



Mitigating Fruit Cracking and Premature Dropping in Bael (*Aegle marmelos*) cv. NB-9 under Subtropical Conditions: Evaluating the Effects of Bio-formulations and Boric Acid

Shubham Jain ^{a++*}, Bhanu Pratap ^{a#}, Abhishek Sonkar ^{a++}
and Rahmat Gul Hassanzai ^{a++}

^a Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Ayodhya-224229 (U.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JABB/2024/v27i5855

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116270>

Original Research Article

Received: 25/02/2024

Accepted: 27/04/2024

Published: 01/05/2024

ABSTRACT

The present investigation entitled "Mitigating Fruit Cracking and Premature Dropping in Bael (*Aegle marmelos*) cv. NB-9 under Subtropical Conditions: Evaluating the Effects of Bio-formulations and Boric Acid" was carried out during the year 2022-2023 at Main Experimental Station, Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture & Forestry, A.N.D.U.A.&T., Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India (Lat 26.540849° Long 81.830421°). Application of various bio-formulations and boric acid with eco-friendly materials to study the various vegetative properties,

⁺⁺ Research Scholar;

[#] Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: shubhu15296@gmail.com;

cracking, fruit drop and effect on the vegetative growth of fruit. The experiment was laid down in randomized block design (RBD) with (12) treatments and (03) replications. The experiment consists of 12 treatments including control, T₁ (Control), T₂ (FYM 50 Kg), T₃ (FYM 100 Kg), T₄ (Boric acid 200g), T₅ (Boric acid 400g), T₆ (Biofertilizer 250g/plant [100g Azotobacter + 100g Biovita + 50g PSB]), T₇ (FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 200g), T₈ (FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 400g), T₉ (FYM 50Kg + Biofertilizer 250g/plant [100g Azotobacter + 100g Biovita + 50g PSB]), T₁₀ (FYM 100Kg + Boric acid 200g), T₁₁ (FYM 100 Kg + Boric acid 400g) and T₁₂ (FYM 100Kg + Biofertilizer 250g/plant [100g Azotobacter + 100g Biovita + 50g PSB]) was used for this study. The results revealed that treatment T₇ outperformed the rest with minimum fruit cracking (1.53%), fruit drop (76.20%), the maximum number of flowers per plant (171.19), day-to-flowering (31.38) and yield (181.69 q/ha) was most effective in a vegetative quality and yield point of view. Thus, the treatment combination T₇ is therefore recommended for application to Bael trees in subtropical conditions to obtain high yields with better quality fruits.

Keywords: *Azotobacter; bael; biofertilizer; biovita; bio-formulations; boric acid; fruit cracking; fruit drop; PSB; subtropical conditions.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Bael, or *Aegle marmelos* (L.) Correa is a prominent figure in the world of botany, especially in the Rutaceae family. The Eastern Ghats and Central India are home to the fruit-bearing tree known as the Bengal quince, which has gained popularity due to its nutritional worth and therapeutic qualities. Bael is widely grown in tropical and subtropical areas, usually at an elevation of 1200 meters [1]. It may even survive in hot, dry climates with little upkeep. These trees are found in many countries, such as the Philippines, Cambodia, Malaysia, Java, Egypt, Surinam, Trinidad, and Florida; they are also found in India, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Myanmar, and Vietnam. According to previous reports [2].

Respected for its hardiness and capacity to yield copious amounts of fruit even in difficult soil conditions, Bael has established itself as a mainstay in traditional medicine, especially in ayurvedic procedures. native to the Indian subcontinent and highly valued for its numerous health advantages as well as its religious importance. Bael fruit is a highly nutritious fruit with many health benefits that also include amino acids, fatty acids, different organic acids, minerals, carbs, vitamins, and fibre [3]. WHO [4] states that it is also an excellent source for extracting medicines and other economically significant herbal components. Particularly well-known for its exceptional fruit quality and subtropical climatic adaptation is the cultivar NB-9. But fruit cracking and early dropping are widespread problems that seriously reduce the quantity and quality of bael fruits, causing growers to suffer large financial losses and obstructing the flow of this precious crop.

Numerous factors, including nutritional imbalances, physiological illnesses, and environmental pressures, can influence these events, making management of them a crucial and intricate task.

