Annual Research & Review in Biology

37(10): 13-23, 2022; Article no.ARRB.91396
ISSN: 2347-565X, NLM ID: 101632869

Topophysis and Growth Regulators Effects on Buds and Zygotic Embryos Regeneration of African Bush Mango Tree Cultured *In vitro*

Arnaud Agbidinoukoun [a*], André-Tudal Noumon [b], Florent Jean-Baptiste Quenum [b], Jerome Anani Houngue [a] and Corneille Ahanhanzo [a]

[a] *Central Laboratory of Plant Biotechnology and Plant Breeding, Department of Genetics and Biotechnology, Faculty of Science and Technique, University of Abomey-Calavi, Abomey-Calavi, 01 B.P. 526, Cotonou, Benin.*
[b] *Department of Crop Production, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of Abomey-Calavi, Abomey-Calavi, Benin.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARRB/2022/v37i1030536

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91396

Original Research Article

Received 23 June 2022
Accepted 17 September 2022
Published 01 October 2022

ABSTRACT

Background: African bush mango tree is an important fruit plant with high nutritional, medicinal, and commercial values. However, its seedling system remained a deep understanding. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of topophysis and growth regulators on the reactivity of different types of buds and zygotic embryos of wild mango.
Methodology: Ripe fruits from two local varieties (*Wossro* and *Sissro*) were pulped. The nuts were extracted and dried for one week under greenhouse. Zygotic embryos were excised from nuts and disinfected with the bleach solution (NaClO 10% with 8% active chloride) for 10 min following by three successive rinsing using sterilized water. The second set of nuts was cultivated for under greenhouse in the polybags containing the sand. One month later, buds from different positions (apical, axillary and cotyledonary) were excised and disinfected with NaClO 10% for 10 min follow by the immersion in a mercuric chloride 0.1% added with two drops of Tween 20 especially for axillary and cotyledonary buds for 5 min while 0.01% of mercuric chloride was used for apical buds.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: arnaudag2002@yahoo.fr;

The explants were cultured on ¼MS and ½WPM media supplemented with BAP, KIN at different concentrations (0.2 mg/L and 3.5 mg/L respectively) and NAA (0.05 mg/L).
Results: The best sprouting rate was obtained with the variety *Wossro* which showed a high bud break rate (26.47%) against (9.88%) for the variety *Sissro*. The topophysis significantly influenced the response of the buds in tissue culture. 24.48% of axillary buds were sprouted on ¼ MS medium + 3.5 mg/L BAP+ 0.05mg/L NAA. The *in vitro* germination of embryos was significantly (p≤ 0.05) influenced by the genotype of the variety. 50.76% of buds were germinated for *Wossro while* 18.32% were germinated for *Sissro*. ¼ MS + 0.2 mg/L KIN has significant influenced the plants growth and development.
Implication: The findings will help to improve the regeneration rate and plantlets production of African bush mango tree.

Keywords: Irvingia gabonensis; topophysis; In vitro regeneration; bud morphogenic capacity; zygotic embryos; culture media.

ABBREVIATIONS

WPM : Woody Plant Medium
MS : Murashige and Skoog
NAA : Naphthalene Acetic Acid
BAP : 6-benzyl Amino Purine
KIN : Kinetin

1. INTRODUCTION

African Bush Mango Tree *[Irvingia gabonensis (*Aubry Lecomte*)]*, is a fruit tree grown in an agroforestry system in West and Central Africa. In the Republic of Benin, *Irvingia gabonensis* have ethnobotanical, socioeconomic, and ecological importance [1]. It provides wood and contributes to the coverage of nutritional needs for the most vulnerable social groups [2]. It is the center of a potential market worth 50 million USD in turnover [3]. Despite this important value, African bush mango tree is underutilized specie in Benin that is classified as a neglected crop [4]. Its cultivation cycle is undermined by many constraints among producers who maintain the trees in plantations out of preference or secondary interest in the resources provided by the species. The best propagation technique known is the transplantation after germination of nuts in the forest. Traditional seedling and vegetative propagation techniques do not favor large-scale production after the selection of fruits by variety according to quality and a high diversification. Although the methods of propagation of *Irvingia gabonensis* with low success rates [1,5], the exploitation of this agroforestry resource continue to increase that affect the production and plantation density.

