

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

12(6): 46-54, 2022; Article no.IJECC.75250 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Effect of Si with NPK Levels on Growth, Yield and Blast Disease of Aromatic Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in North-West Plain Zone of India

Satybhan Singh^a, A. N. Chaubey^{a*}, Virendra Singh^a and Devdutt Gangwar^a

^a Faculty, School of Agricultural Sciences & Engineering, IFTM University, Moradabad (U.P.), 244 102 India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i630686

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/75250

Original Research Article

Received 23 August 2021 Accepted 20 October 2021 Published 19 March 2022

ABSTRACT

Rice is an important cereal crop grown all over world. Blast, disease occurs in almost grown area causing significant yield losses. However, yield losses are prominent due to the improper fertilization and disease incidence in rice. Hence the study was carried out during kharif season at the Agricultural Research Farm of IFTM University, Moradabad (U.P.) with the 10 treatment combinations in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. The percent disease incidence (PDI) and percent disease control (PDC) of rice blast disease in aromatic rice field was significantly influenced with the application of RDF levels and Si spray. Lowest PDI (34.72%) and maximum PDC (39.4%) in aromatic rice was found in treatment T₁₀ - (100 % RDF + two Si Spray @ 2 ml/ ltr of water at 15 and 40 DAT). Among all the treatments observed significantly increase in all growth and yield attributes viz., plant height (158.84 cm), no. of tillers plant¹ (18.67), fresh weight (290.13 g plant⁻¹), dry weight (103.33 g plant⁻¹), panicle length (31.04 cm), no. of grains panical¹ (180.78 cm), no. of unfilled grains panical⁻¹ (16.70), no. of filled grains panical⁻¹ (180.78), sterility percentage (10.36), 1000-seed weight (33.48 g), grain yield (67.16, q ha⁻¹), stover yield (79.60 g ha⁻¹), biological yield (146.77 g ha⁻¹), harvest index (45.76 %) and B: C ratio (2.85), respectively were recorded with the application of T10 -(150% RDF + two Si spray @ 2ml / liter of water at 15 DAT & 40 DAT).

Keywords: Silicon; NPK levels; blast disease; rice; economics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is considered to be the most important cereal crop grown in different countries around the world. Asian region contributes about 92% of the global production. Rice crop suffer from a number of diseases but most severe disease of rice is rice blast [1-3]. The teleomorphic stage of the blast disease causing fungus is *Magnaporthe grisea* whereas *P. oryzae* and *P. grisea* is anamorphic stage [4]. The fungus can infect most parts of the plant, but the most destructive phase being nodal or panicle infection [5]. The disease may kill the host plant or developments of seeds are prevented when the pathogen infects on neck or panicle.

Silicon exists in all plants grown in soil and its content in plant tissue ranges from 0.1 to 10% [6]. In modern agriculture, Si has already been recognized as a functional nutrient for a number of crops, particularly rice and sugarcane and plays an important role in the growth and development of crops; especially gramineae crops [7]. Effects of silicon on yield are related to the deposition of the element under the leaf epidermis which results a physical mechanism of reduces defense. lodging, increases photosynthesis and decreases transpiration losses [8]. Rice is known to be the most effective Si-accumulator crop with the Si accumulation may exceed 10% of the shoot dry weight which is few-fold greater than the essential macronutrients particularly N, P and K [9]. Silicon interacts favorably with other applied nutrients and improves their agronomic performance and efficiency in terms of yield response. Also it improves the tolerance of rice plants to abiotic and biotic stresses. Although silicon has not been considered important for vegetative growth but it aids the plant in healthy development under stresses in different grasses especially in rice. Plant tissue analysis has revealed that the optimum amount of silicon is necessary for cell development and differentiation [10]. Agarei et al., [11] indicates that silicon application was effective in increasing dry matter production of rice crop. In general, silicon increases leaf area and keeps leaves erect, which improve crop photosynthesis. Silicon deficiency in plants makes them more susceptible to insect feeding, fungal diseases, microorganisms attack and abiotic stresses that adversely affect crop yield and quality. Low silicon uptake has been proved to increase the susceptibility of rice to diseases such as rice blast [12], leaf blight, brown spot, stem rot and grain discoloration [13,14, 15].

