

Microbiology Research Journal International

Volume 32, Issue 11-12, Page 71-77, 2022; Article no.MRJI.96909 ISSN: 2456-7043 (Past name: British Microbiology Research Journal, Past ISSN: 2231-0886, NLM ID: 101608140)

# Proximate Composition of Banana and Pineapple Wine Fermented by Meyerozyma guilliermondii and Pichia guilliermondii

## A. Alabere <sup>a\*</sup> and T. C. Adebayo-Olajide <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Federal University Otuoke, Bayelsa State, Nigeria. <sup>b</sup> Department of Biological Science & Biotechnology, College of Pure and Applied Sciences, Caleb University, Lagos State, Nigeria.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author AA designed the study, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author TCAO performed the statistical analysis and managed the literature searches. Author AA managed the analyses of the study. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/MRJI/2022/v32i11-121357

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96909

> Received: 25/10/2022 Accepted: 29/12/2022 Published: 31/12/2022

**Original Research Article** 

## ABSTRACT

Wine is an alcoholic beverage produced from juices of a variety of fruits by the fermentative action of microorganisms. There is a quest for alternative sources of must for wine fermentation, however, the proximate composition should be known to ascertain how nutritious it is. The study was thus aimed at determining the proximate composition of the wines fermented by *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* and *Pichia guilliermondii*. Two isolates identified as *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* strain 1621 and *Pichia guilliermondii* strain PAX-PAT 18S were used for the fermentation of the substrates obtained from a mixture of pineapple and banana pulp. The fermentation process was for 28 days, followed by a series of racking, clarification, and aging process which was for 2 months. The

Microbiol. Res. J. Int., vol. 32, no. 11-12, pp. 71-77, 2022

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: alabereaa@fuotuoke.edu.ng;

fermentation process comprised two setups: one was fermented by *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* strain 1621 and the other by *Pichia guilliermondii* strain PAX-PAT 18S. The process was monitored and the proximate analysis of the wines was ascertained. The wine produced by *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* strain 1621 had a moisture content of 82.56 %, ash content of 1.41 %, fat content of 0.08 %, protein content of 1.43%, and carbohydrate content of 9.77%. The wine produced by *Pichia guilliermondii* strain PAX-PAT 18S had a moisture content of 79.51%, ash content of 1.19%, fat content of 0.15%, protein content of 0.49%, and carbohydrate content of 10.49%. Although this study is not exhaustive, it shows that wines with good nutritional composition can be successfully produced using *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* strain 1621 and *Pichia guilliermondii* strain PAX-PAT 18S.

Keywords: Wine production; fermentation; banana and pineapple substrate; Meyerozyma guilliermondii; Pichia guilliermondii; proximate composition.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

"Wine is an alcoholic drink made from fermented fruit juice, and any fruit with a good proportion of sugar may be used for wine production. The wines are named after the fruit involved" [1]. "Banana (Musa acuminata) is a staple food that is perishable, non-seasonal, and readily available in Nigeria. Ripe bananas are consumed raw as a dessert fruit and it has a sugar content of 20 % while an unripe banana has a sugar content of only 2 %. It contains eleven (11) vitamins which include Vitamin B1 (thiamine), B2 (riboflavin), B6 (pyridoxine), B9 (folic acid), Vitamin A, and Vitamin C. It is also rich in phosphorus, calcium, and fiber materials, although its fat and protein contents are low" [2]. "Following the high nutritional content of banana, it is consumed in large quantity in a variety of ways in Africa. It can be cooked (deep fried, baked, dehydrated), processed into flour, or fermented for the production of beverages such as banana juice, beer, vinegar, and wine. Bananas can also be eaten raw" [3,4]. "Banana has a short shelf-life because of the temperature and humidity conditions in tropical countries like Nigeria; fermenting banana juice into wine is considered to be a good means of utilizing excess banana since banana wine provides a rich source of vitamins and ensures harnessing the fruits into a useful by-product" [5].