In order to allow a high level of production of these threatened species by promoting the use of homogenous planting of performing varieties, new planting material techniques have been developed and used in forest plant for their multiplication [4]. Among these techniques, *in vitro* tissue culture is widely used for plant multiplication that greatly improve the quality of the production system of forest plants [6]. *Irvingia gabonensis* is highly allogamous specie that propagated by seedling creating a great source of heterogeneity [7]. By comparing the seedling strategy to vegetative propagation, tissue culture methods produced uniform planting material with low success rates. A few studies have been conducted on the factors influencing the *in vitro* propagation of African bush mango tree. Different explants have been tested using buds and callus segments [8,9] and zygotic embryos [10]. However, morphogenic capacity of different buds depending to their position is still unknown. Indeed, explants from many organs are capable of producing adventitious shoots or roots, but explants from different organs usually vary greatly in their morphogenic capacity [11]. Morphogenic capacity can also vary depending on the position of explants within organs [12]. Position effects on subsequent growth or development of explants or cuttings are called 'topophysis' [11,13]. Hung and Trueman, [12] have demonstrated that topophysic effects have differed between node and organogenic cultures of eucalypt *Corymbia torelliana × C. citriodora*. Therefore, it is important to assert how topophysis can improve the regeneration rate of African bush mango tree. In this context, the present study aims to evaluate the effects of bud topophysis and growth regulators supplemented in two culture media on the morphogenic capacity of buds and zygotic embryos of two local African bush mango tree varieties grown in Benin.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant Material

The plant material was constituted of the fruits of two local varieties of African bush mango tree. The fruits were collected from two plantations located in the village of Hounhomey in the township of Djakotomey in the department of Couffo in Benin.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Explants removal and disinfection treatments

After the collection of ripe fruits, samples of both varieties were pulped. The nuts were extracted and dried under greenhouse for a week. Thereafter, one set of nuts was washed with detergent solution based on MENDEL soap for 5 min to avoid fungi contamination. They were then cut with a metal saw near the part containing the zygotic embryos which were extracted with a scalpel and disinfected by immersion in the bleach (NaClO 10% with 8% active chloride) solution for 10 min. The embryos were rinsed three times with sterilized water prior the in vitro culture.

The second set of nuts was sown in the polybags containing the sand. After one month, buds of different positions (apical, axillary and cotyledonary) were cut with sterilized scissors and distributed in sterile jars. Explants were then subjected to a disinfection protocol under laminar flow by using 70% alcohol for 5 min, followed by soaking in different disinfection solutions as bleach for 10 min for all explants followed by mercuric chloride 0.1% added with Tween 20 for axillary and cotyledonary buds for 5 min and 0.01% for apical buds for 5 min.

2.2.2 Culture of explants and growing conditions

The embryos were seeded on ¼ MS + 0.2 mg/L KIN and ½ WPM + 0.2 mg/L KIN media whose efficiencies have been demonstrated in the regeneration process of woody species [10]. For the buds culturing, eight combinations of media using ¼ MS and ½ WPM based medium with different concentrations of BAP and KIN (0.2 mg/L and 3.5 mg/L) and 0.05 mg/L NAA were tested. The pH of media were adjusted to 5.7 (±1) and the media were solidified with agar (7g/l) and were then sterilized at 121° for 15 minutes under autoclave. The jars contained the explant were cultured in a culture room, maintained at 26 ±1°C with a relative humidity of 80% under a photoperiod of 12 hwith light intensity of 3000 lux.

2.2.3 Statistical analyses

Data collected from all factors (varieties, types of explant, type of media and growth regulators) were tested using 2-way ANOVA or 3-way ANOVA with a generalized linear model. The tests were performed in R software version (RX643.0.1).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results

3.1.1 Regeneration rate of buds on MS and WPM culture media

A significant difference (p= 0.003) was noted in buds break rate throughout the varieties. The variety Wossro showed a high bud break rate (26.47%) against (9.88%) for the variety Sissro (Fig. 1a). The difference was not significant among the growing medium (p=.97). However, the medium showing the best response was M3 (24.48%) followed by M4 (21.01%). The lowest responses were obtained with media M8 (13.32%) and M2 (14.06%) (Fig. 1b). Similarly, explant type did not have a significant (p=.07) effect on bud-break of explants. However, the best responses were obtained with first-rank axillary buds (28.66%) followed by second-rank axillary buds (18.49%), and apical buds (18.01%), cotyledonary buds showed very low responses to bud-break (7.53%) (Fig. 1c).

