



Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics

Volume 25, Issue 2, Page 63-74, 2023; Article no.AJPAS.107532

ISSN: 2582-0230

# On Propositions Pertaining to the Riemann Hypothesis II

Pathikrit Basu <sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>20128 White Cloud Circle, West Linn, Oregon, USA.

*Author's contribution*

*The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.*

*Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/AJPAS/2023/v25i2553

**Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107532>

*Received: 06/08/2023*

*Accepted: 10/10/2023*

*Published: 14/10/2023*

**Original Research Article**

## Abstract

**Aims/ Objectives:** In this paper, we define certain classes of non-zeroes of the Riemann zeta function. We also present associated algorithms for finding these non-zeroes, which can enable corresponding computations. Some theoretical connections are also drawn with mixed integer programming and continuous Diophantine approximation. We also study, for points in the domain of the Riemann zeta function, their induced distributions over the unit circle.

*Keywords:* Probability measures over the unit circle; Riemann hypothesis; Riemann zeta function; complex variables; complex functions.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30A99, 30E99.

## 1 Introduction

This paper is a continuation of [1] and [2]. We first describe a class of non-zeroes of the Riemann zeta function ([3], [4], [5]) that are derivable using a certain criterion. The criterion is one in which we partition a sum

*\*Corresponding author: E-mail: pathikritbasu@gmail.com;*

*Asian J. Prob. Stat., vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 63-74, 2023*

into two non-equivalent parts, which result in a non-zero sum as in [1] and [2]. We present two algorithms, which allows one to identify whether a point is non-zero based on a partial sum. This involves an upper bound derived in [2], on the sum of norms corresponding to the tail of the Riemann zeta function. We also establish some theoretical connections by representing the problem as one of mixed integer programming ([6],[7]) and continuous Diophantine approximation ([8],[9],[10], [11], [12], [13]). Lastly, we study the associated probability distributions on the unit circle, parameterised by the complex variables in the domain. Perhaps interestingly, as in [2], one finds that every distribution which has zero expectation, is similar to a uniform distributon, in that the probability of each arc is upper bounded by a function increasing in arc length. Finally, as noted in [1] and [2], prior research on the Riemann hypothesis appears in [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22] and [23]. Much of this literature studies properties of holomorphic and meromorphic functions within the theory of complex functions (see also [24] and [25]). One may note that the rational (linear-exponential) functional form that gives the tail bound is similar to that in [26] and [24].

## 2 Propositions and Algorithms

In order to avoid repetition of notation, we will refer the reader to [1] and [2] to see the appropriate notation for the rest of this paper. The complex plane (see [5] and [27]) is  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and for each point in the domain given by

$$S = \{(\sigma, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \sigma \in (0, 1); t \neq 0\}, \tag{2.1}$$

we have the Riemann zeta function, that is defined for each  $s \in S$  as

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1-s}}\right) \times \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^s}. \tag{2.2}$$

Of course, the non-zeroes of the above sum would be identical to those of the alternating Dirichlet sum,

$$\zeta^*(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^s}. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence, we study the following sequence and its associated distribution on the unit circle. We define  $\{Z(s)_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  as follows

$$Z_0 = (1, 0); \tag{2.4}$$

$$Z_n(s) = \frac{1}{(2n+1)^s} - \frac{1}{(2n)^s}; \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{2.5}$$

### 2.1 The expectation representation

For an absolutely convergent series  $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  in a normed vector space ([28]), we define the discrete probability measure on  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  given by  $\mu(\{n\}) := \frac{\|z_n\|}{\sum_m \|z_m\|}$ . This leads to a probability measure on the unit circle  $\{z : \|z\| = 1\}$ . A non-zero sum  $\sum_n z_n$  is hence, identical to a non-zero expectation  $\mathbb{E}_\mu[z]$ . In [2], a concentration theorem was obtained, which we restate here as follows.

