg  $ha^{-1} \circ C^{-1}$  day respectively. Irrigation schedule I<sub>1</sub> (IW: CPE= 1.0) was higher value of HTUE (0.43 kg  $ha^{-1} \circ C^{-1}$  day) for grain yield and biological yield (1.03 kg  $ha^{-1} \circ C^{-1}$  day) as compared to rest of the treatments. Wheat variety MP 3336 exhibited maximum value of HTUE for grain yield and biological yield 0.96 kg  $ha^{-1} \circ C^{-1}$  day) respectively also noted by Jain et al. [14].

### 3.2.7 Photothermal Use Efficiency (PHUE)

The highest PTUE for grain yield was 0.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> °C<sup>-1</sup> day and for biological yield was 0.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> °C<sup>-1</sup> day under 3<sup>rd</sup> December, whereas lowest PTUE was on 2<sup>nd</sup> January for grain yield and biological yield was (0.26 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> °C<sup>-1</sup> day and 0.62 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> °C<sup>-1</sup> day) respectively. Irrigation schedule I1 recorded higher value of PTUE for grain yield 0.29 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  ${}^{\circ}C^{-1}$  day and for biological yield was 0.70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> °C<sup>-1</sup> day whereas lowest PTUE value was under I<sub>4</sub> irrigation schedule (0.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  $^{\circ}$ C<sup>-1</sup> day and 0.59 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  $^{\circ}$ C<sup>-1</sup> day) for grain yield and biological yield respectively. Among variety, MP 3336 recorded maximum value of PTUE for grain yield and biomass yield (0.28 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  $^{\circ}C^{-1}$  day and 0.66 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> $^{\circ}C^{-1}$  day) respectively. This could be due to higher temperature during the reproductive phase having a negative impact on dry matter accumulation and grain yield, as suggested by Khande et al. [16].

#### 3.2.8 Grain yield and biological yield

The data given in Table 3 above revealed that grain and biological yield of wheat were significantly superior on 3<sup>rd</sup> December thermal environment (4,735 and 11,315 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than 18<sup>th</sup> December (4,244 and 9,838 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 2<sup>nd</sup> January (3,660 and 8,717 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) sown crop. The higher grain yield may be due to higher GDD, HTU, PTU, HUE, PTUE and PTUE as compare to other sowing environments. Temperature at later stages of crop development had a detrimental impact on grain yield in early and delayed sowing. When sowing was delayed beyond the 20th of November, grain yield of timely sown wheat was significantly reduced.

Delaved sowina accelerated the crop development, resulting phenological in а significant decrease in yield. Similar findings were reported by Pal et al. [23], Amravat et al. [24], and Pathania et al. [25]. Hundal [26] discovered that a 2°C increase in temperature in wheat or rice resulted in a 15-17 percent drop in grain yield in both crops, but the decrease in wheat was much greater.

# 4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of findings of this study, it is possible to conclude that heat unit indices provided a scientific foundation for assessing the effect of temperature at various phenological stages of the wheat crop. The crop sown on 3rd thermal environment maximum December calendar days resulting in higher growing degree days, photo-thermal units, helio-thermal units, Helio-thermal use efficiency, Photothermal use efficiency and heat use efficiency for all the stages were significantly reduced as a result of the subsequent delay in sowing. Thus, wheat crop sown on 3<sup>rd</sup> December recorded the highest grain yield as compare to 18<sup>th</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> January sown crop. Irrigation schedule  $I_1$  (IW: CPE= 1.0) noted maximum heat indices amongst all the irrigation schedules during the study. As regards with the varieties the timely sown wheat varieties MP 3336 acquired maximum thermal units and produced maximum yield because of longer duration. It has the potential to convert heat units into economic yield and biomass in an efficient manner. The crop sown on 3<sup>rd</sup> December with IW: CPE= 1.0 and variety MP 3336 attained maximum heat unit indices as compared to rest of the treatment combinations.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to ICAR for providing funds for the study and the Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Jawahar Lal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur for providing the facilities for this investigation.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistics Division; 2021.

