



Response of Various Intercrops on Growth and Yield Attributes of Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) under Irrigated Situation

P. Sangameshwari ^{a++*}

^a Department of Agronomy, Palar Agricultural College, Melpatti - 635805, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i183381

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102299>

Original Research Article

Received: 01/05/2023

Accepted: 01/07/2023

Published: 28/07/2023

ABSTRACT

Intercropping in cotton is one of the best approaches to improve the food security and soil fertility in addition to that of generating cash income of the rural poor. At present intercropping is common in conventional agriculture to augment the land use and also symbolic in reducing the weeds infestation. But, introducing superfluous population of intercrops without reducing the base crop population is of preeminence. Therefore field experiments were conducted at farmer's field located at Erode District in Tamil Nadu, India (during 2018-2019) to investigate the compatible, remunerative and best smothering intercrops on the base crop, cotton. The experiment was outlaid in randomized block design with three replications. The treatment encompass of seven treatments viz., Cotton alone (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.), Cotton + Blackgram (*Vigna mungo*), Cotton + Greengram (*Vigna radiata*), Cotton + Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*), Cotton + Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*), Cotton + Onion (*Allium cepa*) and Cotton + Sesame (*Sesamum indicum*). The study clearly showed that among the treatments Cotton + Cowpea showed superior response

⁺⁺ Assistant Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: sangameshwari.priya@gmail.com;

in growth attributes like plant height (harvest stage) (153.23 cm), LAI -Leaf Area Index at 70 DAS (6.18), DMP – Dry Matter Production at harvest (6788.01 kg per ha) and yield attributes like number of Monopodial branches per plant (3.22), number of Sympodial branches per plant (19.62), number of squares per plant (48.83), number of bolls per plant (32.23), boll weight (3.98 g) and seed cotton yield (2455.70 t ha⁻¹) and next in order was Cotton + Blackgram. The result evidently proved that Cotton + Cowpea will be an appropriate intercropping system for cotton and it was having considerable increase in growth and yield of cotton.

Keywords: Sole crop- cotton; intercrop- cotton + cotton + blackgram; cotton + cowpea; cotton + coriander; cotton + greengram; cotton + onion and cotton + sesame – spacing -growth; yield attributes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cotton (*Gossypium sp.*) is the supreme and leading fiber crop in the world. Fiber produced by the crop is the raw material for the textile industry. It is popularly called as the “White gold, King of apparel fibers and Queen of fiber” [1]. “As on 2018, the area covered under cotton during current season was 120.64 lakh hectares, which was 124.44 lakh hectares during corresponding period of last year. Cotton production during 2018-19 was estimated at 358.70 lakh bales. In 2017-18 area covered under cotton cultivation was 1.85 lakh hectare and production are 5.50 lakh bales. In 2018-19 area under cotton cultivation was 126.58 lakh hectares and production of 330 lakh bales and 2019-20 area under cotton cultivation was 125.84 lakh hectares and production of 360 lakh bales” [2].

“Cotton being widely spaced crop it contribute ample scope for adoption under intercropping concurrently so that they coexist for a significant part of their growing cycle and that they interact among themselves and with agro-ecosystem” [3]. “Intercropping is one of the profoundly encouraging methodologies for improving crop yields and profitability from unit area” [4]. “The advantages of intercropping are improving the efficiency of resource utilization. Intercropping can also provide many ecosystem services, such as reducing need for chemical inputs to control insect pests, weeds, diseases whilst diminishing greenhouse gas emission that are linked to N₂ fixation” [5]. Panda et al. [6] stated that “yield advantage articulates due to preferable use of growth resources such as light, water, and nutrients by the intercrop over time and space. Such supremacy are also reflected in economics of cotton cultivation. Moreover, encompassing of legumes in cotton-based intercropping system can enhance soil fertility”. “Intercropping is an pertinent practice for managing the weeds since sufficient ground area is covered by crops hence