**Proposition 2.1.** ([2]) *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on the unit circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu[z] \neq 0 \text{ if there exist numbers } 0 \leq \theta' \leq \theta'' \leq 2\pi \text{ such that } \theta'' - \theta' \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ and}$$

$$\mu(\{z : \theta' \leq \theta(z) \leq \theta''\}) > \frac{1}{1 + \cos\left(\frac{\theta'' - \theta'}{2}\right)}. \tag{2.6}$$

In the above proposition, we denote as  $\theta(z) \in [0, 2\pi]$ , the angle in radians for the complex variable  $z$  (see [5], [27]). Note that by symmetry of the unit circle, the conclusion of the above proposition obtains even if we take  $0 \leq \theta' \leq \theta'' \leq 2\pi$  such that  $\theta' + (2\pi - \theta'') \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  and lower-bound the probability of the event as

$$\mu(\{z : \theta(z) \in [0, \theta'] \cup [\theta'', 2\pi]\}) \geq \frac{1}{1 + \cos\left(\frac{\theta' + (2\pi - \theta'')}{2}\right)}. \tag{2.7}$$

In this case, the event corresponds to an arc in the unit circle, which contains the point  $(1, 0)$ .

Let us first discuss the case, for the Riemann zeta function, in which for the input complex variable  $s = (\sigma, t)$ , we have that  $\sigma > 1$ . In this case, we have the absolutely convergent series

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s}, \tag{2.8}$$

which is defined as a function of  $s$  on the domain

$$S_+ = \{(\sigma, t) : \sigma > 1\}. \tag{2.9}$$

The above sequence would be defined as  $z_n := \frac{1}{n^s}$ . As it was shown in [1], given the derivation from Euler's formula

$$\frac{1}{n^s} = e^{-\sigma \ln(n)} (\cos(-t \ln(n)), \sin(-t \ln(n))), \tag{2.10}$$

for  $\sigma \geq 2$ , for the associated distribution  $\mu$  and for  $\theta' = \theta'' = 0$ , we have that  $\mathbb{E}_\mu[z] \neq 0$ . For notational convenience, we will define  $\mu_s$  to be the probability measure over the unit circle, associated with the complex variable  $s$ . For any arbitrary distribution  $\mu$ , we say that  $\mu$  is concentrated, if it satisfies condition 2.6 from Proposition 2.1 or the condition 2.7. Now, we define the set

$$S_+^* = \{s \in S_+ : \mu_s \text{ is concentrated}\}. \tag{2.11}$$

One may conjecture whether or not  $S_+ = S_+^*$ . Although, we may, prove the following theorem.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $1 < \sigma < 2$ . Then, there exist countably many pairwise disjoint intervals  $\{[\underline{t}_k, \bar{t}_k]\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ , such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \underline{t}_k \rightarrow +\infty$  and for each  $k$  and  $t \in [\underline{t}_k, \bar{t}_k]$ , we have that  $(\sigma, t) \in S_+^*$ .*

*Proof.* This can be established using a multidimensional Weyl criterion (see [29], [30]). Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be such that the sum of norms for the tail is less than  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ , i.e

$$\sum_{n \geq m+1} \left\| \frac{1}{n^s} \right\| = \sum_{n \geq m+1} \frac{1}{n^\sigma} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{2.12}$$

Now, by applying the multidimensional Weyl criterion, we obtain that the sequence  $(\left(\frac{\ln(n)t}{2\pi}\right)_{n=2}^m)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{m-1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo one ([30]). The resulting uniform distribution on  $[0, 1]^{m-1}$ , is derived through finite sampling from the defined sequence. Since each open ball has positive probability in the uniform measure, by a probabilistic proof, we are able to derive a countable collection of intervals  $\{[\underline{t}_k, \bar{t}_k]\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  such that for each  $k$ ,  $t \in [\underline{t}_k, \bar{t}_k]$  and  $2 \leq n \leq m$ , we have from Euler's formula, that  $0 \leq \theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{(\sigma, t)}}\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ . This means that for the induced distribution  $\mu_{(\sigma, t)}$ , we have that  $\mu_{(\sigma, t)}(\{z : 0 \leq \theta(z) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\}) > \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+\sqrt{2}}$ . Hence, the probability measure  $\mu_{(\sigma, t)}$  is concentrated and  $(\sigma, t) \in S_+^*$ .  $\square$