Bael's significance is varied and goes beyond its therapeutic benefits. Its fruit has a profusion of opportunities for functional food products and industrial applications due to its richness in phytochemicals and critical nutrients. They have a great deal of potential for being made into a variety of goods, such as syrup, wine, slabs, powder, jam, and preserves [1]. Bael fruits are used in India to make a wide range of goods, such as syrups, squares (murabba), and gelato (sherbet). Ripe bael fruits are enjoyed in many places, such as Indonesia and Thailand, where they are sliced and used in various dishes. Cake ingredients can also include bael fruit syrups [5]. However, difficulties with Bael cultivation still exist, ranging from fruit cracking to nutritional deficits in soils, despite its extensive cultivation and cultural significance. Innovative strategies are needed to address these issues, such as incorporating boron supplements and bio-formulations into growing techniques. The authors [6] emphasize the significance of having a comprehensive understanding of nutrient management to maximize fruit quality and disorders.

The methods used in cultivation, the crops' therapeutic value, their nutritional makeup, and the difficulties encountered in growing Bael crops. This review aims to further our awareness of the potential of Bael cultivation and its applications in a range of domains, from traditional medicine to agro-industrial sectors, by synthesizing existing research and emphasizing

emerging developments. Using boric acid and bio-formulations has been a viable method to address these problems in recent years. Bio-formulations, which are composed of organic materials and beneficial bacteria, provide a sustainable method of boosting plant health and stress tolerance through increased nutrient uptake, improved soil fertility, and systemic stress resistance. On the other hand, boric acid is essential for controlling the synthesis of cell walls and stabilizing cell membranes, both of which are critical for avoiding fruit shattering and enabling the fruit to remain on the tree until it ripens properly. According to [7], this method may help with issues such as fruit cracking and early fruit drop in addition to enhancing growth and output. In recent years, there has been a lot of promotion for this alternative [8,9,10]. Therefore, the term "bio-formulation" describes the process of producing material that contains beneficial and living microbial strains using suitable carrier materials for their efficient use in industry, agriculture, bioremediation, and other domains [11]. A bio-formulated product or bioformulation's key ingredients are potentially beneficial bacteria for plant growth, such as nutrient solubilizers, nitrogen fixers, biocontrol agents, and bioremediation [12].

The effectiveness of this addition in subtropical climates, with a focus on assessing how well they mitigate fruit cracking and early dropping in the bael cultivar NB-9. The study aims to provide significant insights into sustainable agricultural practices that can improve the production quality and yield of bael, thereby supporting farmers' livelihoods and the availability of this nutrient-rich fruit. This will be accomplished through a thorough analysis of experimental data and observations. This research aims to pave the road for a more robust and productive bael production system by tackling these issues with creative and eco-friendly solutions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at the Main Experiment Station, Horticulture, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) during the years 2022-2023. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with 12 Treatment, namely: T₁ (Control), T₂ (FYM 50 Kg), T₃ (FYM 100 Kg), T₄ (Boric acid 200g), T₅ (Boric acid 400g), T₆ (Biofertilizer 250g/plant [100g Azotobacter + 100g Biovita + 50g PSB]), T₇ (FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 200g), T₈ (FYM 50Kg +

Boric acid 400g), T₉ (FYM 50Kg +Biofertilizer 250g/plant [100g Azotobacter + 100g Biovita + 50g PSB]), T₁₀ (FYM 100Kg + Boric acid 200g), T₁₁ (FYM 100Kg + Boric acid 400g) and T₁₂ (FYM 100Kg +Biofertilizer 250g/plant [100g Azotobacter + 100g Biovita + 50g PSB]). The experiment was replicated three times. The climate in the Ayodhya district is categorized as semi-arid, with three distinct seasons: summer, which is hot, winter, and rainy or wet. With an average of 1200 mm of rain, the rainy season starts the last week of June and lasts through September or possibly October. The soil was found to be sandy loam, with an average pH of 7.71 and average amounts of silt (22.76%), clay (14.95%), and fine sand (64.77%). The experiment used plants that were sixteen years old. For the regular cultural activities, plant protection measures, and basal application of manures and fertilizers, the Bael plantation's set timetable was adhered to. Data was collected on fruit cracking, fruit drop and flowering and yield parameters like fruit cracking (%), fruit drop (%), the maximum number of flowers per plant, day-to-flowering (days) and yield (q/ha). The data obtained during experimentation were statistically analyzed as per the method given by Panse and Sukhatme [13].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Bio-formulations and Boric Acid on Fruit Cracking and Fruit Drop Attributes of Fruits of Bael