dwindle weed development. Compared to the pure stand of cotton, under intercropping system, weed population and weed biomass are minimized. Weeds including grasses, sedges and broad-leaved weeds were dwindled under paired row cotton and blackgram. Intercropping is one of the absolutely encouraging methodologies for improving crop yields and profitability from unit area” (Nyawase et al. 2020). Ravindra Kumar et al. [7] concluded that “treatments of intercrops viz. greengram (*Vigna radiata*), blackgram (*Vigna mungo*), clusterbean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*) and cowpea were found equally effective in higher seed cotton yield. This might be attributed to the uniform duration of these intercrops. Cotton + Cowpea (76302 Rs ha⁻¹) was significantly superior than the rest of treatments”. Gross monetary returns are enhanced due to taking of intercrop with cotton. Increased productivity of cotton with additional yield of intercrops helped in increasing gross monetary returns over treatment of no intercrop with cotton. Taking into account all the views in mind an experiment was conducted to find out the response of different intercrops on growth and yield attributes of cotton.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiments proposed were accomplished at farmer's field, Bommanaickenpalayam village, Gobichettipalayam Taluk, Erode District in the time of 2018 and 2019. The cotton variety Surabhi was chosen for this study, which was raised on 20 August 2018 and 9 September 2018 and harvested on 7 February 2019 and 28 February 2019. The experimental site is geographically situated at 10°74' N latitude and 77° 15' E longitude with an altitude of about + 213 m above mean sea level (MSL). 36° and 27°C are the mean maximum and minimum temperature respectively. 5 to 63 per cent is the relative humidity range. “The experimental plots had assured irrigation facility coupled with

uniform topography, good drainage and soil suitable for cotton cultivation. The soil of the experimental farm is classified as udic chrom (clay). The soil is low in available Nitrogen, medium in available Phosphorous and high in available Potassium. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications". The treatment comprised of seven treatments Cotton alone, Cotton + Blackgram, Cotton + Greengram, Cotton + Cowpea, Cotton + Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*), Cotton + Onion (*Allium cepa*) and Cotton + Sesame. Spacing adopted for cotton is 120 cm x 60 cm. "As per intercrops one row of intercrop green gram and blackgram with a plant to plant spacing of 30 cm x 10 cm were sown in between the cotton rows. One row of intercrop of sesame with a plant to plant spacing of 10 cm x 25 cm were sown in between the cotton rows the seed rate adopted is 5 kg ha⁻¹. One row of intercrop of cowpea with a plant to plant spacing of 10 cm x 15 cm were sown in between the cotton rows the seed rate adopted is 5 kg ha⁻¹. One row of intercrop of coriander with a plant to plant spacing of 20 cm x 15 cm were sown in between the cotton rows the seed rate adopted is 20 kg ha⁻¹. One row of intercrop of onion with a plant to plant spacing of 20 cm x 12 cm were sown in between the cotton rows the seed rate adopted is 8 kg ha⁻¹. Five plants in each treatment in the net plot area were selected at random and tagged for biometric observations. The plant height was expressed in cm and it is measured from the basal point nearer to cotyledenary node to the opened leaf of the main shoot. While taking observations, five plants from sampling rows were pulled off in each treatment plot for recording dry matter production. Leaf Area Index- The length and breadth of the third leaf from the top of the plant were measured and multiplied with number of leaves and the correction factor to arrive total leaf area plant⁻¹ at flowering stage". Then the leaf area index was calculated using the following formula:

$$LAI = \frac{K(L \times W) (\text{Number of leaves plant}^{-1})}{\text{Area occupied by the plant}}$$

Where,

L = Leaf length (cm)

W = Leaf width (cm)

K = Correction factor (0.75)

"The number of monopodial branches arising from auxillary buds were counted at maturity.

The reproductive sympodial branches arising from extra-axillary buds were counted at maturity. Total number of fruiting points were recorded at final harvest. Total number of bolls picked at each picking till the completion of harvest were summed up. The weight of matured bolls picked from the tagged plants were recorded and expressed in g. The seed cotton obtained from the net plot area at each picking was recorded, pooled and expressed in t ha⁻¹. The intercrops were incorporated within the interspaces after picking of pods of intercrops. The observations recorded during the experiments were analyzed statistically using the procedure outlined" by Gomez and Gomez [8]. Wherever the results were found significant, the critical differences were worked out at 0.05% probability level.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Attributes

