



The Proposed Buys-Ballot Estimates for Multiplicative Model with the Error Variances

K. C. N. Dozie ^{a*} and M. U. Uwaezuoke ^b

^a Department of Statistics Imo State University, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.

^b Department of Mathematics Imo State University, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JERR/2023/v25i8962

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104951>

Original Research Article

Received: 18/06/2023

Accepted: 22/08/2023

Published: 05/09/2023

ABSTRACT

This article presents the condition(s) under which the multiplicative model with the error variances best describes the pattern in an observed time series, while comparing it with those of the additive and mixed models. The method of estimation is based on the periodic, seasonal and overall averages and variances of time series data arranged in a Buys-Ballot table. The method assumes that (1) the underlying distribution of the variable, X_{ij} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$, under study is normal. (2) the trending curve is linear (3) the decomposition method is either additive or multiplicative or mixed. For multiplicative model, the error variance is not known and needs to be estimated with time series data. For additive and mixed models, the error variances are known and assumed to be equal to 1. Result shows that, under the stated assumptions, the seasonal variances of the Buys-Ballot table, for multiplicative model, a function of column (j) through the seasonal component s_j^2 with error variance.

*Corresponding author: Email: kcndozie@yahoo.com;

Keywords: Time series decomposition; trend-cycle component; multiplicative model; error variances; buys-ballot estimates; appropriate model.

1. INTRODUCTION

Description method involves examination of trend, seasonality, cycles, turning points, changes in level, trend and scale and so on that may influence the series. This is also very vital preliminary to modelling, when it has to be decided whether and how to seasonally adjust, to transform, and to deal with outliers and whether to fit a model. In the examination of trend, seasonality and cycles, a time series is often described as having trends, seasonal effects, cyclic pattern and irregular or random component. Theoretically, a time series contains four components, namely, the trend, the seasonal variation, the cyclical and irregular variation. The trend may be loosely defined as the long term change in the mean and refers to the general direction in which the graph of the series appeared to be going over a long interval of time. Trend shows the presence of factors that persist for a considerable duration. These factors include changes in population, fluctuation in price level, improvements in technology and several conditions that are peculiar to individual investments or establishment. Abrupt or sudden changes in trend may be caused by introduction of new element into or elimination of an old factors from forces affecting the series [1].

1.1 Buys-Ballot Procedure for Time Series Decomposition

[2] proposed that, the successive periodic mean (\bar{X}_i) provide a simple description of the underlying trend from the methods of monthly or quarterly means. It was shown that the estimates of the seasonal indices can be obtained from the column means \bar{X}_j . Therefore, while the periodic means give estimate of the trend, the column means gives estimates of seasonal indices. It has been observed that a time series theoretically contains four components, However, if short period time are involved, the trend components is estimated into the cyclical and trend cycle component is obtained and denoted by m_t . Under these conditions, it can be stated that estimates of trend-cycle and seasonal components can be obtained from the row and column means, respectively, of the Buys-Ballot table. These estimates have been designated

“Buys-Ballot” estimates in this study the details of the procedure for estimation of the trend-cycle component (m_t) are presented in section 2 for the multiplicative model.

The Buys - Ballot table helps in the assessment of the trend – cycle and seasonal indices of time series data. The row means (\bar{X}_i) estimate trend, and the differences $(\bar{X}_j - \bar{X}_i)$ or the ratio $(\frac{\bar{X}_j}{\bar{X}_i})$ between the column means (\bar{X}_j) and the overall mean $(\bar{X}_..)$ estimate the seasonal indices. [2] proposed the use of the Buys - Ballot table for inspecting time series data for the presence of trend and seasonal effects. [3] provided a new estimation procedure based on row, column and overall averages of the Buys - Ballot table. This method, called Buys – Ballot estimation procedure uses the periodic mean $(\bar{X}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ and the overall mean $(\bar{X}_..)$ to estimate the trend component. Seasonal means $(\bar{X}_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, s)$ and the overall mean $(\bar{X}_..)$ are used to estimate the seasonal indices.

