



Evaluation of Planting Methods on Crop Performance and Cost Effectiveness in Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.)

G. Vijayalaxmi ^{a*}, G. S. Madhu Bindu ^a, M. Vijay Kumar ^a
and T. Laxman ^b

^a Agricultural Research Station, Basanthpur, Sangareddy dist., Telangana-502249, India.

^b Examination Centre, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad, Telangana-500030, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i121607

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96353>

Original Research Article

Received: 24/10/2022

Accepted: 30/12/2022

Published: 31/12/2022

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted during eksali of 2017, 2018 and 2020 at Agricultural Research Station, Basanthpur, Sangareddy, Telangana in red laterite loamy soils. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications and 7 planting methods viz., ridge and furrow method with 3 budded setts (P₁), single node planted by seedling transplanter (P₂), direct planting of bud chips (P₃), direct planting of seedlings manually (P₄), seedling transplanting by transplanter (P₅), planting with cutter planter (P₆) and farmers practice (P₇). Pooled mean of three years data indicated that the tiller count at 75 and 120 DAS (102.8 and 189.1 '000ha), cane height (306.7 cm), no. of millable canes (104'000 ha), single cane weight (1.67 kg) and cane yield (138.9 t ha⁻¹) registered were significantly highest in direct planting of seedlings.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: gvijayalaxmi.pjtsau@gmail.com;

Keywords: Cane yield; cost effectiveness; crop performance; planting methods; sugarcane.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane is the main source of sweeteners globally and holds a prominent position as a cash crop. India occupies second position in sugarcane cultivation after Brazil. Climatic condition of India is favorable for sugarcane cultivation therefore its production was spread across the country occupying an area of 4.73million hectares in the country [1].

Sugarcane plays a crucial role for overall socio-economic development of farming community. Contribution of sugarcane to the national GDP is 1.1% which is significant considering that the crop is grown only in 2.57% of the gross cropped area [2,3]. But in the present scenario, cane production is not sustainable enough to meet the demand due to constant increase in the input and labour costs [4]. Population driven demand of sweeteners coupled with the expansion of sugar industries in India necessitated higher production of sugarcane in future. Considering low productivity of conventional methods, there was a need to develop a suitable method of planting by which higher yield can be obtained [5].

Planting is the most important and labour intensive operation in sugarcane cultivation. Sugarcane germination as well as yield is affected by planting material, lay out, plant population, method of planting and placement of bud etc. [6].The planting methods is one of the crucial factors influencing the sunlight absorption, tillering and the execution of different farming operations such as weeding, earthing up and harvesting [7].

Sugarcane planting involves more labour and heavy investments towards harvesting of seed material, transportation to field, cutting into setts, spreading of setts which accounts to nearly 20% of the total cost of cultivation [8]. The labour intensive methods leads to considerable losses in crop production [9]. So it is necessary to improve the cane productivity with minimum usage of inputs through some alternate methods on the principles of "more with less" [10].

Planting of sugarcane with two/three budded setts is the common practice in sugarcane cultivation which accounts to nearly 25% of the total cost of cultivation. Hence it is proposed to study the application of planting cane seedlings

including nursery growing, direct planting and mechanical transplanting to facilitate easy and cost effective application of the technique. By changing the way of raising nursery and transplanting is hypothesized to bring down the cost up to 75%. It may also reduce plant mortality rate; help in increasing the length and weight of cane. Its basic premise is to obtain "more with less" in agriculture. Keeping in view of the above, to find out best economical planting method suitable for Central Telangana Zone, this experiment was formulated.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted during eksali of 2017, 2018 and 2020 at Agricultural Research Station, Basanthpur, Sangareddy, Telangana situated at 17° 47' 52.55" N Latitude and 77 °32' 37.77" E longitude at an altitude of 626 m MSL.

The soil of the experimental field was red laterite loam, low in available N (265 kg ha⁻¹), medium in organic carbon (0.6%), phosphorous (18 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium (134 kg ha⁻¹). The treatments involved 7 planting methods viz., ridge and furrow method with 3 budded setts (P₁), single node planted by seedling transplanter (P₂), direct planting of bud chips (P₃), direct planting of seedlings manually (P₄), seedling transplanting by transplanter (P₅), planting with cutter planter (P₆) and farmers practice (P₇)(Two budded setts). The treatments were laid out in randomized block design in three replications with the variety, Co 86032 which is a wonder cane, is a medium thick, reddish pink cane amenable for planting through out the year. It gives higher cane yields both in plant as well as ratoon crops with high quality and maintaining them for longer periods.