3.1.2 Shoot elongation and leaves formation

Among the factors considered (variety; growing medium; type of explant), only the type of explant had a significant influence (p=0.02) on the leaf bearing. Indeed, the number of leaves produced was very variable between explants. The explants that produced the highest number of leaves were those from apical buds (24.37%) followed by second rank axillary buds (21.54%). The variety factor did not have a significant influence (p = 0.60) on the leaf-bearing, although the number of leaf-bearing explants of Wossro variety was higher (17.50%) compared to (15.03%) for Sissro variety (Fig. 5a). Also, the growing medium factor did not have a significant influence (p = 0.9). Explants showed that the

media enhancing the best responses were M2 medium (24.22%) followed by M7 medium (18.21%) (Fig. 5b). The lowest responses were obtained with media M1 (14.69%) and medium M4 (11.89%).

For the Number of leaves parameter, among the factors considered (variety; growing media; type of explant), none showed a significant influence. However, the average number of leaves was 0.45 leaves for Sissro variety against 0.22 leaves for Wossro variety (Fig. 3).

3.1.3 Germination of zygotic embryos

Among the factors whose effect was observed (Variety; Culture medium; Obscurity), only the factor "variety" had a very high influence on the *in vitro* germination of the embryos ($p< 0.001$). The highest germination rate was obtained with Wossro variety (50.76%) against (18.32%) for Sissro variety (Fig. 4a). Also, the first germinations were observed in Wossro variety, which maintained this trend until the end of the observation period. The highest germination rates were reached in the sixth week for both Wossro and Sissro (Fig. 4a). The observations showed that, the germination rate on ¼ MS +0.2mg/LKIN medium was higher (42.43%) versus (27.16%) on ½ WPM medium. In addition, exposure of embryos to darkness (Fig. 4b) showed that light promotes *in vitro* germination of embryos observable by a higher germination rate for light-exposed embryos (38.78%) in both varieties than for dark-exposed embryos (30.80%).

3.1.4 Roots and shoots elongation for zygotic embryos plantlets

The number of radicles produced by the embryos was significantly influenced by the culture medium ($p= 0.012$). The other factors did not show a significant influence. Indeed, rootlet formation was more pronounced on ¼ MS + 0.2 mg/L KIN medium with an average number of (3.88 rootlets) versus (0.88 rootlets) for ½ WPM culture medium at week 6 (Fig. 5b). Considering the variety, the average number of radicles produced was zero for *Wossro* variety versus (1.5 radicles) for *Sissro* variety at six weeks. The zygotic embryos germination was shown in Fig. 6.

For the shoot elongation, the media have a significant influence in stem production ($p\leq 0.002$). The average number of stems formed on the ½ WPM culture medium was higher at the sixth week (0.62 stems) compared to 0.5 stems on the ¼ MS +0.2mg/L KIN medium (Fig. 7b). Also, stem production was not influenced by variety ($p=0.999$). The number of stems produced after germination of embryos in *in vitro* culture was higher in the Wossro variety with a maximum of 1.13 stems compared to (0 stems) for Sissro variety at the sixth week stage (Fig. 7a).



Fig. 1. Bud breaking rate variation in (a) variety, (b) culture media and (c) explant type

M1= *MS ¼ + 3.5 mg/L KIN + 0.05 mg/L NAA;* ***M2***= *MS ¼ + 0.2 mg/L KIN + 0.05 mg/L NAA;* ***M3***= *MS ¼ + 3.5 mg/L BAP + 0.05 mg/L NAA;* ***M4***= *MS ¼ + 0.2 mg/L BAP + 0.05 mg/L NAA;* ***M5***= *WPM ½ + 0.2 mg/L BAP + 0.05 mg/L NAA;* ***M6***= *WPM ½ + 3.5 mg/L BAP + 0.05 mg/L NAA;* ***M7***= *WPM ½ + 0.2 mg/L KIN + 0.05 mg/L NAA;* ***M8***= *WPM ½ + 3.5 mg/L KIN + 0.05 mg/L NAA;* ***AP***= *Apical bud;* ***AX1***= *First-row axillary bud;* ***AX2***= *Second-row axillary bud;* ***Cot***= *Cotyledonary bud*



Fig. 2. Shoot elongation rate variation related to (a) variety, (b) growing medium and (c) explant type factors