"Pineapple (*Ananas comosus*) is a tropical fruit that has excellent juiciness, a vibrant tropical flavour, and immense health benefits. It contains a considerable amount of calcium, potassium, vitamin C, carbohydrates, crude fiber, water, and different minerals that are ideal for the digestive system. The fresh ones (pineapples) are rich in bromelain which is anti-inflammatory to reduce swelling in inflammatory conditions (such as arthritis, sore throat, and sinusitis). It can be used to produce some food items like pickles, jelly, and jam" [6]. It contains minimal fat and sodium [7]. "The edible part of pineapple contains 81.2-86.2 % moisture and 13-19 % total solids (fructose, sucrose, and glucose are the main components). The total solids consist of about 85 % carbohydrates and 2-3 % fibre. The pineapple pulp has very low ash content, nitrogenous compounds, and 0. 1% fat, of which 25-30 % of the nitrogenous compounds are proteins. It contains ascorbic acid and is a good source of vitamin C which helps fight bacterial and viral infections, and is an effective antioxidant that helps the body to absorb iron. It also contains copper which assists in the absorption of iron and regulates heart rate and blood pressure" [8]. Alternative substrates for wine production are needed to reduce the pressure on the regular ones used such as grapes.

In the past, there was much dependence on Saccharomyces cerevisiae for fermentation but recent studies have shown that non-Meyerozyma Saccharomyces yeasts like guilliermondii and Pichia guilliermondii have suitable alcohol content and also improves the organoleptic qualities of wine [9,10]. This study was thus aimed at determining the proximate composition of wine produced by the fermentative action of Meyerozyma guilliermondii strain 1621 and Pichia guilliermondii on pineapple and banana must in comparison with commercial wine.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1 Sample Collection

Ripe Queen pineapple (*Ananas comosus*) and Cavendish banana (*Musa acuminata*) fruits were

purchased from Mile 3 market, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria, and used to prepare the must using the method described by Ogodo et al. [11]. The wine must was prepared in the ratio of 3:1 for pineapple and banana, respectively. The must was prepared for two different setups: one being fermented by *Meyerozyma guillermondii* strain 1621 and the other by *Pichia guilliermondii* strain PAX-PAT 18S, both isolated from palm wine. The proximate parameters of the wine must was analyzed. The starter culture was prepared by developing the inocula as described by Ogodo et al. [11].

### 2.2 Fermentation of the Wine

The fermentation of the must to wine was carried out as described by Ogodo et al. (2015) with modifications. The inoculum and yeast nutrients were added to the two (2) fermentation tanks and the fermentation process lasted for 28 days. At the end of the fermentation, the wines were racked with minimum exposure to air and clarified. The filtrate was allowed to age for two (2) months after which the wines were bottled and the proximate analysis of the wines was carried out.

## 2.3 Determination of Moisture Content (%)

The method described by Moronkola et al. was used to ascertain the moisture content of the substrates [12]. Five grams (5 g) of the sample was weighed into a Petri dish and placed in an air draught oven at a temperature of 100<sup>°</sup>C for 1 hour. The Petri dish was weighed after cooling. The same process was repeated thrice until a constant weight was obtained.

% Moisture =  $\frac{= loss in weight due to dryness}{Weight of the sample used} \times 100$ 

 $=\frac{W2 - W3}{W2 - W1} \times 100\%$ 

#### Where

W1 = Weight of empty crucibles
W2 = Weight of crucible + Sample before drying
W3 = Weight of crucible + Sample after attaining constant weight on drying.

#### 2.4 Determination of Fat Content (%)

Two (2) g of sample was introduced into a filter paper and placed in a Soxhlet extractor which was positioned in a dry pre-weighed distillation flask. The solvent (acetone) was introduced into the flask through the condenser end which was connected to the extractor. The setup was held in place with a retort stand clamp. The temperature of the condenser was regulated using a coldwater jet. The hot solvent was refluxed continuously to extract the entire fat in the solvent chamber. To concentrate the fat the solvent was evaporated and the extractor was finally disconnected. The flask was dried in an air oven to a constant weight and the percentage fat weight was obtained after re-weighing [12].

% fat = <u>Weight of flask and extract</u> - Weight of dried sample Weight of the sample extracted

× 100 %

#### 2.5 Determination of Ash Content (%)

The porcelain crucible with the lid was ignited in a hot Bunsen burner flame and transferred into a desiccator to cool and the crucible was weighed as W1. Five (5) g of the sample was accurately weighed into a crucible as W2. This was gently placed in the muffle furnace set at  $600^{\circ}$ C for 4 hours. The crucible was placed in a desiccator and allowed to cool. The sample that had become ash was put in the crucible and weighed after cooling as W3 without the lid; this process was repeated thrice [12].