The spacing adopted was 150 cm x 30 cm. The recommended doses of NPK@ 250-100-100 kg ha⁻¹ were applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. The experiment was planted in February and harvested in December. The parameters to be investigated are tiller population at 75 and 120 days after planting, cane height at harvest, no. of millable canes at harvest, single cane weight, cane girth and cane yield. The experimental data was subjected to statistical analysis following the procedure for randomized block design as outlined by Panse and Sukhatme [11]. The significance was tested

by “F” test at 5% level of probability [12]. Critical difference was worked out for the effects which were significant.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data on Sugarcane planting methods was presented as growth and yield attributes in Tables 1 and 2 discussed in detail here under:

3.1 Growth Attributes

The growth attributes were recorded in terms of tiller count at 75 and 120 Days after planting (Table 1). Pooled means of three years indicated that the tiller count recorded at 75 (102.8 '000 ha) and 120 DAP (189.1'000 ha) with direct planting of seedlings manually was (P_3) significantly superior over other planting methods. The tiller count with seedling transplanting method using a transplanter in P_5 treatment followed the above treatment with 95.5'000 and 180.3'000 tillers /ha at 75 and 120 DAP, respectively. Less mortality rate and higher plant stand in direct planting of seedlings manually had resulted in higher tiller count compared to other planting methods. The tiller count in sugarcane in all the planting methods was less by 7.1% to 25.7% at 75 DAP and 4.6% to 19.1% at 120 DAP compared to direct planting of seedlings. The lowest no. of tillers were observed with single node planted by seedling transplanter (76.9'000 ha) at 75 DAP and planting with cutter planter (152.8'000 ha) at 120 DAP.

Experimental results revealed that the cane height (Table 1) at harvest also was maximum (306.7 cm) with direct planting of seedlings manually followed by seedling transplanting by transplanter (297.8 cm). Owing to planting of healthy seedlings with uniform growth, tended to uniform stand establishment and hence growth resulting in taller and sturdy canes in direct planting of seedlings manually. The lowest cane height was recorded in the crop planted with cutter planter (262.6 cm) which might be due to uneven establishment and slow growth of the setts.

3.2 Yield Parameters

The highest no. of millable canes (Table 1) were noticed (108.7 '000 ha) in direct planting of seedlings manually and seedling transplanting by transplanter (104.0 '000 ha). These treatments were significantly superior to direct planting of

bud chips (97.6'000 ha), farmers practice (95'000 ha), ridge and furrow method with 3 budded setts (90.3'000 ha), single node planted by seedling transplanter (87.9'000 ha) and planting with cutter planter (85.1'000 ha). Obviously raising seed material initially in a protected condition in the nursery had given out healthy seedlings with uniform growth. This was established by higher tiller count at two dates (75 and 120 DAP) of observation during the crop growth period in the transplanting treatments (direct manual and mechanical methods). The decreased no. of millable canes of other planting methods was might be due to the initial vigour of sprouts is affected by late sprouting of lower buds [6] in response to direct sown conditions and establishment.

The maximum (2.93 cm) cane girth was noticed with seedling transplanting by transplanter (Table 1) followed by direct planting of seedlings by manual (2.89 cm) and it was significantly superior to other planting methods. Higher cane girth in the above treatment might be due to quick establishment, healthy and uniform growth of the plants resulting in active metabolism and apportioning of nutrients to the developing cane giving out sturdier canes with higher girth. On the other hand lowest cane girth was recorded with cutter planter (2.64 cm).

Significantly the highest (1.67 kg) single cane weight (Table 1) was observed with direct planting of seedlings manually followed by seedling transplanting by transplanter (1.48 kg). Obviously taller canes with comparably higher cane girths registered higher single cane weight in manual direct seedling planting treatment. The lowest (1.27 kg) single cane weight on the other hand, was recorded in cane planted with cutter planter. Uneven crop stand and competition for resources between the plants in this treatment might had resulted in less capture and translocation leading to lesser cane weight.

Higher cane yields (138.9 and 126.2 t ha⁻¹) were obtained from direct planting of seedlings manually and seedling transplanting by transplanter and were found best over other planting methods (Table 1). Comparably more no. of millable canes, single cane weight and cane girth had resulted in higher cane yield in manual and mechanical methods of seedling transplanting. Conversely the lowest yield was observed with cutter planter (96.8 t ha⁻¹) which might be due to lower no. of tillers and millable canes.