3.1.1 Fruit cracking (%)

The data significantly presented in Table 1 and Graph 1 revealed that the treatment T₇ [FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 200g] recorded the minimum fruit cracking (%) i.e., [1.53 (2022-23), 1.52 (2023-24) and 1.53 (Pooled)] % over all other treatments during both the years of study as well as pooled analysis. The 2nd best treatment combination was found to be treatment T₈ [FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 400g] with [1.91 (2022-23), 1.89 (2023-24) and 1.90 (Pooled)] % fruit cracking. However, during both the years of study as well as pooled data, the effect of treatment T₈ [FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 400g], T₁₀ [FYM 100Kg + Boric acid 200g] and T₄ [Boric acid 200g] were found at par with the treatment T₇ [FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 200g]. The highest fruit cracking (%) i.e., [39.86 (2022-23), 39.42 (2023-24) and 39.64 (Pooled)] % was recorded in T₁ [Control] during both the years of study as well as pooled analysis. The application of

treatment T₇ [FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 200g] resulted in minimum fruit cracking (%) of Bael.

3.1.2 Fruit drop (%)

Data presented in Table 1 and Graph 1 indicated that treatment T₇ [FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 200g] recorded the minimum fruit drop (%) i.e., [77.12 (2022-23), 75.28 (2023-24) and 76.20 (Average)] % over all other treatments during both the years of study as well as average analysis. The 2nd best treatment combination was found to be treatment T₈ [FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 400g] with [77.46 (2022-23), 75.62 (2023-24) and 76.54 (Average)] % fruit drop. However, during both the years of study, the effect of treatment T₈ [FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 400g] and T₁₀ [FYM 100Kg + Boric acid 200g] were found at par with the treatment T₇ [FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 200g] whereas according to average data, only T₈ [FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 400g] was found at par with the treatment T₇. The highest fruit drop (%) i.e., [90 (2022-23), 87.86 (2023-24) and 88.93 (Average)] % was recorded in T₁ [Control] during both the years of study as well as average analysis.


3.2 Effect of Bio-formulations and Boric Acid on Flowering Behavior and Yield of Bael

3.2.1 Number of flowers per plant


Number of flowers per plant, as presented in Table 2 and Graph 2 that the treatment T₇ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 200 g] recorded the maximum number of flowers per plant [168.22 (2022-23), 174.17 (2023-24) and 171.19 (Average)] over all other treatments during both the years of study as well as average analysis. The 2nd best treatment combination was found to be treatment T₈ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 400 g] with [166.35(2022-23), 172.22 (2023-24) and 169.29 (Average)] number of flowers per plant. However, the effect of treatment T₈ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 400 g] was found at par with treatment T₇ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 200 g]. The lowest number of flowers per plant i.e., [144.59 (2022-23), 149.56 (2023-24) and 147.08 (Average)] was recorded in T₁ [Control] during both the years of study as well as average analysis.

Table 1. Fruit cracking and fruit drop attributes of fruits of bael

Treatments	Fruit Cracking (%)			Fruit Drop (%)		
	1 st Year	2 nd Year	Average	1 st Year	2 nd Year	Average
T ₁ Control	39.86	39.42	39.64	90.00	87.86	88.93
T ₂ FYM 50 Kg	34.26	33.88	34.07	84.37	82.36	83.37
T ₃ FYM 100 Kg	30.73	30.39	30.56	83.75	81.76	82.75
T ₄ Boric acid 200g	3.66	3.62	3.64	79.74	77.84	78.79
T ₅ Boric acid 400g	7.06	6.98	7.02	80.36	78.45	79.40
T ₆ Biofertilizer 250g/plant [100g Azotobacter + 100g Biovita + 50g PSB]	22.46	22.21	22.33	79.12	77.24	78.18
T ₇ FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 200g	1.53	1.52	1.53	77.12	75.28	76.20
T ₈ FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 400g	1.91	1.89	1.90	77.46	75.62	76.54
T ₉ FYM 50Kg + Biofertilizer 250g/plant [100g Azotobacter + 100g Biovita + 50g PSB]	26.00	25.71	25.85	83.13	81.15	82.14
T ₁₀ FYM 100Kg + Boric acid 200g	3.25	3.22	3.23	77.74	75.89	76.81
T ₁₁ FYM 100Kg + Boric acid 400g	10.13	10.02	10.08	81.89	79.94	80.92
T ₁₂ FYM 100Kg + Biofertilizer 250g/plant [100g Azotobacter + 100g Biovita + 50g PSB]	20.24	20.02	20.13	82.51	80.55	81.53
SEm ±	1.08	1.07	0.76	0.24	0.21	0.16
CD at 5%	3.16	3.13	2.16	0.71	0.62	0.46