The method of coefficient of variation of seasonal differences and quotient was proposed by Justo and Rivera [4]. The seasonal differences was calculated by taking the difference between a certain season of a period and the same season from the period before while the seasonal quotient was calculated as the quotient of a certain season of the period and the same season from the period before. Iwueze and Nwogu [5] demonstrated that when the trend cycle component is linear, the seasonal variances of the Buys-Ballot are constant for the additive model, but contain the seasonal indices for the multiplicative model. Therefore, choice between additive and multiplicative models reduces to test for constant variance can be used to identify the additive model. Therefore, they suggested that any test of constant variance can be used to identify the test that admits the additive model. This is an improvement over what is in existence. However, this approach can only identify the additive model when the column variance is constant, but does tell the analyst the alternative model when the variance is not constant.

2. METHODOLOGY

The estimation procedure for multiplicative model with the error terms and variances in this study

are done using Buys-Ballot method often referred to in the literature. This method adopted in this study assumed that the series are arranged in a Buys-Ballot table with m rows and s columns. For details of this method see Wei [6], Nwogu et al. [7], Dozie and Ihekuna [8], Dozie et al. [9], Dozie and Nwanya [10], Dozie [11], Dozie and Ijeomah [12], Dozie and Ibebuogu [13], Dozie and Uwaezuoke [14], Dozie and Ihekuna [15] Dozie and Ibebuogu [16] Akpanta and Iwueze [17], Iwueze and Nwogu [5].

2.1 Estimation Procedure of Row, Column and Overall Means for Multiplicative Model with the Error Terms

This method is developed for short term of period in which the trend and cyclical component are jointly combined with the consideration of error term. For multiplicative model with the error terms, we obtain

$$X_{(i-1)s+j} = M_{(i-1)s+j} \times S_{(i-1)s+j} \times e_{(i-1)s+j} \quad (1)$$

Using Buys-ballot table with m -rows and s -columns;

$$t = (i - 1)s + j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s$$

For convenience, let

$$X_{ij} = X_{(i-1)s+j}, \quad M_{ij} = M_{(i-1)s+j},$$

$$e_{ij} = e_{(i-1)s+j}$$

$$M_{ij} = a + b[(i - 1)s + j]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ij} &= M_{ij} \cdot S_j \cdot e_{ij} \\ &= \{ a + b[(i - 1)s + j] \} S_j \times e_{ij} \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

In deriving an expression for row average with error term, we make use of the assumption

$\sum_{j=1}^s S_{t+j} = s$, Now, the i th row average is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_i &= \sum_{j=1}^s \left[\{ a + b[(i - 1)s + j] \} S_j \times e_{ij} \right] \\ &= s \left[a - b \left(s - \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s j S_j \right) + (bs)i \right] \\ &= \left[a - bs + \frac{b}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s j S_j + bsi \right] \bar{e}_i. \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we obtain an expression for the column mean with error term. With

$\sum_{j=1}^s S_{t+j} = m S_j$, the j th column mean becomes

$$\bar{X}_{.j} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m M_{ij} \times S_j \times \bar{e}_{.j} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \{ a + b[(i - 1)s + j] \} S_j \times e_{ij} \\ &= m \left[a + b \left(\frac{n - s}{2} \right) + \frac{b}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s j S_j \right] \\ &= \left[a \bar{e}_{.j} + \frac{bs}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m i e_{ij} - bs \bar{e}_{.j} + bj \bar{e}_{.j} \right] S_j \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the grand mean is obtained to be

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_{..} &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{X}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ s \left[a - b \left(s - \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s j S_j \right) + (bs)i \right] \right\} \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

$$= n \left[a + \frac{b}{2}(n - s) + \frac{b}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s j S_j \right]$$

Thus, the grand mean is

$$= a + \frac{b}{2}(n-s) + \frac{b}{2} \sum_{j=1}^s jS_j \quad (7)$$

The estimates of row, column and overall averages of the Buys-Ballot table for multiplicative model with the error terms are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Estimates of row, column and overall means with the error terms

