



Comparative Study on Snacking Behaviour and Pattern of Working and Non-Working Women of Hisar City, India

Nisha Rani ^{a++*} and Geeta ^{b#}

^a IGNOU, New Delhi, India.

^b Department of Food and Nutrition, GNG College, Yamunanagar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2023/v41i102160

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103919>

Original Research Article

Received: 10/06/2023

Accepted: 14/08/2023

Published: 07/09/2023

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted to assess and compare the snacking behavior of working and non-working women of Hisar City. The aim of the present study was to compare snacking behavior of working and non-working women of Hisar City. For this study, a sample of one hundred and twenty women in age 25-45 years, 60 each working and non-working women were drawn randomly from Hisar city. The sample of working women included 20 each engineer, advocates, and teaching professionals. Non-working women were selected having an income, and age similar to their counterparts. Information on snacking behavior like the preference for snacks over regular meals, time and place of snacking, types of snacks taken, and source of procuring snacks, etc. were recorded in the questionnaire. The data indicated that mere 15 percent of working and 23.3 percent

⁺⁺ MSC Student;

[#] Assistant Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: nishar489@gmail.com;

of non-working women preferred snacks over regular meals. The majority (90.0%) of respondents preferred to take salty snacks followed by sweet (85.0%) and sweet and salty (56.7%). The result revealed that non-working women have more cravings for snacks than working women but they prefer more of homemade snacks to outside snacks.

Keywords: Snacks; working women; non-working women; snacking behavior.

1. INTRODUCTION

Small portions of food or drink typically consumed in between main meals are referred to as snacks. High-energy, low-nutrient snacks are referred to as "empty" calories and should be avoided [1] Regardless of the quantity or kind of food consumed, [2] defined a snack as any food or beverage that is consumed in between regularly scheduled major meals without replacing a meal and that delivers high energy but poor nutrition in comparison to main meals. According to recent research, snack eating has grown across the board for all age groups globally, increasing daily calorie intake [3,4] Snacking events' variety and frequency have been linked to hypercaloric diets [5] and discretionary meal choices have been proven to account for up to a third of our daily dietary energy consumption [6] According to one study, those with strong implicit preferences for snack items and impaired inhibitory control acquired the most weight among a sample of mostly normal-weight women [7].

The main objective of this study is to compare snacking behavior of working and non-working women.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted to compare snacking behavior of working and non-working women of Hisar City. For this study, a sample of one hundred twenty women aged 25 – 45, 60 from each working and non-working woman were drawn randomly from Hisar City. The sample of working women included 20 each engineer, advocates, and teaching professionals. Non-working women were selected having an income, and age similar to their counterparts A questionnaire-cum-interview schedule was developed to collect information on the personal and socio-personal, economic profiles and dietary habits of women.

Information on snacking behavior like the preference for snacks over regular meals, time and place of snacking, types of snacks taken,

and source of procuring snacks, etc. were recorded in the questionnaire.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the working and non-working women were habitual in taking snacks (Table 1). It was found that the majority used to take snacks in the evening followed by mid-morning.

Poor snacking behavior includes consuming snacks in between meals [8].

No non-working woman is taking snacks in the afternoon while a minor section of working (3.3%) is eating snacks in the afternoon. Data collected during this investigation also indicated that snacks were not preferred over regular meals by many respondents from both groups. Mere 15 percent of working and 23.3 percent of non-working women preferred snacks over regular meals.

The majority (90.0%) of respondents preferred to take salty snacks followed by sweet (85.0%) and sweet and salty (56.7%). Further data showed that among the sweet snacks greater chunk of both working (88.0%) and non-working (73.07%) women used to take baked snacks while only 12% of working and 26.92% of non-working women consumed fried sweet snacks. Opposite to it among salty snacks, a higher percentage of both working (82.60%) and non-working (67.75%) used to consume fried snacks. Salty baked snacks were less popular among working (17.40%) but one-third of non-working women liked sweet and salty snacks.

All non-working women reported having home-prepared snacks whereas 80 percent of working women like to take home-prepared food followed by snacks from the canteen (61.7%).