__M1__= MS ¼ + 3.5 mg/L KIN + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M2__= MS ¼ + 0.2 mg/L KIN + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M3__= MS ¼ + 3.5 mg/L BAP + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M4__= MS ¼ + 0.2 mg/L BAP + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M5__= WPM ½ + 0.2 mg/L BAP + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M6__= WPM ½ + 3.5 mg/L BAP + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M7__= WPM ½ + 0.2 mg/L KIN + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M8__= WPM ½ + 3.5 mg/L KIN + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __AP__= Apical bud; __AX1__= First-row axillary bud; __AX2__= Second-row axillary bud; __Cot__= Cotyledonary bud



Fig. 3. Effects of genotype (a), culture media (b) and explant type (c) on the mean leaves number

__M1__= MS ¼ + 3.5 mg/L KIN + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M2__= MS ¼ + 0.2 mg/L KIN + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M3__= MS ¼ + 3.5 mg/L BAP + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M4__= MS ¼ + 0.2 mg/L BAP + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M5__= WPM ½ + 0.2 mg/L BAP + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M6__= WPM ½ + 3.5 mg/L BAP + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M7__= WPM ½ + 0.2 mg/L KIN + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __M8__= WPM ½ + 3.5 mg/L KIN + 0.05 mg/L NAA; __AP__= Apical bud; __AX1__= First-row axillary bud; __AX2__= Second-row axillary bud; __Cot__= Cotyledonary bud.



Fig. 4. Effects of genotype (a), darkness (b) and culture medium (c) on zygotic embryos germination



Fig. 5. Evolution of radicles according to the varieties (a) and the culture media (b)

According to the leaves formation, the culture media have a significant influence on the production of leafy stem (p= 0.0002). Plantlets that formed stems on MS ¼ +0.2mg/LKIN medium have an average of 1 leaf, compared to a significantly zero average number for WPM ½ medium after 8 weeks (Fig. 8b). Variety had a non-significant influence on leafy stem production (p= 0.99), although the Wossro variety was the only one to produce 1.12 leaves compared to 0 leaves for Sissro variety after six weeks (Fig. 8a).

Fig. 9 showed different steps of the growth of the plantlets regenerated with buds and zygotic embryos.



Fig. 6. Phases of embryo swelling (A) and (B); radicle development (C); penetration of the radicle in the culture medium (D)



Fig. 7. Evolution of stems number according to the varieties (a) and the culture media (b)



Fig. 8. Evolution of leaves number according to the varieties (a) and the growing media (b)



Fig. 9. Steps of buds and zygotic embryos regeneration. axillary bud (A), callus formation (B), apical bud (C), shoot and leaves formation (D, E), and zygotic embryos: emergence of cotyledons after initiation of radicle development (F); shoot emergence (G); initiation stage of the first two leaves (H); leafy vitroplants rooted (I)

3.2 Discussion

Plant organogenesis through *in vitro* regeneration of buds is used in biotechnology to clone and conserve initial characters for generation to generation in plant. In this study, we investigate how the topophysis of nodes can affect *in vitro* regeneration and plantlet development.

Regarding regeneration, variation was noted between two local varieties tested and also in the topophysis of the buds. The *Wossro* variety showed a high rate of bud break. In addition, the best responses were obtained with the first and second rank axillary node buds in contrast to the cotyledon nodes which showed very low responses to bud break. These results were similar to those of Houédjissin et al. [6] who showed by working on *Pentadesma Butyracea* S. that bud break varied with the position of nodes on the stem. Similarly, Hung and Trueman [12] showed the expression of negative morphogenic gradient in the eucalyptus hybrid (*Corymbia torelliana × Corymbia. citriodora*) and in *Quercus robur* L. [14]. This gradient increases from cotyledon nodes to apical nodes and is thought to be responsible for controlling apical dominance. Hung and Trueman, [12] also reported that *in vitro* proliferation of axillary nodes was better than apical nodes. Our findings were congruent with this report. Lebedev et al. [15] made the similar observation by considering explant topophysis as a determining factor in the regeneration of woody species (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.). Indeed, the same authors mentioned a significant decrease in bud break rate between the second and third distal nodes probably due to the negative morphogenetic gradient. However, Darby et al. [16] found that the original position of the explant in *in vitro* culture did not influence shoot regeneration in *Leptospermum polygalifolium* and *Leptospermum scoparium*. The addition of 0.1mg/L NAA to medium containing 2mg/L BAP was able to stimulate bud break of seeded