Measures	Multiplicative Model ($M_t = a + bt$)
\bar{X}_i	$\left[a - bs + \frac{b}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s jS_j + bsi \right] * \bar{e}_i$
\bar{X}_j	$\left[a \bar{e}_j + \frac{bs}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m i e_{ij} - bs \bar{e}_j + bj \bar{e}_j \right] * S_j$
$\bar{X}_..$	$a + b \left(\frac{n-s}{2} \right) + bC_1$

Source: Nwogu et al. [7] and Dozie, et al. [11]

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s jS_j$$

2.2 Estimation Procedure of Row, Column and Overall Variances for Multiplicative Model with the Error Variances

From equations (1) and (2), we derive the expressions of row, column and overall variances for multiplicative model with the error variances.

$$\hat{\sigma}_i^2 = \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{j=1}^s \left(X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i \right)^2 \quad (8)$$

$$(s-1) \hat{\sigma}_i^2 = \sum_{j=1}^s \left(M_{ij} \times S_j \times e_{ij} - \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s M_{ij} \times S_j \times \bar{e}_i \right)^2$$

$$M_{ij} S_j = a + b[(i-1)s + j] S_j + b j S_j$$

$$\frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s M_{ij} S_j = [a + bs(i-1)s] + \frac{b}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s j S_j$$

$$(s-1) \hat{\sigma}_i^2 = \sum_{j=1}^s \left(M_{ij} S_j e_{ij} - \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s M_{ij} S_j \bar{e}_i \right)^2$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^s \left\{ [a + bs(i-1)] S_j e_{ij} + b j S_j e_{ij} - \left([a + bs(i-1)s] + \frac{b}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s j S_j \bar{e}_i \right) \right\}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -b\left(\frac{s-1}{2}\right) + b_j + S_j + \left(e_{ij} - \bar{e}_i\right) \\
 &= b^2 s\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) + \frac{2b}{s-1} \sum_{j=1}^s jS_j + \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{j=1}^s S_j^2 + \sigma_1^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Therefore, the row mean is

$$= \left[[a + bs(i-1) + bc_1]^2 + \text{var}[[a + bs(i-1)]s_j + bjS_j] \right] \sigma_2^2 \tag{10}$$

For the seasonal variance, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\sigma}_{.j}^2 &= \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{.j} \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \left[a + bs(i-1) + bj \right] S_j \times e_{ij} - \left(a + b\left(\frac{n-s}{2}\right) + bj + S_j + \bar{e}_{.j} \right) \right\}^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \left(a + bs(i-1) - a - b\left(\frac{n-s}{2}\right) \right) S_j + bjS_j + \left(e_{ij} - \bar{e}_{.j} \right) \right\}^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Hence, the seasonal mean is

$$= \left[\frac{b^2(n^2 - s^2)}{12} + \left[a + b\left(\frac{n-s}{2}\right) + b_j \right]^2 \right] S_j^2 \sigma_2^2 \tag{12}$$

Finally, the grand variance is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\sigma}_x^2 &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^s \left(X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{..} \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^s \left\{ \left[a + bs(i-1) + b_j \right] + S_j e_{ij} - a - \frac{bs(m-1)}{2} - \frac{b}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s jS_j \bar{e}_{..} \right\}^2 \\
 (n-1) \hat{\sigma}_x^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^s \left\{ \left[a + bs(i-1) \right] S_j e_{ij} + bjS_j e_{ij} - a - \frac{bs(m-1)}{2} - \frac{b}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s jS_j \bar{e}_{..} \right\}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the grand variance is

$$= \left[\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{b^2(n^2 - s^2)}{12} + \left[a + b\left(\frac{n-s}{2}\right) + c_1 \right]^2 + \left[a^2 + 2ab\left(\frac{n-s}{2}\right) + \frac{b^2(n-s)(2n-s)}{6} \right] \text{var}(S_j) \right] \\ & + b^2 \text{var}(jS_j) + 2b \left[a + b\left(\frac{n-s}{2}\right) \right] \text{cov}(S_j, jS_j) \end{aligned} \right] \sigma_2^2$$