**Mixed Integer Programming and Continuous Diophantine approximation:** The above result may also be achieved by means of a mixed integer linear program ([6],[7]), which is essentially a continuous version of the simultaneous Diophantine approximation problem (see [8],[9],[11]). We say this because in contrast

to diophantine approximation, which involves only integers, the variable of interest,  $t$ , is continuous. Such a representation of the problem studied in the above proposition would also allow us to reason about the positioning of the intervals  $\{[\underline{t}_k, \bar{t}_k]\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be such that  $m \geq 2$  and let  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$  be a real number. Now, consider the following mixed integer program.

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{(t, (q_n)_{n=2}^m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}} t \\ \text{subject to : } & \left| \left( \frac{\ln(n)}{2\pi} \right) t - q_n \right| \leq \frac{r}{2\pi} \text{ for all } 2 \leq n \leq m. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

$$q_n \geq 0 \text{ for all } 2 \leq n \leq m. \tag{2.14}$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^m q_n \geq 1. \tag{2.15}$$

$$t \geq 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Let us first discuss the feasible region for the above program. In particular, we will be interested in the projection of the feasible region on the first coordinate i.e. set of feasible  $t$  values. By Dirichlet's theorem on simultaneous diophantine approximation (see [11]), we may derive a subset of the feasible  $t$  values, that is a union of disjoint intervals as shown above. Such considerations will again result in concentration, in the sense of condition 2.7 above.

In the next proposition, we demonstrate further properties of the feasible  $t$  region. We say that a vector  $(t, (q_n)_{n=2}^m)$  is co-prime, if i)  $t$  is an integer ii) the integers in  $(t, (q_n)_{n=2}^m)$  are co-prime in the sense that  $t$  and  $q_n$  are co-prime for each  $n$ . The following result obtains, which also exhibits the relevance of the sequence  $\{\ln(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  in the present analysis.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $1 < \sigma < 2$ . Then, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and an infinite subset  $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for each,  $t \in T$ ,*

1. *The probability measure  $\mu_{(\sigma, t)}$  is concentrated i.e.  $(\sigma, t) \in S_+^*$*
2. *For each  $2 \leq n \leq m$ , the unique minimiser  $q_n \in \arg \min_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \left| \left( \frac{\ln(n)}{2\pi} \right) t - q \right|$  is such that the resulting vector  $(t, (q_n)_{n=2}^m)$  is co-prime.*

*Proof.* The result follows from [9] or [10]. □

**Algorithms** We will next discuss the case  $0 < \sigma < 1$ , which corresponds to the domain  $S$ . For a point in region  $s \in S$ , we define the probability measure  $\mu_s$  as follows. We define the sequence  $\{z_n\}_n$  as  $z_1 = Z_0 = (1, 0)$  and  $z_n := Z_{n-1}(s)$ , for each  $n \geq 2$ , as defined in 2.4 and 2.5. Hence,  $\mu_s$  is derived from  $\{z_n\}_n$ . As before,  $\mu_s$  is said to be concentrated if satisfies 2.6 or 2.7. We define the following set

$$S^* = \{s \in S : \mu_s \text{ is concentrated}\}. \tag{2.17}$$

Again, similarly in this case, we may conjecture whether or not  $S^* = S \setminus \{(\sigma, t) : \sigma = 1/2\}$ . The first algorithm is based on Proposition 2.1. It is based on finding a partial sum approximation of the distribution  $\mu_s$ . By this we mean, that for a large enough  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we bound the sum of norms of the tail  $\{z_n\}_{n=m+1}^\infty$ . From [2], for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that we have an acute angle  $(\ln(2m+1) - \ln(2m))|t| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we get the bound

$$\sum_{n \geq m+1} \|Z_n(s)\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{|t|}{\sigma}\right) \frac{1}{(2m+2)^\sigma}. \tag{2.18}$$