internodes [17]. The combination of NAA and BAP positively influenced bud break by callogenesis of nodes compared to BAP alone [18].The bud break of *Pentadesma Butyracea* S. observed by Houédjissin et al. [6] at the stage of appearance of the first leaves with the best responses on the WPM medium showed contrary results to the results observed in the present study, where the best bud break was obtained with the ¼ MS medium added with BAP (3.5 mg/L and 0.2 mg/L) combined with NAA (0.05 mg/L). This may be explained by the concentration of the growth regulator used. However, the highest KIN concentration (3.5 mg/L) produced good responses in bud breaking whereas the best were obtained with 3 mg/L KIN. Several other reasons may explain the results obtained such as the age of the explant, the level of physiological development of the seedling as mentioned by Etukudo et al. [18], who found better results with explants derived from embryos compared to cuttings taken from adult trees which showed a high infection rate. In the present study, bud regeneration rate was generally low. Paluku et al. [19] made the same observations during *in vitro* regeneration of *Cola acuminata*. This study revealed that the production of friable, greenish callus in the two local varieties tested auguring a prospect for intense proliferation through regeneration of neoformed organogenesis callus.

According to Bewleyl [20], "the germination of a seed is defined as the sum of the events that begin with the imbibition and end with the emergence of a part of the embryo". The results obtained showed that only the 'variety' factor had a highly significant influence (p= 0.0003), on the *plantlets* germination in favour of the *Wossro* variety. The parameters of culture medium and light did not show significant influences at the 5% threshold. Those results can be explained by the effect of the genotype in the evaluation of the germination potential of the embryos. Those results were contradictory to those of Fajimi et al [10] who demonstrated that the *in vitro* germination of *Irvingia gabonensis* embryos was strongly dependent on the culture medium. Although these authors did not consider the variety factor in their experiments, the best results were obtained on the same culture medium (¼ MS + 0.2 mg/L KIN) for bud break and rhizogenesis, even though the WPM medium is more recommended for the culture of woody plants such as *Olea europeae* [21]; *Tectona grandis* [22]; *Lannea microcarpa* [23]. Also, [24] obtained different results comparing different doses of regulators such as BAP (0.05 mg/L), NAA (0.05 mg/L), and coconut water filtrate (20-25%). These authors obtained 60% viable explants which would therefore explain the varietal sensitivity of embryos to certain nutrients for their development as mentioned by Renaudin [25]. Exposure of embryos to darkness showed that, light promoted *in vitro* germination. However, the influence of this factor was not significant (p= 0.31). In fact, the germination rate for embryos exposed to light was higher in both varieties than for embryos exposed to darkness. Those results can be explained by the insensitivity of *in vitro* grown embryos to light in some woody species especially those with recalcitrant seeds. Renaudin et al. [25] reached the same conclusions in germination tests on *Lathraea clandestina* showing an indifference of embryos to the presence or absence of light for *in vitro* culture. However, Mairone [26] mentioned the influence of photoblastic phenomenon including sensitivity to light radiation among the factors with significant influence in *in vitro* germination of *Jasminum fruticans* and *Lawsonia inermis* by Johnson et al. [27]. The growth of *in vitro* germinated embryos is strongly related to the composition of the culture medium. Indeed, the best growth responses of germinated embryos were obtained on ¼ MS + 0.2 mg/L KIN medium. Those results can be explained by the composition of the culture medium tested because, once they had been isolated from their nutrient reserves, the germinated embryos automatically became dependent on the culture medium as the only substrates available to them. Their compositions would then affect the expression of the genetic program of the species considering its growth. These results are congruent with our studies on coconut zygotic embryos in vitro germination [28]. Some authors mentioned the effectiveness of cytokinins such as BAP, KIN and coconut milk extract on the rapid budding of germinated embryos [24,28]. Their results could be related to the involvement of cytokinins in cell division and differentiation processes on the one hand and on the other hand the presence of zeatin-O-glucoside and dihydrozeatin-O-glucoside derivatives of Zeatin, a cytokinin known to promote apex budding [29].