The estimates of row, column and overall averages and variances of the Buys-Ballot table for multiplicative model with the error variances are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Estimates of row, column and overall variances with the error variances

Measures	Multiplicative Model ($M_t = a + bt$)
$\hat{\sigma}_i^2$	$\left\{ \left[(a + bs(i-1)) + bC_1 \right]^2 + \text{var} \left[\begin{array}{l} [a + bs(i-1)]S_j \\ + b j S_j \end{array} \right] \right\} \sigma_2^2$
$\hat{\sigma}_j^2$	$\left\{ \frac{b^2(n^2 - s^2)}{12} + \left[a + b\left(\frac{n-s}{2}\right) + bj \right]^2 \right\} S_j^2 \sigma_2^2$
$\hat{\sigma}_x^2$	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{b^2(n^2 - s^2)}{12} + \left[a + b\left(\frac{n-s}{2}\right) + C_1 \right]^2 \\ + \left[a^2 + 2ab\left(\frac{n-s}{2}\right) + \frac{b^2(n-s)(2n-s)}{6} \right] \text{Var}(S_j) \\ + b^2 \text{Var}(jS_j) + 2b \left[a + b\left(\frac{n-s}{2}\right) \right] \text{Cov}(S_j, jS_j) \end{array} \right\} \sigma_2^2$

Source: Nwogu et al. [7] and Dozie, et al. [11]

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^s jS_j$$

2.3 Seasonal Variances of the Buys-Ballot table with the Error Variances

Iwueze and Nwogu [5], Nwogu, et al. [7] and Dozie, et al. [9] proposed the estimation procedure for the seasonal variances for additive, multiplicative and mixed models of the Buys-Ballot table with the error variances for linear trending curve are stated in equations (13), (14) and (15) respectively.

Additive Model $\hat{\sigma}_j^2 = \frac{b^2 n(n+s)}{12} + \sigma_1^2$ (13)

Multiplicative Model $\hat{\sigma}_j^2 = \left\{ \frac{b^2(n^2 - s^2)}{12} + \left[a + b\left(\frac{n-s}{2}\right) + bj \right]^2 \right\} S_j^2 \sigma_2^2$ (14)

Mixed Model $\hat{\sigma}_j^2 = b^2 \frac{n(n+s)}{12} S_j^2 + \sigma_1^2$ (15)

For equation (13) and (15), the error variances are known and assumed to be equal to 1, while that of equation (14) is not known and needs to be estimated with time series data.

2.4 Cochran's Test for Constant Variance

To test the null hypothesis that the variances are equal, that is

$$H_0 = \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \dots = \sigma_k^2$$

Against the alternative

$$H_1 \neq \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2 \neq \dots \neq \sigma_k^2 \text{ (Atleast one variance is different from others)}$$

Cochran has shown that the statistic

$$C = \frac{\max(S_j^2)}{\sum_{j=1}^k S_j^2} \tag{16}$$

Where, $\max(S_j^2)$ is the maximum variance among all seasonal variances

$$\sum_{j=1}^k S_j^2 \text{ is the sum of the variances}$$

S_j has the range $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$, which are the variances of the j^{th} sub-group.