% Ash content =  $\frac{W3 - W1}{W2 - W1} \times 100\%$ 

#### 2.6 Determination of Crude Protein (%)

Crude protein was determined using the Micro Kjeldhal method [13]. One gram (1 g) of a dry milled sample (w) and a catalyst mixture containing HgSO<sub>4</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in the ratio of 1:9 was digested using concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The digested sample was diluted with water to a volume of 250 ml, and a 10 ml aliquot of the diluted sample was mixed with 10 ml NaOH solution (40 %) to an excess alkaline reaction. The mixture was diluted with steam in the presence of 50 mg zinc using a Micro Kjeldahl distillation apparatus. Ammonia liberated during the process was collected into a 2 % boric acid solution containing a few drops of methylene blue. The distillate thus obtained was titrated against 0.01 N  $H_2SO_4$ . A blank was also run under the same conditions. From the actual volume of 0.01 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> used, the amount of % nitrogen was calculated by equating 1 ml of 0.01

 $(NH_3)_2SO_4$  to 0.00014g of nitrogen. The percentage of crude protein was obtained by multiplying the % nitrogen by 6.25.

Nitrogen (%) =  $\frac{X \times 0.00014 \times 250 \times 100}{10 \times W}$ × 100%

Crude protein (%) = Nitrogen % × 6.25 X = ml of 0.01 N  $H_2SO_4$  used 1ml of 0.01 N  $H_2SO_4 = 0.00014g$  of  $NH_3$  nitrogen W = weight of the sample in grams 250 = dilution factor 6.25 = protein conversion factor

## 2.7 Determination of Total Carbohydrate Content (%)

Total carbohydrate was determined using the Clegg Anthrone method [13]. One ml of the sample was transferred into a 25 ml flask. Precisely, 62 % of perchloric acid was added and shaken for 20 minutes for complete homogenization. The flask was filled with 25ml of distilled water and the solution formed was allowed to sediment and decanted. A pipette was used to transfer 1 ml of the filtrate into a 10 ml volumetric flask. One (1) ml of the solution was transferred into a test tube containing 5 ml of Anthrone reagent and mixed thoroughly. The mixture was read at 630 nm wavelength and distilled water was used as blank. A standard phase of 0.1 mg/ mol was prepared and treated with Anthrone and the absorbance was read.

The total carbohydrate was calculated as:

$$\label{eq:carbohydrate} \begin{split} & \% \ Carbohydrate \ (glucose) \\ & = \frac{25 \times absorbance \ of \ sample}{Absorbance \ of \ standard \ glucose \ x \ volume \ of \ sample} \end{split}$$

## 3. RESULTS

Table 1 presents the results of the proximate "must" composition of the wine before fermentation and the wines produced. The wine produced by Meyerozyma guilliermondii strain 1621 had a moisture content of 82.56 %, ash content of 1.41 %, fat content of 0.08 %, protein content of 1.43 %, and carbohydrate content of 9.77 %. The wine produced by Pichia guilliermondii strain PAX-PAT 18S had a moisture content of 79.51 %, ash content of 1.19 %, fat content of 0.15 %, protein content of 0.49 %, and carbohydrate content of 10.49 %. Statistically, there was no significant difference in the protein content between the wine "must", the laboratory-produced wines, and the commercial wine fermented by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ( $P \le 0.05$ ). There was no significant difference in protein content between the wine "must" and the laboratory-produced wines but there was a significant difference between the wine "must', the laboratory-produced wines, and the commercial wine fermented by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.

For moisture content, there was no significant difference between the wine "must" before fermentation, the wine fermented by Meyerozyma guilliermondii, and the commercial wine fermented by Saccharomyces cerevisiae but there was a significant difference between the wine "must" before fermentation and the wine fermented by Meyerozyma guilliermondii, the commercial wine fermented by Saccharomyces cerevisiae and the wine fermented by Pichia quilliermondii (P≤0.05). For ash content, there was no significant difference between the wine "must" before fermentation and the commercial wine fermented by Saccharomvces fruit cerevisiae but there was a significant between the wine "must" before fermentation, the wine fermented by Meyerozyma guilliermondii, the wine fermented by Pichia guilliermondii and the commercial fruit wine fermented bv Saccharomyces cerevisiae (P≤0.05). There was a significant between the carbohydrate contents of the wine "must" before fermentation, and the laboratory produced wines but there was no significant difference between the wine "must" and the commercial fruit wine fermented by Saccharomyces cerevisiae (P≤0.05).