The study revealed that the highest growth attributes (plant height, DMP, LAI) of cotton were influenced significantly in Cotton + Cowpea intercropping system (Table 1). Higher plant height at harvest (153.23 cm), LAI (6.18) at 70 DAS, DMP (6788.01 kg per ha) were recorded in Cotton + Cowpea intercropping system than other cropping system. It was followed by cotton + blackgram which is on par with cotton + greengram. The least growth attributes of plant height was recorded under cotton + sesame. This might be due to the intercropping of cotton with cowpea might be associated with less competitive effect for space, moisture, nutrient and light (due to Annidation process) further accelerated the phototropism and thereby increased plant height of cotton. Similarly, observations of increased plant height in cotton due to different intercrops were reported by Wankhade et al., [9], Deoche [10], Kalyankar (2001), Hallikeri et al., [11], Shrivastava et al., [12], Satish et al [13], Shankarnarayan et al., [14] and Ravindra kumat et al., [7]. Whereas lower plant height of cotton in the treatment plots of intercrop *i.e.* ,Cotton + Sunflower were due to competition of these intercrops for growth factors along with the crops of cotton. These results are in conformity with the work of Wankhade et al., [9], Deoche [10], Kalyankar (2001), Hallikeri et al., [11], Srivastava et al., (2010), Satish et al [1], Shankarnarayan et al., [14] and Ravindra kumat et al., [7]. "The higher LAI, it might be due to increased light transmission ratio could have helped towards the higher LAI. Higher DMP

Table 1. Response of various intercrops on growth and yield attributes of cotton under irrigated condition

Treatments	Growth attributes					Yield attributes			Yield
	Plant height at harvest (cm)	DMP at harvest (kg ha ⁻¹)	LAI at 70 DAS	Monopodial branches per plant	Sympodial branches per plant	No. of squares per plant	No. of bolls per plant	Boll weight (g)	Seed cotton yield (t ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	123.70	6788	5.39	2.10	14.66	41.50	24.34	3.18	2214.34
T ₂	142.83	6465	5.85	3.02	18.26	45.18	30.37	3.79	2336.60
T ₃	141.43	6463	5.83	3.00	18.24	45.16	30.21	3.78	2334.12
T ₄	153.23	6788	6.18	3.22	19.62	48.83	32.23	3.98	2455.70
T ₅	113.27	5818	5.05	1.90	13.28	37.85	22.47	2.98	2095.36
T ₆	112.75	5816	5.03	1.89	12.60	37.83	22.45	2.97	2093.12
T ₇	102.32	5493	4.68	1.70	11.20	34.17	20.56	2.77	1974.10
S.Ed	3.40	105.31	0.10	0.06	0.44	1.19	0.61	0.06	38.91
CD (P=0.05)	10.37	321.21	0.31	0.18	1.35	3.64	1.85	0.18	118.68

might be due to wider row spacing of cotton and different intercrops, none of the short duration pulse crops competed with the main crop of cotton during the growth and development. Thus, cropping system through intercrop was successful as a component in the system have different nutrient and moisture requirement, varied feeding zones in the soil profile, differential growth duration for enabling the utilization of natural resources optimally" [14,15]. Crop growth rate (CGR) was less at 30-60 DAS, attained the maximum at 60-90 DAS and declined thereafter. This might be due to higher LAI and DMP (Anbarasi and Rajendran 2017).

3.2 Yield Characters of Cotton

The yield components of cotton viz., number of monopodial branches per plant (3.22), number of sympodial branches per plant (19.62), number of squares per plant (48.83), number of bolls per plant (32.23), boll weight (3.98 g) and seed cotton yield (2455.70 t ha⁻¹) were higher under cotton + cowpea intercropping system.

Thus, intercropping with cotton was successful as a component because of cotton has different nutrient and moisture requirements, varied feeding zones in the soil profile, differential growth duration for enabling the utilization of natural resources optimally. These results are in conformity with the findings of Satish et al., (2012), Khargkharate et al., [16] and Ravindra kumar et al., [7]. Legume intercropping increased the yield of cotton by increasing the NO₃ and NH₄ concentrations and populations of beneficial active bacteria in the cotton rhizosphere. These may be the reasons for the increased yield of cotton in intercropped treatments. The above findings are in line with [17] in agreement where cotton yield increased when intercropped with legume. Legume intercropping increased the yield of cotton by increasing the NO₃ and NH₄ concentration and population of beneficial active bacteria in the cotton rhizosphere. These may be the reason for the increased yield of cotton in intercropped treatments [18].