4. CONCLUSION

The *In vitro* regeneration of African bush mango tree was influenced by the topophysis of the buds and the composition of the culture medium. Indeed, axillary buds showed the best response performance at bud break on ¼ MS + 3.5 mg/L

BAP + 0.05 mg/L ANA medium. The *In vitro* germination of embryos is more efficient in darkness and the¼ MS + 0.2 mg/L KIN is more favorable in terms of germination and growth of the regenerated plantlets. These findings will help to improve the regeneration rate and plantlet production of the African bush mango tree which could be used by the farmers after acclimatization.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We address acknowledgments to the Central Laboratory of Plant Biotechnology and Plant Breeding, University of Abomey-Calavi for allowing us to realize this work and all of the producers for their full collaboration.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Akpaca I, Yabi I, Wokou CG, Tente BAH. Caractérisation ds systèmes de culture de *Irvingia gabonensis* sur le plateau adja au sud-ouest du Bénin. Rev.Ivoir.Sci.Technol. 2017;29:213–232.
   Available:https://revist.net/REVIST_29/REVIST_29_15.pdf.
2. Gbesso FGH, Lougbegnon T, Sossou Agbo P. Critères de reconnaissance paysanne et variabilité phénotypique de deux variétés de *Irvingia gabonensis* Aubry-Le Comte dans le Sud-Ouest du Bénin. Rev.Ivoir.Sci.Technol. 2015;26:99–114.
   Available:https://revist.net/REVIST_26/REVIST_26_6.pdf.
3. Vihotogbé R. Characterization of African Bush Mango trees with emphasis on the differences between sweet and bitter trees in the Dahomey Gap (West Africa) Romaric Vihotogbe. Wageningen University; 2012.
4. Dansi A, Vodouh R, Azokpota P, Yedomonhan H, Assogba P, Adjatin A. Diversity of the Neglected and Underutilized Crop Species of Importance in Benin. Sci. World J. 2012;19.
   Available:https://doi.org/10.1100/2012/932947.
5. Vitohogbé R, Berg RG, Van Den, Bongers F, Sinsin B, Sosef SMM. Does phenology distinguish bitter and sweet African bush mango trees (*Irvingia* spp ., Irvingiaceae)? 2014;Springer 15.
6. Houédjissin SS, Dangou SJ, Azokpota P, Cacaï G, Agbidinoukoun A, Hounhouigan DJ, Ahanhanzo C. Régénération *in vitro* de l'arbre à Suif (*Pentadesma Butyracea* Sabine), une espèce ligneuse à usages multiples (LUM) vulnérable au Bénin. Eur. Sci. J. 2015; 11: 150-167.
7. Singh AK. Bush Mango (*Irvingia gabonensis*): New Potential multipurpose fruit tree for Bush Mango (*Irvingia gabonensis*): New Potential Multipurpose Fruit Tree for India. J. Plant Genet. Resour. 2007b;20:32–37.
8. Ndoumou DON, Otso F, Umar O, Bouna DM. Propagation d ' *Irvingia gabonensis* par microbouturage *In vitro*. Fruit. 2004;59:31–38.
9. Mbosowo EM, Hamilton-Amachree A, Roberts, Eneni MI. A study on mineral and proximate compositions of callus segments of *Irvingia wombolu* Mildbr Using in vitro technique. Eur. Int. J. Sci. Technol. 2015; 4:38–46.
10. Fajimi O, Sarumi M, Olayode M, Gamra E, Sanusi S. In vitro propagation of *Irvingia gabonensis*. African J. Biotechnol. 2007; 6:976–978.
11. George EF. 'Plant Propagation by Tissue Culture. Part 1. The Technology.' (Exegetics Ltd: Edington, UK); 1993.
12. Hung CD, Trueman SJ. Topophysic effects differ between node and organogenic cultures of the eucalypt *Corymbia torelliana × C. citriodora*. Plant Cell. Tissue Organ Cult. 2010;243:69–77.
    Available:https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11240-010-9805-6.
13. Mitchell RG, Zwolinski J, Jones NB. A review on the effects of donor maturation on rooting and field performance of conifer cuttings. Southern African Forestry Journal. 2004;201:53–63.
    DOI:10.1080/20702620.2004.10431774.
14. Puddephat IJ, Alderson PG, Wright NA. Influence of explant source , plant growth regulators and culture environment on culture initiation and establishment of *Quercus robur* L. *In vitro*. J. Exp. Bot. 1997;48:951–962.
    Available:https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/48.4.951.
15. Lebedev V, Shestibratov K. Large-Scale Micropropagation of Common Ash. Biotechnology. 2016;1–9.
    Available:https://scialert.net/abstract/?doi=biotech.2016.1.9.