A majority of working (68.3%) and about one-third of non-working (33.3) women used to take snacks at home and outside. Only 20 percent of working women preferred to take snacks exclusively at home whereas only 13.3% of non-working women preferred snacks exclusively

Table 1. Distribution of working and non-working women according to their snacking behaviour

Sr No.	Snacking behavior	Working n=60	Non-working n=60
1	Time of snacking		
	Mid- morning	42(70.0)	53(83.3)
	Afternoon	2(3.3)	-
	Evening	58(96.7)	60(100.0)
2	Preference of snack over regular meals	9(15.0)	14(23.3)
3	Types of snacks taken		
	Sweet n=51 (85.0)	25(49.01)	26(50.99)
	Fried	3(12.0)	7(26.92)
	Baked	22(88.00)	19(73.07)
	Salty n=54 (90.0)	23(42.59)	31(57.40)
	Fried	19(82.60)	21(67.75)
	Baked	4(17.40)	10(32.25)
	Sweet and salty n=34(56.7)	21(61.76)	13(38.23)
4	Sources of procuring snacks		
	Canteen	37(61.7)	-
	Cafeteria	16(26.7)	4(6.6)
	Home prepared	48(80.7)	60(100.0)
	Other	24(40.0)	7(11.6)
5	Places of snacking		
	At home	12(20.0)	38(63.3)
	Outside	21(35.0)	8(13.3)
	Both	41(68.3)	20(33.3)
6	Availability of snacks	58(96.7)	60(100.0)
7	Sufficient variety of snacks at the source	56(93.3)	54(90.0)

Figure in parenthesis indicates percent of subjects

outside. On the other hand, more than half (63.3%) of working women preferred to take snacks exclusively at home but only 35 percent of working women used to take snacks outside i.e. either at their workplace or other places.

All the non-working women and the majority of working (96.7%) women revealed that snacks of their choice were easily available. The majority of both working (93.3%) and non-working (90.0%) women showed availability of a sufficient variety of snacks at the source.

4. CONCLUSION

Snacks were frequently present as a significant dietary component in several dietary patterns connected to risk factor profiles with lower favorable outcomes. In India, snacks are typically fried dishes that are heavy in trans fats, salt, and fat content [9].

From the present study, it can be concluded that snacking is higher in non-working women as

compared to working women. Non-working women have more availability as well as sufficient varieties of snacks at the source. The result revealed that non-working women prefer homemade snacks compared to outside food while working women go for snacks more in the evening than an afternoon.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Larson N, Story M. A review of snacking patterns among children and adolescents: What are the implications of snacking for weight status? *Children Obesity*. 2013; 9(2):104-115.
2. Nuru H, Mamang F. Association between snacking and obesity in children: A review. *International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health*. 2015;2:196–200.

3. Fayet-Moore F, Peters V, McConnell A, Petocz P, Eldridge AL. Weekday snacking prevalence, frequency, and energy contribution have increased while foods consumed during snacking have shifted among Australian children and adolescents: 1995, 2007 and 2011–12 National Nutrition Surveys. *Nutrition Journal*. 2017;16(1):1-14.
4. Kant AK, Graubard BI. 40-year trends in meal and snack eating behaviors of American adults. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*. 2015;115:50–63.
5. Koopman KE, Caan MW, Nederveen AJ, Pels A, Ackermans MT, Fliers E, Serlie MJ. Hypercaloric diets with increased meal frequency, but not meal size, increase intrahepatic triglycerides: a randomized controlled trial. *Hepatology*. 2014;60(2): 545-553.
6. Fayet F, Mortensen A, Baghurst K. Energy distribution patterns in Australia and its relationship to age, gender and body mass index among children and adults. *Nutrition & Dietetics*. 2012;69(2):102-110.
7. Nederkoorn C, Houben K, Hofmann W, Roefs A, Jansen A. Control yourself or just eat what you like? Weight gain over a year is predicted by an interactive effect of response inhibition and implicit preference for snack foods. *Health Psychology*. 2010; 29(4):389.
8. Nickols-Richardson SM, Piehowski KE, Metzgar CJ, Miller DL, Preston AG. Changes in body weight, blood pressure and selected metabolic biomarkers with an energy-restricted diet including twice daily sweet snacks and once daily sugar-free beverage. *Nutrition Research and Practice*. 2014;8(6):695-704.
9. Misra A, Chowbey P, Makkar BM, Vikram NK, Wasir JS, Chadha D, Joshi SR, Sadikot S, Gupta R, Gulati S, Munjal YP, Concensus Group Consensus statement for diagnosis of obesity, abdominal obesity and the metabolic syndrome for Asian Indians and recommendations for physical activity, medical and surgical management. *The Journal of the Association of Physicians of India*. 2009;57:163–170.

© 2023 Rani and Geeta; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103919>