## 4. DISCUSSION

Fermentation for the production of beverages like wine depends on the ability and performance of the yeast to convert the sugar content of the substrates to alcohol and esters. Blends of pineapple and banana were the substrates used for wine production using M. guilliermondii and P. guilliermondii isolates obtained from palm wine as the fermenting organisms. The mean value of the moisture content of the wine "must", the wine fermented by Meyerozyma guilliermondii and Pichia guilliermondii recorded was 84.4, 82.56, and 69.51 %, respectively. This accounts for their highly perishable nature and short shelf life under normal storage conditions [14]. "This high moisture content makes the beverage suitable as a refreshing and thirst-quenching product which qualifies it as a good beverage" [15]. This high moisture content was similar to the results

Wines	Moisture (%)	Ash (%)	Fat (%)	Protein (%)	Carbohydrate (%)
Composition of must before fermentation	84.44±0.37 <sup>b</sup>	3.52±0.02 <sup>°</sup>	0.09±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.45±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	13.47±0.16 <sup>b</sup>
Wine fermented by <i>M. guilliermondii</i>	82.56±1.44 <sup>b</sup>	1.41±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.08±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.43±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	9.77±0.71 <sup>a</sup>
Wine fermented by P. guilliermondii	79.51±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	1.19±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.15±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.49±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	10.49±0.33 <sup>a</sup>
Commercial fruit wine	82.43±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.27±0.00 <sup>°</sup>	0.54±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.53±0.00 <sup>ª</sup>	14.23±0.00 <sup>b</sup>

## Table 1. Mean proximate composition of the must before fermentation and the wines produced

Means with the same alphabets across the group are not significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ )

obtained by Chilaka et al. in their study which recorded a moisture content of 72-84%. Aminu et al. (2018), also recorded a moisture content of 70.94% in their study [16].

The ash content of the banana and pineapple fruits was minimal and indicates the presence of mineral components in the fruits. The mean value of the ash content of the wine "must", the wine fermented by *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* and *Pichia guilliermondii* recorded was 3.52, 1.41, and 1.19 %, respectively. This was in contrast with the study by Chilaka *et al.* who reported an ash content of 3.83 % in pineapple fruit but in agreement with the results obtained by Aminu *et al.* who recorded an ash content of 0.34 % [16,17].

There was a minimal amount of fat in the banana and pineapple fruits and this indicates that the wine could protect against excess body fat (cholesterol) and it demonstrates the desirable nutritive quality of the fruit wine produced. The fat content of the wine "must", the wine fermented by *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* and *Pichia guilliermondii* observed was 0.09, 0.08, and 0.15 %, respectively. This corresponded with the findings of Aminu *et al.* (2019) who reported the fat content as 0.04 % and Chilaka et al. that recorded a fat content of 0.24 % in watermelon and 0.17 % in pineapple fruits [16].

The protein content was low and this could be an indication that there will not be an accumulation of protein due to the consumption of the fruits as reported by Okegbile and Taiwo [18]. The protein content of the wine "must", the wine fermented by *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* and *Pichia guilliermondii* observed was 1.45, 1.43, and 0.49 %, respectively. These were in contrast to the findings of Chilaka *et al.* who recorded a protein content of 2.57% in passion fruits [16].

Sucrose (sugar) was added to the must to supplement the sugar content in the fruits. The fruits contained a reasonable amount of carbohydrates which gives an account of their high caloric value. The carbohydrate content for the wine "must", the wine fermented by Meyerozyma guilliermondii and Pichia guilliermondii was observed to be 13.47, 9.77 and 10.49 %, respectively. This agreed with the findings of Chilaka et al. who reported a carbohydrate content of 10.87 % for pineapple fruit but in contrast with the carbohydrate content of 17.55 % for passion fruit [16]. The result was also in contrast with the findings of Aminu et al.

who recorded a carbohydrate content of 27.81 % [17].