Among various essential plant nutrients, the macro nutrients NPK are crucial for determining the yield and quality. It has been noticed that farmers utilize imbalanced dose of N fertilizer which leads to higher insects/disease attack ultimately producing lower yield [16,17]. Excess use of fertilizer nutrients implies increase of cost returns and and decrease of risk of environmental pollution. On the other hand, under use of nutrients depress the scope for increasing the present level of nutrients to the economically optimum level to exploit production potential to a larger extent [18]. Application of inadequate and imbalanced fertilization to crops not only results in low crop yields but also deteriorate the soil health [19]. The existing fertilizer recommendations for major nutrients in rice are proving to be sub-optimal for attaining higher productivity levels and need a fresh look to revise them to optimum and more balanced levels. Therefore, there is dire need to determine the best level of NPK fertilizers which may give maximum crop productivity with minimum losses [20,21]. Nutrient management is gaining status and recognition as a possible method for practical control of diseases of crop plants. Keeping this in view, various efforts have been made to find out the effective and successful control and preventive measures for the efficient management of rice blast. Various Si and NPK dose have been effective for controlling rice blast throughout the world mostly in temperate or subtropical regions [22,23]. Judicious uses of fertilizers are effective in controlling rice blast. Considering the above facts, this research aimed to determine comparative efficiency of different foliar fertilizers doses for the management and control of rice blast disease to enhance the grain yield.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* 2017-18 at the research farm of IFTM University Lodipur Rajput, Moradabad (U.P.). The experiment consist ten treatment combinations *viz.* (T₁- Control, T₂-100 % RDF, T₃-100 % RDF + one Si spray @ 2 ml/ Itr at 15 DAT, T₄-100 % RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ Itr at 15 DAT and 40

DAT, T₅-125 % RDF, T₆- 125 % RDF + one Si spray @ 2 ml/ ltr at 15 DAT, T7-125 % RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ ltr at 15 and 40 DAT, T_8 - 150 % RDF, T₉- 150 % RDF + one Si spray @ 2 ml/ ltr at 15 DAT and T₁₀-150 % RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml ltr at15 and 40 DAT) which were tested in three RBD and replicated times. The recommended dose of fertilizers was applied @ 120: 60: 40. The graded levels of NPK were applied through Urea, Diammonium phosphate and Murate of potash. Half dose of urea and full doses of Diammonium phosphate and Murate of potash were applied basally at sowing time. Remaining half dose of Nitrogen was given in two equal splits at 25 DAT and 50 DAT. Healthy seedlings of rice cv. IMR 002 (aromatic group) were transplanted in main field on dated 14/07/2017. First thinning and weeding was done during the first fortnight of August, 2017.

Observation on disease incidence and disease control were recorded from randomly selected five tagged plants from each net plot and calculate with the help of following formula.

2.1 Percent Disease Incidence (PDI)

The disease was scored from randomly selected five plants from each plot, one week after the last application of Si and NPK by using 0 - 9 disease rating scale given by International Rice Research Institute [24] as shown in table below and then converting into percent disease incidence and severity by using the following formula:

Percent Disease Incidance= (Number of Infected plant / Total number of plant observed) x100

2.2 Percent Disease Control (PDC)

Disease Control (%) =
$$\frac{C-T}{C} \times 100$$

Where,

C = Per cent disease incidence in untreated plot

T = Per cent disease incidence in treated plot Observations on growth and yield attributes were recorded from five plants selected randomly from the net plots, while the grain and straw yield was recorded from the net plots at harvest and recorded as kg ha⁻¹. It was converted into q ha⁻¹ to multiplying the conversion factor. The data was analyzed statistically following the procedure of Gomez and Gomez [25]. The critical differences (CD) at 5% level were worked out for comparing the treatment means wherever 'F' test was found significant.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Disease Study

The percent disease incidence of rice blast disease in aromatic rice field was significantly influenced with application of RDF levels and Si spray (Table - 2). Lowest incidence of blast disease (34.72%) in aromatic rice was found with treatment T_4 (100 % RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr of water at 15 and 40 DAT). It is attributed due to the Si confers rigidity and strength, resistance against pests and diseases, improves water economy by reducing transpiration rate, alleviates the ill effects of a biotic stresses and enhances crop yield. The same findings also reported by Vasanthi et al., [26].