4. CONCLUSION

It could be concluded cotton intercropped with cowpea resulted in higher growth and yield attributes over sole cotton cropping system. This was due to the wider spacing of the cotton and

better resource use efficiency in intercropping system.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Satish P, Raja V, Mohammad S, Sailaja V. Effect of intercropping on growth and seed cotton yield of Bt. Cotton with different planting patterns. *J Res ANGRAU*. 2012;40 (1):21-5.
2. Sangameshwari P, Veeral DK, Krishnamoorthy R, Kanchana D. Improving the productivity of cotton based intercropping system by Integrated Nutrient Management System (INMS) under irrigated condition. *IPJPSAR-22-12516*; 2022.
3. Maitra S, Gitari HI. Scope for adoption of intercropping system in organic agriculture. *Indian J Nat Sci*. 2020;11(63):28624-31.
4. Nyawade S, Gitari HI, Karanja NN, Gachene CKK, Schulte-Geldermann E, Sharma K et al. Enhancing climate resilience of rain-fed potato through legume intercropping and silicon application. *Front Sustain Food Syst*. 2020;4:566345.
5. Martin-Guay MO, Paquette A, Dupras J, Rivest D. The new Green Revolution: Sustainable intensification of agriculture by intercropping. *Sci Total Environ*. 2018; 615:767-72.
6. Kumar Panda S, Panda P, Pramanick B, Shankar T. Advantages of cotton based intercropping system: a review. *Int J Bioresour Sci*. 2020;7(2):51-7.
7. Kumar RK, Anil Nath RKN, Nagar RK, Nath A. Effect of different intercrops on growth and yield attributes of American cotton under dryland condition. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*. 2017;6(4):754-61.
8. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedure for Agricultural Research. An International Rice Research Institute book. New York: A Willey Inter Science Publication; 1984.
9. Wankhade ST, Turkhede AB, Solanke VM, Malvi SD, Katkar RN. Effect of intercropping and yield of cotton. *Cotton Res*. 2000;19(3):409-13.
10. Deoche SA. Studies on intercropping in extra early hirsutum cotton genotype AKH-

081. M.Sc. (Agric.) [Thesis] submitted to Dr. PDKV Akola; 2001.
11. Hallikeri SS, Halemani HL, Nandagavi RA. Income maximization through trap crop intercropping in rainfed cotton. *J Maharashtra Agric University*. 2005;30(1): 21-3.
 12. Shrivastava GK, Lakpale R, Rathiya PS, Bargali SS. Effect of nutrient with FYM on biomass production and economics under hybrid cotton-soybean intercropping system. *J Plant Develop Sci*. 2010;2(1):9-18.
 13. Raut SA, Meshram JH, Lal EP. Effect of mepiquat chloride on cotton var Suraj shoot and root growth behavior. *Int J Chem Stud*. 2019;7(3):946-50.
 14. Sankaranarayanan K, Prahara CS, Nalayini P, Bandyopadhyay KK, Gopalakrishnan N. Legume as companion crop for cotton. *J Cotton Res Dev*. 2010;24(1):115-26.
 15. Panda KS, Maitra S, Panda P, Shankar T, Pal A, Sairam M et al. Productivity and competitive ability of rabi maize and legume intercropping system. *Crop Res*. 2021;56(3 and 4):98-104.
 16. Khargkharate VK, Kadam GL, Pandagale AD, Awasarmal VB, Rathod SS. Studies on kharif legume intercropping with Bt. cotton under rainfed conditions. *J Cotton Res Dev*. 2014;28(2):243-6.
 17. Jayakumar M, Ponnuswamy K, Amanullah MM. Effect of sources of nitrogen and intercropping on weed control, growth and yield of cotton. *Res J Agric Biol Sci*. 2008;4(2):154-8.
 18. Murganandan CK. M.Sc. (ag.) [Thesis] Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ. Coimbatore, India; 1984.

© 2023 Sangameshwari; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102299>