Graph 1. Fruit cracking and fruit drop attributes of fruits of bael



Graph 2. Flowering and Yield Attributes of Fruits of Bael

Table 2. Flowering and yield attributes of fruits of bael

Treatments	Number of flowers per plant			Days to flowering (days)			Fruit yield (g/ha)		
	1 st Year	2 nd Year	Pooled	1 st Year	2 nd Year	Pooled	1 st Year	2 nd Year	Pooled
T ₁ Control	144.59	149.56	147.08	42.53	40.88	41.71	121.79	129.23	125.51
T ₂ FYM 50 Kg	152.66	157.97	155.31	39.78	38.24	39.01	137.22	145.61	141.41
T ₃ FYM 100 Kg	154.23	159.61	156.92	39.28	37.76	38.52	139.90	148.46	144.18
T ₄ Boric acid 200g	164.66	170.45	167.55	34.90	33.55	34.23	163.10	173.09	168.10
T ₅ Boric acid 400g	162.76	168.47	165.61	35.57	34.19	34.88	158.95	168.69	163.82
T ₆ Biofertilizer 250g/plant [100g Azotobacter + 100g Biovita + 50g PSB]	163.50	169.25	166.38	33.80	32.49	33.15	165.97	176.15	171.06
T ₇ FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 200g	168.22	174.17	171.19	32.00	30.76	31.38	176.28	187.10	181.69
T ₈ FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 400g	166.35	172.22	169.29	32.36	31.11	31.74	173.10	183.72	178.41
T ₉ FYM 50Kg +Biofertilizer 250g/plant [100g Azotobacter + 100g Biovita + 50g PSB]	157.10	162.58	159.84	38.22	36.74	37.48	145.41	154.32	149.87
T ₁₀ FYM 100Kg + Boric acid 200g	164.20	170.00	167.10	32.69	31.42	32.06	168.85	179.21	174.03
T ₁₁ FYM 100Kg + Boric acid 400g	160.90	166.53	163.71	36.81	35.38	36.10	152.46	161.80	157.13
T ₁₂ FYM 100Kg +Biofertilizer 250g/plant [100g Azotobacter + 100g Biovita + 50g PSB]	159.16	164.72	161.94	37.52	36.07	36.80	149.47	158.63	154.05
SEm ±	1.13	1.18	0.82	0.26	0.24	0.18	1.76	1.76	1.12
CD at 5%	3.32	3.45	2.33	0.76	0.71	0.50	4.08	5.16	3.20

3.2.2 Days to flowering (days)

As presented in Table 2 and Graph 2 the treatment T₇ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 200 g] recorded the minimum days to flowering (days) [32 (2022-23), 30.76 (2023-24) and 31.38 (Average)] days over all other treatments during both the years of study as well as average analysis. The 2nd best treatment combination was found to be treatment T₈ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 400 g] with [32.36 (2022-23), 31.11 (2023-24) and 31.74 (Average)] days to flowering. However, during both the years of study, the effect of treatment T₈ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 400 g] and T₁₀ [FYM 100 Kg + Boric acid 200 g] were found at par with the treatment T₇ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 200 g] whereas according to average data only T₈ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 400 g] was found at par with the treatment T₇. The highest days to flowering (days) i.e., [42.53 (2022-23), 40.88 (2023-24) and 41.71 (Average)] days were recorded in T₁ [Control] during both the years of study as well as average analysis.

3.2.3 Fruit yield (q/ha)

It is evident from the data presented in Table 2 and Graph 2 that the treatment T₇ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 200 g] recorded the maximum yield (q/ha) i.e., [176.28 (2022-23), 187.10 (2023-24) and 181.69 (Average)] q/ha over all other treatments during both the years of study as well as average analysis. The 2nd best treatment combination was found to be treatment T₈ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 400 g] with [173.10 (2022-23), 183.72 (2023-24) and 178.41 (Pooled)] q/ha yield. However, during both the years of study, the effect of treatment T₈ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 400 g] was found at par with the treatment T₇ [FYM 50 Kg + Boric acid 200 g]. The lowest yield (q/ha) i.e., [121.79 (2022-23), 129.23 (2023-24) and 125.51 (Average)] q/ha was recorded in T₁ [Control] during both the years of study as well as average analysis.