Using the parameters of the Buys-Ballot table: $S_j^2 = \hat{\sigma}_j^2$, the statistic in (16) is then given as;

$$C = \frac{\max(\hat{\sigma}_j^2)}{\sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\sigma}_j^2} \tag{17}$$

2.5 Chi-Square Test

The seasonal variance of the Buys-Ballot table for the mixed model with error variance

$\sigma_{zj}^2 = \frac{b^2 n(n+s)}{12} S_j^2 + \sigma_1^2$ is reduces to that of test null hypothesis.

$$H_0 : \sigma_j^2 = \sigma_{zj}^2$$

and the appropriate model is mixed

$$H_1 : \sigma_j^2 \neq \sigma_{zj}^2$$

and the appropriate model is not mixed

$\sigma_j^2 (j=1, 2, \dots, s)$ is the true variance of the j^{th} season.

$$\sigma_{zj}^2 = \frac{b^2 n(n+s)}{12} S_j^2 + \sigma_1^2 \tag{18}$$

and

σ_1^2 is the error variance assumed to be equal to 1

Therefore, the statistic is $\chi_c^2 = \frac{(m-1)\sigma_j^2}{\sigma_{zj}^2}$ (19)

follows the chi-square distribution with $m-1$ degree of freedom, m is the number of observations in each column and s is the seasonal lag.

The interval

$\left[\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, (m-1)}^2, \chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, (m-1)}^2 \right]$ contains the statistic (19) with

$100(1-\alpha)\%$ degree of confidence.

2.6 Choice of Appropriate Transformation

For time series data arranged in Buys-Ballot table Akpanta and Iwueze [17] stated the slope of the regression equation of log of group standard deviation on log of group mean as given in equation (20) is what is needed for choice of appropriate transformation. Some of the values of slope β and their implied transformation are stated in Table 3.

$$\log_e \hat{\sigma}_i = a + \beta \log_e \hat{X}_i. \tag{20}$$

The method of Akpanta and Iwueze [17] is employed in choosing the appropriate transformation, the natural logarithm of standard deviation will be used to regress against the natural logarithm of periodic means and the result of the β - value will determine the type of transformation.

Table 3. Bartlett’s Transformation for Some Values of β

S/No	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
β	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{3}{2}$	2	3	-1
Transformation	No transformation	$\sqrt{X_t}$	$\log_e X_t$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{X_t}}$	$\frac{1}{X_t}$	$\frac{1}{X_t^2}$	X_t^2

3. EMPIRICAL EXAMPLE

The time series data presented in the summary table (Table 4) is analysed using the Buys-Ballot method. The series is used to determine the appropriate model for decomposition of the study series. The real life data is based on short series for which the trend cycle component is jointly combined. Time series data was taken from Vandoz Enterprise, Owerri, Nigeria for the period of January 2012 to December 2022.

3.1 Choice of Appropriate Model

The test statistic given (17) is used to determine if the data is additive model. The null hypothesis that, the data is additive model is rejected, if C is greater than the tabulated value $C_{tab} \{k, V, 1 - \alpha\}$. level of significance, or do not reject null hypothesis otherwise

From Appendix A and Table 5

$$m=12, \max \hat{\sigma}_j^2 = 81.890, \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\sigma}_j^2 = 311.671$$

$$C = \frac{81.890}{311.671} = 0.2601$$

Reject H_0 if $C > C_{tab}$

{11,12:0.05}

From Table 6 and Appendix B

$$m=12, \max \hat{\sigma}_j^2 = 0.4520, \sum_{j=1}^k \hat{\sigma}_j^2 = 2.0442$$

$$C = \frac{0.4520}{2.0442} = 0.2211$$

Reject H_0 if $C > C_{tab}$

{11,12 : 0.05}

The test statistic (0.2601) is greater than, when compared with the tabulated value (0.2353), suggesting that the data does not accept additive time series model.

Having stated that the data is not additive model, we have to choose between multiplicative and mixed models. The null hypothesis that the data admits the mixed model is rejected, if the statistic defined in equation (19) lies outside the interval

$$\left[\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, (m-1)}^2, \chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, (m-1)}^2 \right] \text{ which for } \alpha = 0.05 \text{ level of}$$

significance and $m-1=10$ degrees of freedom, equals (3.8, 21.9) or do not reject H_0 otherwise, and from equation (19) the calculated values, χ_{cal}^2 given in Table 7 are obtained. With the critical values (3.8 and 21.9), all the calculated values are outside the range, showing that the model structure is not mixed.