## **5. CONCLUSION**

This study was carried out to determine the proximate composition of the banana and pineapple musts and the wines fermented by Meyerozyma guilliermondii strain 1621 and Pichia guilliermondii strain PAX-PAT 18S. It shows that banana and pineapple are suitable substrates for wine production because the wine produced by Meyerozyma guilliermondii strain 1621 had a moisture content of 82.56 %, ash content of 1.41 %, fat content of 0.08 %, a protein content of 1.43 %, and carbohydrate content of 82.56 % while the wine produced by Pichia guilliermondii strain PAX-PAT 18S had a moisture content of 79.51 %, ash content of 1.19 %, fat content of 0.15 %, protein content of 0.49 %, and carbohydrate content of 10.49 %. This study shows that Meyerozyma guilliermondii strain 1621 and Pichia guilliermondii strain PAX-PAT 18S are suitable strains of yeasts for wine production.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Idise OE, Odum EI. Studies of wine produced from banana. International Journal of Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Research. 2011;2(12):209-214.
- 2. Okafor N. Modern Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology.1<sup>st</sup> Edition, Science Publishers, Enfield. 2007;263-308.
- 3. Nelson SC, Ploetz RC, Kepler AK. *Musa* species (banana and plantains). Species. Profiles for Pacific Island Agroforestry. 2006;22:1-28.
- 4. Pillay M, Ashokkumar K, Shunmugam ASK, Elayabalan S. A case for molecular breeding in *Musa.* Genetics, Genomics and Breeding of Bananas. Taylor and Francis. 2012;283-297.
- Obaedo ME, Ikenebomeh MJ. Microbiology and production of banana (*Musa sapientum*) wine. Nigerian Journal of Microbiology. 2009;23:1886-1891.
- Hossain MF, Akhtar S, Anwar M. Nutritional value and medicinal benefits of pineapple. International Journal of Nutrition

and Food Sciences. 2015;4(1):84-88.

- Sabahelkhier KM, Hussain AS, Ishag KEA. Effect of maturity stage on protein fractionation, in vitro protein digestibility and anti- nutrition factors in pineapple (*Ananas comosus*) fruit grown in Southern Sudan. African Journal of Food Science. 2010;4(8):550-552.
- Debnath P, Dey P, Chanda A, Bhakta T. A survey on pineapple and its medicinal value. Scholars Academic Journal of Pharmacy. 2012;1(1).
- Alabere A, Ogbonna DN, Williams JO. Screening of yeast cells for the production of wine from banana and pineapple substrates. Journal of Advances in Microbiology. 2020;20(7):38-55.
- Ogbonna DN, Williams JO, Alabere A. Performance evaluation of non-Saccharomyces in wine production. South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology. 2020;7(3):47-54.
- Ogodo AC, Ubogu OC, Ubogu AE, Ezeonu CS. Production of mixed fruit (Pawpaw, Banana And Watermelon) wine using Saccharomyces cerevisiae isolated from palm wine. Springer Plus. 2015;4:683.
- Moronkola BA, Olowu RA, Tovide OO, Ayejuyo OO. Determination of proximate and mineral contents. Journal of Scientific Reviews and Chemical Communications. 2011;1(1):1-6.

- Sluiter A, Hames B, Ruiz R, Scarlata C, Sluiter J, Templeton D, Crocker D. Determination of structural carbohydrates and lignin in biomass. Technical Report NREL/ TP-510- 42618. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, Colorado; 2011.
- 14. Okaka JC. Tropical plant perishable processing, storage and handling. Silicon Valley Publishers, New Heaven, Enugu;1997.
- Okeke BC, Agu KC, Uba PO, Awah NS, Anaukwu CG, Archibong EJ, Uwanta LI, Ezeneche JN, Ezenwa CU, Orji MU. Wine production from mixed fruits (Pineapple and Water melon) using high tolerant yeast isolated from palmwine. Universal Journal of Microbiology Research. 2015;3(4):41-45.
- Chilaka CA, Uchechkwu N, Obidiegwu JE, Akpor OB. Evaluation and efficacy of yeast isolates from palm wine in diverse fruit wine production. African Journal of Food Science. 2010;4(12):764-774.
- Aminu Z, Yabaya A, Mohammed SSD, Bobai M. Quality assessment of water melon (*Citrulus lanatus*) wine produced using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* isolated from palm wine. Journal of Biomaterials. 2018;2(2):65-73.
- 18. Okegbile EO, Taiwo EA. The Nutritional potential of black velvet. Nigerian Journal of Nutritional Science. 1990;8:115-121.

© 2022 Alabere and Adebayo-Olajide; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96909