Now, for large  $m$ , this means that the tail has small amount of weight in the normalisation governing  $\mu_s$ . Hence, we may apply the concentration theorem to the finite sum, in a way that yields a non-zero expectation. We express this in the form of the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $(\sigma, t) \in S$ . Suppose  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\sum_{n \geq m+1} \|z_n\| < \varepsilon$ . If there exist  $0 \leq \theta' \leq \theta'' \leq 2\pi$  such that  $\theta'' - \theta' \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  and

$$\frac{\sum_{n: \theta' \leq \theta(z_n) \leq \theta''} \|z_n\|}{\sum_{n=1}^m \|z_n\| + \varepsilon} > \frac{1}{1 + \cos\left(\frac{\theta'' - \theta'}{2}\right)}. \quad (2.19)$$

Then,  $\mu_{(\sigma, t)} \in S^*$ .

*Proof.* Follows from Proposition 2.1. □

We next implement an algorithm based on the above proposition. The algorithm sets the values  $\theta' = 0$  and  $\theta'' = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . It also sets  $m$  based on the bound derived in [2] on the sum of norms for the tail sequence. Then, finally, the algorithm runs on inputs  $(\sigma, t)$  given by a finite grid of 500 points that is a subset of  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times [0, \infty)$ . The grid is equal to the set  $\{\frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{j-1}{5}) : 1 \leq j \leq 5\} \times \{1 + (j' \times 10^{-1}) : 1 \leq j' \leq 100\}$ . The upper bound on the second coordinate in the finite grid is set based on the tail bound. We also set  $\varepsilon = 10^{-1}$  as above in Proposition 2.4. The algorithm returns an output of 1, if condition 2.19 is satisfied, else it returns 0. Perhaps interestingly, the derived results exhibit a pattern similar to Proposition 2.2. The computations were conducted on MATLAB with parallel computing. The following are the results summarised in the table below.

|     |     |     |     |     |     |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| NA  | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| 1.1 | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 1.2 | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 1.3 | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 1.4 | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 1.5 | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 1.6 | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 1.7 | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 1.8 | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 1.9 | 0   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 2   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 2.1 | 0   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 2.2 | 0   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 2.3 | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 2.4 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 1   |
| 2.5 | 0   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 2.6 | 0   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 2.7 | 0   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 2.8 | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 2.9 | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 3   | 1   | 1   | 0   | 1   | 1   |

|     |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4   | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5   | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7   | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

|      |   |   |   |   |   |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7.1  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7.2  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7.3  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.4  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.5  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.6  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.7  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.8  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.9  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.1  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.2  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.3  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.4  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.5  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.6  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.7  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.8  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.9  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.1  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.2  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.3  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.4  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.5  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.6  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.7  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.8  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.9  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 10.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 10.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 10.8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10.9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

The second algorithm is based on two propositions from [1] and [2] respectively, which we restate below. For points  $s, s' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we define the line joining the points as  $\langle s, s' \rangle := \{\theta s + (1 - \theta)s' : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and define  $\langle s \rangle := \langle s, 0 \rangle$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** ([1]) Suppose that  $\{x_n\}_{n=1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  such that there exist  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with

$$x_m \notin \langle x_n \rangle. \tag{2.20}$$

Further, suppose that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} x_n$  exists. Then, we have that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} x_n \neq 0$  if and only if there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $0 \notin \langle \sum_{n=1}^m x_n, \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} x_n \rangle$ .

Then, an observation that we express as a proposition as follows.

**Proposition 2.6.** ([2]) Suppose  $\sum_{j \in J} z_j$  is a finite sum of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and suppose  $q \neq 0$  is a hyperplane. Define  $J^+ = \{j \in J : q \cdot z_j > 0\}$  and  $J^- = \{j \in J : q \cdot z_j < 0\}$ . Suppose that there exist sequences of pairwise disjoint sets  $\{J_k^+\}_{k=1}^K \subseteq J^+$  and  $\{J_k^-\}_{k=1}^K \subseteq J^-$  such that  $\cup_{k=1}^K J_k^- = J^-$  and  $q \cdot (\sum_{j \in J_k^+} z_j + \sum_{j \in J_k^-} z_j) > 0$  for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ . Then,  $\sum_{j \in J} z_j \neq 0$ .