However, there is indication the choice of appropriate model may be affected by violation of the underlying assumptions, therefore, it is required to evaluate data for transformation to meet the constant variance and normality assumptions in the distribution. When the seasonal variances of the transformed data given in Table 7 are tested for constant variance, the computed test statistic from equation (17) is 0.2211 and that of the critical value is 0.2353 at $\alpha = 0.05$ level of significance and $m-1=10$ degrees of freedom. This shows that the variance is constant and the transformed data is additive model.

Table 4. Buys-ballot estimates for means and standard deviations

Year	Jan.	Feb.	March	April	May	June	July	August	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec	\bar{X}_i	σ_i
2012	5	7	8	8	11	8	12	9	10	9	16	28	10.92	6.05
2013	2	5	8	9	9	10	5	12	8	12	11	32	10.25	7.48
2014	4	5	3	9	8	9	6	7	8	8	16	22	8.75	5.31
2015	6	2	8	10	9	6	9	9	11	12	12	33	10.58	7.61
2016	2	7	12	13	20	8	12	5	13	13	16	26	12.25	6.52
2017	3	8	15	12	13	8	10	4	17	10	11	19	10.83	4.80
2018	2	6	17	22	16	11	10	9	16	13	12	25	13.25	6.45
2019	5	8	13	12	22	17	16	12	13	19	18	22	14.75	5.24
2020	3	8	28	14	22	15	9	12	18	13	21	28	15.92	7.77
2021	3	13	19	12	21	16	11	14	13	15	21	22	15.00	5.36
2022	20	17	8	10	12	8	13	9	10	15	32	52	17.17	12.89
\bar{X}_j	5.00	7.82	12.64	11.91	14.82	10.55	10.27	9.27	12.45	12.64	16.91	28.09		
σ_j	5.16	4.07	6.93	3.83	5.56	3.75	3.10	3.10	3.45	3.08	6.19	9.05		

Table 5. Seasonal means (\bar{X}_j) and estimate of the column variance ($\hat{\sigma}_j^2$)

j	\bar{X}_j	$\hat{\sigma}_j^2$
1	5.00	26.60
2	7.82	16.56
3	12.64	48.05
4	11.91	14.69
5	14.82	30.96
6	10.55	14.07
7	10.27	9.62
8	9.27	9.62
9	12.45	11.87
10	12.64	9.46
11	16.91	38.29
12	28.09	81.89

Table 6. Seasonal means (\bar{X}_j) and estimate of the column variance ($\hat{\sigma}_j^2$)

j	\bar{X}_j	$\hat{\sigma}_j^2$
1	1.34	0.45
2	1.93	0.30
3	2.39	0.35
4	2.44	0.08
5	2.63	0.15
6	2.30	0.12
7	2.28	0.11
8	2.17	0.15
9	2.49	0.08
10	2.51	0.06
11	2.78	0.11
12	3.30	0.08

Table 7. Seasonal effects (S_j), estimate of the column variance ($\hat{\sigma}_j^2$) and Calculated Chi-square (χ_{cal}^2)

j	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
S_j	1.69	0.77	0.79	1.16	2.16	0.54	0.32	0.68	0.68	0.97	0.90	1.28
$\hat{\sigma}_j^2$	0.45	0.30	0.35	0.08	0.15	0.12	0.11	0.15	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.08
χ_{cal}^2	1.06	1.08	0.07	1.09	1.08	0.20	1.17	1.18	1.55	1.33	0.39	1.16

From Appendix B and Table 7, $\sigma_1^2 = 1$, $b = 0.1143$, $n = 144$, $m = 12$

Therefore, from (7), $\sigma_{\bar{X}_j}^2 = (0.1143)^2 \times 144 \left(\frac{144+12}{12} \right) S_j^2 + 1$

4. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This article has presented estimates of multiplicative model with the error variances,

while comparing it with those of the additive and mixed models. The method of estimation is based on the periodic, seasonal and overall averages and variances of time series data arranged in a Buys-Ballot table. The method

assumes that (1) the underlying distribution of the variable, X_{ij} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$, under study is normal. (2) the trending curve is linear (3) the decomposition method is either additive or multiplicative or mixed. For multiplicative model, the error variance is not known and needs to be estimated with time series data. For additive and mixed models, the error variances are known and assumed to be equal to 1. Results show that, under the stated assumptions, the seasonal variances of the Buys-Ballot table, for multiplicative model, a function of column (j) through the seasonal component s_j^2 with error variance. For additive model, a product of trending series with the error variance and for the mixed model, a constant multiple of square of the seasonal effect with error variance.

A real life data is applied to determine the appropriate model for decomposition of the study data given in table 4. Result from table 7 indicates that, the variance is constant and the transformed data is additive model. This further confirms that the appropriate model of the original data is multiplicative. There is indication that choosing the appropriate model may be affected by violation of underlying assumptions, hence, it is recommended that a study series should be evaluated for normality assumptions in the distribution, before employing test for choice of appropriate model.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Alder HL, Roessler EB. Introduction to Probability and Statistics, W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco; 1990.
2. Chatfield C. The analysis of time Series: An introduction. Chapman and Hall, CRC Press, Boca Raton; 2004 .
3. Iwueze IS, Nwogu EC. Buys-Ballot estimates for time series decomposition, Global Journal of Mathematics. 2004; 3(2):83-98.
4. Puerto J, Rivera MP. Descriptive analysis of time series applied to housing prices in Spain, Management Mathematics for European Schools 94342-CP-2001- DE – COMENIUS – C21; 2001.
5. Iwueze IS, Nwogu EC. Framework for choice of models and detection of seasonal effect in time series. Far East Journal of Theoretical Statistics. 2014; 48(1):45– 66.
6. Wei WWS. Time series analysis: Univariate and multivariate methods, Addison-Wesley publishing Company Inc, Redwood City; 1989.
7. Nwogu EC, Iwueze IS, Dozie KCN, Mbachu HI. Choice between mixed and multiplicative models in time series decomposition. International Journal of Statistics and Applications. 2019;9(5):153-159.
8. Dozie KCN, Ihekuna SO. Buys-Ballot estimates of quadratic trend component and seasonal indices and effect of incomplete data in time series. International Journal of Science and Healthcare Research. 2020;5(2):341-348.
9. Dozie KCN, Nwogu EC, Nwanya JC. Buys-Ballot technique for analysis of time Series model. International Journal of Scientific Research and Innovative Technology. 2020;7(1):63-78.
10. Dozie KCN, Nwanya JC. Comparison of mixed and multiplicative models when trend-cycle component is liner. Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Report. 2020;12(4):32-42.
11. Dozie KCN. Estimation of seasonal variances in descriptive time series analysis. Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports. 2020;10(3):37-47.
12. Dozie KCN, Ijomah MA. A comparative study on additive and mixed models in descriptive time series. American Journal of Mathematical and Computer Modelling. 2020;5(1):12-17.
13. Dozie KCN, Ibebuogu CC. Road traffic offences in Nigeria: An empirical analysis using buys-ballot approach. Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics. 2021;12(1):68-78.
14. Dozie KCN, Uwaezuoke MU. Procedure for estimation of additive time series model. International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation. 2021;8(2):251-256.
15. Dozie KCN, Ihekuna SO. Additive seasonality in time series using row and overall sample variances of the buys-ballot table. Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics. 2022;18(3):1-9.

16. Dozie KCN, Ibebuogu CC. Decomposition with the mixed model in time series analysis using Buy-Ballot procedure. *Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Report*. 2023;17(2):8-18.
17. Akpanta AC, Iwueze IS. On applying the Bartlett transformation method to time series data. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*. 2009;20(5):227-243.