Table 1. Growth, yield attributes and yield of sugarcane as influenced by planting methods (Pooled mean of three years)

Planting methods	Tiller count ('000 ha)		Cane height at harvest (cm)	No. of millable canes ('000 ha)	Single cane weight (kg)	Cane Girth (cm)	Cane yield (t ha ⁻¹)
	75 days	120 days					
Ridge and Furrow method with 3 budded setts	84.9	164.2	273.7	90.3	1.27	2.71	105.8
Single node planted by seedling transplanter	76.3	161.2	272.3	87.9	1.20	2.70	101.0
Direct planting of bud chips	84.0	174.9	284.8	97.6	1.42	2.79	117.8
Direct planting of seedlings by manual	102.8	189.1	306.7	108.7	1.67	2.89	138.9
Seedling transplanting by transplanter	95.5	180.3	297.8	104.0	1.48	2.93	126.2
Planting with cutter planter	77.9	152.8	262.6	85.1	1.17	2.64	96.8
Farmer's Practice (Planting with two budded setts)	89.6	170.2	278.2	95.0	1.33	2.80	111.1
S Em ±	2.24	2.45	3.99	3.27	0.03	0.03	2.77
C D (P=0.05)	6.89	7.56	12.29	10.08	0.09	0.10	8.53
CV (%)	4.44	6.09	5.01	5.93	3.64	2.02	6.21

Table 2. Economic analysis of sugarcane as influenced by planting methods (Pooled mean of three years)

Planting methods	Gross returns (Rs./ha)	Cost of cultivation(Rs/ha)	Net (Rs/ha) returns	B:C ratio
Ridge and Furrow method with 3 budded setts	253880	206548.0	47332.0	1.23
Single node planted by seedling transplanter	241340	187654.0	53686.0	1.29
Direct planting of bud chips	326700	231456	95244.0	1.41
Direct planting of seedlings by manual	374110	273245	100865.0	1.37
Seedling transplanting by transplanter	287815	198756	89059.0	1.45
Planting with cutter planter	225500	176532	48968.0	1.28
Farmer's Practice (Planting with two budded setts)	270875	223450	47425.0	1.21

3.2 Economics

The findings of this study demonstrated that the superiority of direct planting of seedlings in terms of high B:C ratio of 1.37 (Table 2) owing to highest gross returns (Rs. 3,74,110/-) and net returns (Rs. 1,00,865/-). Conversely lowest B:C ratio has been incurred in farmers practice (planting of two budded setts) which is due to less grass and net returns obtained.

4. CONCLUSION

Good establishment method, which favours good germination, and ease in doing crop management practices are key factors to increase the yield [13]. The investigation suggested that direct planting of sugarcane seedlings manually is viable method for Central Telangana Zone in obtaining a healthy crop with high cane yield potential and a benefit-cost ratio of 1.37 and yield advantage of 12-42 t ha⁻¹.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Bee N, Rahamn F. Growth rate of Area, Production and Productivity of Sugarcane crop in India. Int J Environ Agric Res. 2020;6(4):14-9.
2. Solomon S. Sugarcane production and development of sugar industry in India India.2016. Sugartech.18.588-602. 2016; 18(6):588-602. DOI: 10.1007/s12355-016-0494-2
3. Solomon S. The Indian sugar industry: An overview. Sugartech. 2011;13(4):255-65. DOI: 10.1007/s12355-011-0115-z
4. Anonymous. Productivity of sugarcane in major states of India. Coimbatore: Sugarcane Breeding Institute; 2011.
5. Singh AK, Lal M, Singh AK, Singh SN, Pathak AD, Singh E. Effect of planting methods on growth, yield and quality of sugarcane in sub tropical India. Indian J Sugarcane Technol. 2016;31(2):55-60.
6. Nalawade SM, Mehta AK, Sharma AK. Sugarcane planting techniques: a review. Contemporary Research in India. 2017; 98-104.
7. Ahmad T, Fiaz N, Khaliq T, Mudassir MA, Shafique M, Sarwar MA et al. Int J Glob Agric Sci. 2022;10(3):131-8.
8. Galal. A new technique for planting sugarcane in Egypt [Journal]. 2016;7(4): 15-21.
9. Dharmawardene MWN. Trends in farm mechanization by sugarcane small land holders in Sri Lanka. Sugar Tech. 2006;8 (1):16-22. DOI: 10.1007/BF02943736
10. Loganandhan N, Biksham Gujja, Natarajan US. Sustainable sugarcane initiative: A methodology of more with less. Sugar Tech. 2013;15:98-102.
11. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods of agricultural workers. 2nd Endorsement. New Delhi, India: ICAR Publication; 1967. p. 381.
12. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical methods. Oxford and IBH publishing Company 17, Parklane, Calcutta; 1967.
13. Prem G, Kumar R, Singh VD, Kumar A, Choudhary R, Ahmad A. Effect of planting methods on cane yield, water productivity and economics of spring planted sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) in Ambala (Haryana). Int J Agric Eng. 2017; 10(1):186-90. DOI: 10.15740/HAS/IJAE/10.1/186-190

© 2022 Vijayalaxmi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96353>