4. CONCLUSION

From the ongoing summary of the present investigation, it can be inferred that vegetative parameters viz. fruit cracking, fruit drop and flowering and yield parameters like fruit cracking (%), fruit drop (%), the maximum number of flowers per plant, day-to-flowering (days) and yield (q/ha) were recorded maximum in flowering and yield attributes like maximum number of flowers per plant, day-to-flowering and yield besides fruit drop and fruit cracking in the fruit

was drastically reduced. It can be concluded that all the treatments show good effects on increasing fruit quality and minimizing fruit drop and fruit cracking as compared to the control but T₇ (FYM 50Kg + Boric acid 200g) was found to be more pronounced among all the treatments and can be used in increasing the quality and reducing fruit drop and fruit cracking of Bael.

5. FUTURE SCOPE

Bio-formulation is a system that helps to restore and sustain crop productivity and also assists in checking emerging micronutrient deficiencies. With this view, there is a dire need to minimize the use of chemical fertilizers with the use of integrated nutrient management and organic ways for better growth and yield of fruits.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to Dr. Bhanu Pratap, major advisor in the Department of Fruit Science, to the distinguished committee members, as well as to my closest friends, for their wise advice, encouraging criticism, and support during the research and manuscript-writing processes.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Singh A, Sharma HK, Kaushal P, Upadhyay A. Bael (*Aegle marmelos* Correa) products processing: A Review. Afr. J. Food Sci. 2014;8:204–215.
2. Nagar S, Kumar M, Kumatkar RB, Sharma JB, Sing S. Evaluation of bael (*Aegle marmelos* Corr.) germplasms for seed and qualitative characters under semi-arid conditions of Haryana. International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience. 2017;5:436–442.
3. Bhardwaj RL. Role of bael fruit juice in nutritional security of Sirohi tribals; Benchmark Surv. Rep. Sirohi Tribals. 2014;11-37.
4. World Health Organization (WHO). General Guidelines for Methodologies on Research and Evaluation of Traditional Medicine (WHO/EDM/TRM/2000.1); 2005.
5. Baliga MS, Bhat HP, Joseph N, Fazal F. Phytochemistry and medicinal uses of the

- bael fruit (*Aegle marmelos* Correa): A concise review. Food Research International. 2011;44(7):1768–1775.
6. Rangare NR, Lal N, Kuldeep DK, Singh M, Lakra J. Fruit cracking, its causes and management. Scientist. 2022;1(3):4200-4208.
 7. Khan A, Singh AV, Gautam SS, Agarwal A, Punetha A, Upadhyay VK, Kukreti B, Bundela V, Jugran AK, Goel R. Microbial bioformulation: A microbial assisted bio-stimulating fertilization technique for sustainable agriculture. Front. Plant Sci. 2023;12.
 8. Khan A, Upadhyay VK, Panwar M, Singh AV. Soil microbiota: A key bioagent for the revitalization of soil health in hilly regions. Microbiological Advancements for Higher Altitude Agro-Ecosystems & Sustainability. Eds. Goel R, Soni R, Suyal DC. (Singapore: Springer). 2020;183–200.
 9. Pathak VM, Verma VK, Rawat BS, Kaur B, Babu N, Sharma A. Current status of pesticide effects on the environment, human health and it's eco-friendly management as bioremediation: A comprehensive review. Front. Microbiol. 2022;2833.
 10. Ayilara MS, Adeleke BS, Akinola SA, Fayose CA, Adeyemi UT, Gbadegesin LA. Biopesticides as a promising alternative to synthetic pesticides: A case for microbial pesticides, phytopesticides, and nanobiopesticides. Front. Microbiol. 2023; 14:1040901.
 11. Balla A, Silini A, Cherif-Silini H, Chenari BA, Alenezi FN, Belbahri L. Recent advances in encapsulation techniques of plant growth-promoting microorganisms and their prospects in sustainable agriculture. Appl. Sci. 2022;12(18):9020.
 12. Pirttila AM, Mohammad PTH, Baruah N, Koskimäki JJ. Biofertilizers and biocontrol agents for agriculture: How to identify and develop new potent microbial strains and traits. Microorganisms. 2021;9(4):817.
 13. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publication. 1985;87-89.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116270>