APPENDIX A

Original Number of Campari Drink at Vandoz Enterprise, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria

Year	Jan.	Feb.	March	April	May	June	July	Augus t	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec	\bar{X}_i	σ_i
2012	5	7	8	8	11	8	12	9	10	9	16	28	10.92	6.05
2013	2	5	8	9	9	10	5	12	8	12	11	32	10.25	7.48
2014	4	5	3	9	8	9	6	7	8	8	16	22	8.75	5.31
2015	6	2	8	10	9	6	9	9	11	12	12	33	10.58	7.61
2016	2	7	12	13	20	8	12	5	13	13	16	26	12.25	6.52
2017	3	8	15	12	13	8	10	4	17	10	11	19	10.83	4.80
2018	2	6	17	22	16	11	10	9	16	13	12	25	13.25	6.45
2019	5	8	13	12	22	17	16	12	13	19	18	22	14.75	5.24
2020	3	8	28	14	22	15	9	12	18	13	21	28	15.92	7.77
2021	3	13	19	12	21	16	11	14	13	15	21	22	15.00	5.36
2022	20	17	8	10	12	8	13	9	10	15	32	52	17.17	12.89
$\bar{X}_{.j}$	5.00	7.82	12.64	11.91	14.82	10.55	10.27	9.27	12.45	12.64	16.91	28.09		
$\sigma_{.j}$	5.16	4.07	6.93	3.83	5.56	3.75	3.10	3.10	3.45	3.08	6.19	9.05		

Source: Vandoz Enterprise, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria

APPENDIX B

Transformed Series of Number of Campari Drink at Vandoz Enterprise, Owerri

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	\bar{y}_i	σ_i
2012	1.6094	1.9459	2.0794	2.0794	2.3979	2.0794	2.4849	2.1972	2.3026	2.1972	2.7726	3.3322	2.290	0.191
2013	0.6932	1.6094	2.0794	2.1972	2.1972	2.3025	1.6094	2.4849	2.0790	2.4849	2.3979	3.4657	2.133	0.433
2014	1.3863	1.6094	1.0986	2.1972	2.0794	2.1972	1.7918	1.9459	2.0794	2.0794	2.7726	3.0910	2.027	0.297
2015	1.7917	0.6932	2.0794	2.3026	2.1972	1.7918	2.1972	2.1972	2.3970	2.4849	2.4819	3.4965	2.176	0.410
2016	0.6932	1.9459	2.4848	2.5650	2.9953	2.0794	2.4849	1.6094	2.5649	2.5650	2.7726	3.2581	2.335	0.466
2017	1.0986	2.0794	2.7080	2.7726	2.5650	2.0794	2.3026	1.3863	2.8332	2.3929	2.3979	2.9444	2.289	0.322
2018	0.6932	1.7918	2.8332	3.0910	2.7726	2.3979	2.3026	2.1972	2.7726	2.5650	2.4849	3.2189	2.427	0.452
2019	1.6094	2.0794	2.5650	2.4849	3.0910	2.8332	2.7726	2.4849	2.5650	2.9444	2.8904	3.0910	2.618	0.186
2020	1.0986	2.0794	3.3322	2.6391	3.0910	2.7081	2.1972	2.4849	2.8904	2.5650	3.0445	3.3322	2.622	0.391
2021	1.0986	2.5650	2.9444	2.4849	3.0445	2.7726	2.3979	2.6391	2.5650	2.7081	3.0445	3.0910	2.613	0.282
2022	2.9957	2.8332	2.0794	2.3026	2.4849	2.0794	2.5650	2.1972	2.3026	2.7081	3.4657	3.9512	2.664	0.331
$\bar{y}_{.j}$	1.3430	1.9300	2.3890	2.4651	2.6290	2.3020	2.2820	2.1660	2.4866	2.5095	2.7753	3.2975		
$\sigma_{.j}$	0.4520	0.3040	0.3590	0.0872	0.1510	0.1150	0.1120	0.1500	0.0790	0.0804	0.1088	0.0758		

Source: Vandoz Enterprise, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria

© 2023 Dozie and Uwaezuoke; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
 The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104951>