- Anonymous. World Agriculture Production by USDA; 2020a. Available:https://ipad.fas.usda.gov/search. aspx
- 3. Anonymous. Department of agriculture & farmer welfare. Madhya Pradesh; 2020b.
- 4. Singh S, Pal M. Growth, yield and phonological response of wheat cultivars to delayed sowing. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology. 2003;8:277-286.
- Tewari SK, Singh M. Yielding ability of wheat at different dates of sowing: A temperature development performance. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1993;38:204-209.
- Ramteke SD, Chetti MB, Salimath PM. Heat unit requirement of chickpea genotypes for various phenological stages during kharif and rabi seasons. Annals of Plant Physiol. 1996;10(2):176-181.
- 7. Rao VUM, Singh D, Singh R. Heat use efficiency of winter crops in Haryana. J. Agrometeorol. 1999;1:143-148.
- 8. Chauhan CPS, Singh RB, Gupta SK. Supplemental irrigation of wheat with saline water. Agric. Water Manage. 2008;95:253-258.
- Ihsan MZ, El-Nakhlawy FS, Ismail SM, Fahad S, Daur I. Wheat phenological development and growth studies as affected by drought and late season high temperature stress under arid environment. Front. Plant Sci. 2016;7:1-14.
- 10. Nuttonson MY. "Wheat climatic relationship and use of phenology in ascertaining the thermal and photothermal requirements of wheat". Am. Inst. Crop Ecol. Washington D.C; 1955.
- Rajput RP. Response of soybean crop to climate and soil environments. Ph. D. Thesis. Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Pusa, New Delhi; 1980.
- 12. Wilsie CP. Crop adaptation and distribution. Freeman WH, Co. London. 1962:52-59.
- 13. Sastry PSN, Chakravarty NVK. Energy summation indices for wheat crop in India. Agric. Meteorol. 1982;27:45-48.
- 14. Jain P, Agrawal KK, Bhan M, Shrivatava AK. Phenology and heat unit requirement of wheat under different thermal environments irrigation and fertility levels at jabalpur condition of Madhya Pradesh. Chem Sci Rev Lett. 2018;6(21):88-93.
- 15. Thorat TN, Agrawal KK, Bhan M. Phenological development and yield of wheat cultivars under different crop

growing environment and irrigation schedules. Green farming. 2016;7:1-6.

- Khande D, Agrawal KK, Bhan M. Phenology and heat unitvrequirement of wheat under various thermal environments and different methods of sowing in Tawa Command Area of Madhya Pradesh. Anveshak. 2021;51(2).
- Bist H, Singh DK, Shaloo Mishra AK, Sarangi A, Prajapati VK, Singh M, Krishnan P. Heat unit requirement of wheat under different thermal and moisture regimes. J. Agrometeorol. 2019;21(1): 36-41.
- Masoni A, Ercoli L, Maassantini F. Relationship between number of days, growing degree days and photothermal units and growth in wheat according to seeding time. Agric. Mediterrancea. 1980;120:41-51.
- 19. Agrawal KK, Upadhyay AP, Upendra S, Gupta VK. Accumulated heat unit requirements for different phenophases of wheat cultivars as influenced by sowing dates at Jabalpur. Journal of Agrometerology. 1999;1(2):173-176.
- 20. Prasad S, Agrawal KK, Kumar R, Prakash V. Heat unit requirement of wheat cultivars under varying thermal regimes at Jabalpur. Journal of Agrometeorology. 2017;19(3): 283–285.
- 21. Agrawal KK, Upadhyay AP, Upendra S, Gupta VK. Phenological behaviour and thermal requirements of wheat genotypes under different dates of sowing. Ann. Pl. Soil Res. 2001;3(1):12-16.
- 22. Gajbhiye M. Effect of IW/CPE ratio-based irrigation scheduling on weeds, growth and yield of wheat under different sowing dates in vertisol. M. Sc. Thesis. at Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (M.P.); 2021.
- 23. Pal RK, Rao MNN and Murty NS. Agrometeorological Indices to predict plant stages and yield of wheat for foot hills of Western Himalayas. 2013;909-914.
- 24. Amrawat T, Solanki NS, Sharma SK, Jajoria DK, Dotaniya ML. Phenology growth and yield of wheat in relation to agrometeorological indices under different sowing dates. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013;8(49):6366-6374.
- 25. Pathania R, Prasad R, Rana RS, Mishra SK. Heat unit requirement and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L*.) varieties under different growing environment in mid

hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. Journal of Agrometeorology. 2019;21(3): 282-287.

26. Hundal SS. Climatic changes and their impact on crop productivity vis-à-vis

mitigation and adaptation strategies. In proc of workshop "Sustainable Agricultural Problems and Prospects". Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana. 2004; 148-53.

© 2022 Lanjhewar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94105