16. Darby ID, Bai SH, Wallace HM, Trueman SJ. Micropropagation of the therapeutic-honey plants *Leptospermum polygalifolium* and *L . scoparium* (Myrtaceae). Aust. J. Bot. 2021;69:310–317.
DOI: 10.1071/BT21047.
17. Badou B, Agbidinoukoun A, Cacaï GHT, Dossoukpèvi RC, Ahanhanzo C. Effects of system benzylaminopurine-adenine sulphate in combination with naphthalene acetic on in vitro regeneration and proliferation of pineapple (*Ananas comosus* (L.) Mill var . comosus). Am. J. Biotechnol. Biosci. 2018;2:16.
18. Etukudo MM, Nkang AE, Edu EA, Osim SE, Udo JI. Growth response of explants of *Irvingia gabonensis* (O'Rorke, Baill) to In vitro treatment. J. Res. For. Wildl. Environ. 2010;2:143–149.
Available:https://www.ajol.info/index.php/jrfwe/article/download/82371/72526.
19. Paluku A, Bwama M, Okungo A. Multiplication végétative de *Cola acuminata* (Pal. de Beauv .) Schott & Endlicher par marcottage à Kisangani , République Démocratique du Congo Vegetative propagation of *Cola acuminata* (Pal. de Beauv .) Schott & Endlicher by layering in Kisangani , Demo. Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci. 2018;12:1141–1150.
20. Bewleyl JD. Seed Germination and Dormancy. Am. Soc. Plant Physiol. 1997;9:1055–1066.
DOI:10.1105/tpc.9.7.1055
21. Brhadda N, Abousalim A, Elmacane D, Loudiyi W. Effet du milieu de culture sur le microbouturage de l' olivier (*Olea europeae* L.) cv . Picholine Marocaine. Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ. 2003;177–182:7.
22. Ahanhanzo C, Adoukonou-Sagbadja H, Yehouessi W, Ahoton L, Ganglo JC, Agassounon Djikpo-Tchibozo M, Agbidinoukoun A & Agbangla C. Étude de l ' influence du chlorure mercurique sur la survie in vitro d ' explants et de l ' aptitude à la régénération de teck (*Tectona grandis* L. f ., Verbenaceae). J. Appl. Biosci. 2013; 4935–4944.
DOI: 10.4314/jab.v65i0.89581
23. Semde K, Dao MCE, Diallo BO, Ganaba S. Existerait-il au sein des peuplements de *Lannea microcarpa* des individus à caractères botaniques différents dans la zone de Zorgho (Burkina Faso)? Int. Biol. Chem. Sci. 2015 ;9:2623–2632.
DOI: 10.4314/ijbcs.v9i6.9.
24. Gbadamosi I, Sulaiman TMO. The Influence of Growth Hormones and Cocos nucifera water on in vitro techniques of *I.gabonensis*. Nat. Sci. 2012;10:53–58.
25. Renaudin S. Étude, in vitro, des conditions de germination des graines de *Lathraea clandestina* L . Bull. la Société Bot. Fr. 2014;8941.
DOI: 10.1080/00378941.1968.10838571.
26. Mairone Y, Geslot A. Étude expérimentale des conditions de la germination , in vitro , des semences de *Jasminum fruticans* L. Ecol. Mediterr. 2020 ;3–10.
Available:https://doi.org/10.3406/ecmed.1987.1620.
27. Johnson BN, Luce M, Quashie A, Radji R, Segla KN, Adjonou K, Dzifa A. Germination of *Lawsonia inermis* L . under different abiotic constraints. Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci. 2019 ;13 :745–758.
DOI: 10.4314/ijbcs.v13i2.14
Available:https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ijbcs/article/view/189319.
28. Agbidinoukoun A, Somakpe ER, Houedjissin SS, Engelmann F, & Ahanhanzo C. Effects of Surface Sterilization Protocol and Haustorium Removal on Germination and Growth of Hybrid PB121 Coconut Palm (*Cocos nucifera* L.) Zygotic Embryos Cultured in vitro. BJI. 2020; 24(6):57-64, DOI: 10.9734/BJI/2020/v24i630122.
29. Badou B, Agbidinoukoun A, Nodichao L, Dossoukpèvi RC, Cacaï GHT, Houédjissin SS, Ahanhanzo C. Effects of Two Disinfectants and Two Growth Regulators on in vitro Propagation of Smooth Cayenne and Sugarloaf Cultivars of Pineapple (*Ananas comosus* (L) Mill var . *comosus*). J. Biotechnol. Res. 2017;3:94–105.

© 2022 Agbidinoukoun et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91396