The algorithm that we next describe can be viewed as an application of either of the above propositions. We state this as a proposition, as for the previous algorithm, which was based on concentration. As one may observe, both algorithms are based on the principle of dividing a sum with non-equivalent parts.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let  $(\sigma, t) \in S$ . Suppose that  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $(\ln(2m+1) - \ln(2m))|t| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $(1 + \frac{|t|}{\sigma}) < (2m+2)^\sigma$ . Then, if

$$\sum_{n=2}^m (-1)^{n+1} e^{-\sigma \ln(n)} \cos(-t \ln(n)) > 0, \tag{2.21}$$

it follows that  $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Follows from either Proposition 2.5 or Proposition 2.6. □

For the implementation of the above algorithm, we have same finite grid as before, with  $m$  being set based on the tail bound. The algorithm returns an output of 1 if 2.21 is satisfied, else it returns 0. The following table summarises the implementation results for the algorithm.

| NA  | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1.1 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 1.2 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 1.3 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 1.4 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 1.5 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 1.6 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 1.7 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 1.8 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 1.9 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 2   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 2.1 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 2.2 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 2.3 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 2.4 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |



|     |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3   | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4   | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5   | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6   | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7   | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7.1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7.2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|      |   |   |   |   |   |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7.8  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.9  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.1  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.2  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.3  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.4  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.5  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.6  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.7  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.8  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.9  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.1  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.2  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.3  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.4  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.5  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.6  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.7  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.8  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9.9  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10.7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11   | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

**Distributions over the unit circle** Let  $f : [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be an increasing function. We say that a probability measure  $\mu$  over the unit circle is  $f$ -equitable, if for each  $0 \leq \theta' \leq \theta'' \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we have that

$$\mu(\{z : \theta' \leq \theta(z) \leq \theta''\}) \leq f(\theta'' - \theta'). \tag{2.22}$$

Suppose, we define two functions  $f_0(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{2\pi}$  and  $f_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{1+\cos(\frac{\theta}{2})}$ . Then, we may show that  $f_0(\theta) \leq f_1(\theta)$  for each  $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ . The next proposition concerns the connection between  $\mu$  and  $f$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *Suppose that  $\mu$  is a probability measure over the unit circle. Then,*

1. *If  $\mathbb{E}_\mu[z] = 0$ , then  $\mu$  is  $f_1$ -equitable.*
2.  *$\mu$  is  $f_0$ -equitable if and only  $\mu$  is the uniform distribution over the unit circle.*

*Proof.* The proof of 1 follows from Proposition 2.1. We prove part 2. One may check that uniform distribution is  $f_0$ -equitable. Now, suppose that  $\mu$  is an arbitrary distribution that is  $f_0$ -equitable, then we show that it is indeed the uniform distribution. This follows from a simple observation that if  $E$  and  $F$  are two disjoint events with  $\mu(E) + \mu(F) = \alpha$  and  $\max\{\mu(E), \mu(F)\} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , then indeed  $\mu(E) = \mu(F) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ . For each  $m$ , consider the

partition  $\Theta_m = \left\{ \left\{ z \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \theta(z) \in \left[ \frac{2k\pi}{2^m}, \frac{2(k+1)\pi}{2^m} \right) \right\} \right\}_{0 \leq k \leq 2^m - 1}$ , which is defined by considering arcs of equal length. Inductively, one may show that for each  $m$ , any two distinct events in the partition have probability equal to  $\frac{1}{2^m}$ , which is the same as in the uniform distribution. Since the smallest sigma algebra generated by the union  $\cup_m \Theta_m$  is the Borel sigma algebra, the result obtains.  $\square$

### 3 Conclusion

We have shown some more results concerning the zeroes and non-zeroes of the Riemann zeta function. We have identified certain patterns from both theoretical and computational perspectives. The paper also highlights new mathematical connections which provide new insights that are related to the problem studied. We hope that such insights will provide further results with regard to the fundamental problem concerning the Riemann Hypothesis, which may be interpreted as that of identifying non-zeroes.

### Competing Interests

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

### References

- [1] Basu P. On the Riemann Hypothesis. Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics. 2022;20(4):51–56.
- [2] Basu P. On Propositions Pertaining to the Riemann Hypothesis. Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics. 2023;21(2):16–21.
- [3] Riemann, B. "On the number of primes less than a given magnitude". Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie 1859:1–10.
- [4] Riemann B. Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grosse. Ges. Math. Werke und Wissenschaftlicher Nachlaß. 1859; 2:145–155.
- [5] Stein, E, Shakarchi, R. Complex analysis. Princeton University Press; 2010.
- [6] Schrijver, A. Theory of linear and integer programming. John Wiley Sons; 1998.
- [7] Conforti, M, Cornuéjols, G, Zambelli, G, Conforti, M, Cornuéjols, G, Zambelli, G. Integer programming models. Springer; 2014.
- [8] Minkowski, H. Diophantine approximations: An introduction to number theory. Mathematical lectures at the Universitat Göttingen; 1907.
- [9] Sprindzhuk, V. "Metric theory of Diophantine approximations". (No Title) 1979.
- [10] Pollington, A, Vaughan, R. "The k-dimensional Duffin and Schaeffer conjecture". Mathematika 1990; 37(2):190–200.
- [11] Schmidt, W. Diophantine approximation. Springer Science Business Media; 1996.
- [12] Queffélec, H, Queffélec, M, Queffélec. Diophantine approximation and Dirichlet series. Springer; 2013.
- [13] Koukoulopoulos, D, Maynard, J. "On the duffin-schaeffer conjecture". Annals of mathematics 2020; 192(1):251–307.
- [14] Mangoldt H. Zur Verteilung der Nullstellen der Riemannschen Funktion.....". Mathematische Annalen. 1905;61:1–19.
- [15] Hardy, G. Sur les zéros de la fonction  $\zeta(s)$  de Riemann. CR Acad. Sci. Paris 1914;158:1012.
- [16] Hardy, G, Littlewood, J. The zeros of Riemann's zeta-function on the critical line. Mathematische Zeitschrift. 1921;10(3):283–317.

- [17] Conrey, J. "The riemann hypothesis". Notices of the AMS 2003; 50(3):341–353.
- [18] Lagarias J. An elementary problem equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis". The American Mathematical Monthly. 2002;109(6):534–543.
- [19] Bump, D, Choi, KK, Kurlberg, P, Vaaler, J. "A local Riemann hypothesis, I". Mathematische Zeitschrift 2000; 233(1):1–18.
- [20] Borwein, Peter, Choi, Stephen, Rooney, Brendan, Weirathmueller, Andrea, A. The Riemann hypothesis: a resource for the aficionado and virtuoso alike. Springer; 2008.
- [21] Platt, D, Trudgian, T. "The Riemann hypothesis is true up to  $3 \cdot 10^{12}$ ". Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society 2021; 53(3):792–797.
- [22] Nicolas, JL. "The sum of divisors function and the Riemann hypothesis". The Ramanujan Journal 2021:1–45.
- [23] Johnston, D. "Improving bounds on prime counting functions by partial verification of the Riemann hypothesis". The Ramanujan Journal 2022; 59(4):1307–1321.
- [24] Gram, JP. "Note sur les zéros de la fonction  $\zeta(s)$  de Riemann". Acta Mathematica 1903.
- [25] Turing, A. "Some calculations of the Riemann zeta-function". Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 1953; 3(1):99–117.
- [26] Ramanujan, S. "New expressions for Riemann's functions  $\zeta(s)$  and  $\eta(t)$ ". Quart. J. Math 1915; 46:253–260.
- [27] Pierpont, J. Functions of a complex variable. Ginn; 1914.
- [28] Narici L, Beckenstein, E. Topological vector spaces. Chapman and Hall/CRC; 2010.
- [29] Weyl, H. Über die gleichverteilung von zahlen mod. eins". Mathematische Annalen. 1916; 77(3):313–352.
- [30] Kuipers, L, Niederreiter, H. Uniform distribution of sequences. Courier Corporation; 2012.

---

© 2023 Basu; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Peer-review history:**

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107532>