The per cent disease control of rice blast disease in aromatic rice field was significantly influenced with application of RDF levels and Si spray (Table - 2). The maximum per cent of disease control (39.4%) in aromatic rice was found with the application of T_4 (100 % RDF + two Si Spray @ 2 ml/ ltr at 15 and 40 DAT). It is attributed due to the Si confers rigidity and strength, resistance against pests and diseases, improves water economy by reducing transpiration rate, alleviates the ill effects of a biotic stresses and enhances crop yield. The same findings also reported by Vasanthi *et al.*, [26].

3.2 Growth Attributes

The plant height of rice up to harvesting stage significantly influenced with the application of 150 % RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at (15 and 40 DAT). Maximum plant height (cm) was noted under this treatment up to harvesting of crop (Table - 2). It may be attributed due to the sufficient availability of plant nutrients as like nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and silicon to aromatic rice plant. These results are conformity with those already reported by Ahmad *et al.*, [27], Javeed *et al.*, [28] and Singh *et al.*, [29].

Scale	Description	Host Behaviour
0	No lesion observed	Highly Resistant
1	Small brown specks of pin point size	Resistant
2	Small roundish to slightly elongated, necrotic gray spots, about 1-2	Moderately
	mm in with a distinct brown margin. Lesions found on the lower leaves	Resistant
3	Lesion type same as in 2, but significant number of lesions on the	Moderately
	upper Leaves	Resistant
4	Typical susceptible blast lesions, 3 mm or longer infecting less than	Moderately
	4% of leaf area	Susceptible
5	Typical susceptible blast lesions of 3 mm or longer infecting 4-10% of	Moderately
	the leaf area	Susceptible
6	Typical susceptible blast lesions of 3 mm or longer infecting 11-25%	Susceptible
	of the leaf area	
7	Typical susceptible blast lesions of 3 mm	Susceptible
8	Typical susceptible blast lesions of 3 mm or longer infecting 51-75%	Highly Susceptible
	of the leaf area, many leaves are dead	
9	Typical susceptible blast lesions of 3 mm or longer infecting more	Highly Susceptible
	than 75% leaf area affected	
	(Source: [24])	

Table 1. Disease scoring scale for leaf blast of rice caused by Pyricularia oryzae [24]

The number of tillers $plant^{-1}of$ aromatic rice significantly influenced with the application of 150% RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at (15 and 40 DAT ha⁻¹). In this combination maximum number of tillers $plant^{-1}$ were recorded (Table – 2). It may be attributed due to the maximum availability of plant nutrients as like nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium with Si to aromatic rice plant. Same findings are also reported by Mahyar *et al.*, [30] and Singh *et al.*, [29].

Dry weight (g plant⁻¹) of aromatic rice were gradually increased up to the harvesting of crop with the application of 150% RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at (15 and 40 DAT ha⁻¹) (Table - 2). It may be attributed due to the sufficient availability of plant nutrients as like nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium with Si to aromatic rice plant up to the maturity of crop. The same findings also reported by Javeed, *et al.*, [28] and Singh *et al.*, [29].

3.3 Yield Attributes

The panicle length was recorded maximum (31.04 cm) with the application of 150% RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at (15 and 40 DAT ha⁻¹) (Table - 3). It may be attributed due the more availability of nutrients to plant. The number of grains panical⁻¹ was significantly influenced and with application of 150% RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at (15 and 40 DAT ha⁻¹) was observed maximum number of grains (203.38) panical⁻¹ and the number of unfilled grains panical⁻¹ was significantly influenced with the application of

150% RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at (15 and 40 DAT ha⁻¹) and it was observed minimum number of unfilled grains (16.70) panical⁻¹ (Table - 3). Sterility percentage was observed minimum (10.36) with the application of 150% RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at (15 and 40 DAT ha^{-1}). It is attributed due to the more availability of nutrients for photosynthesis to aromatic rice plant. The results are in conformity with those already reported by Ataollah et al., [31], Jugal and Ramani [32] and Singh et al., [29].

1000 - Seed weight (g) was failed to touch the level of significance (Table - 3). But numerically highest 1000- seed weight (21.79 g) was recorded with 150% RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at (15 and 40 DAT ha⁻¹). It might be due to the genetically character of any crop. The results are in conformity with those already reported by Singh *et al.*, [29].

Grain yield and biological yield (q ha⁻¹) were significantly influenced with the application of 150% RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at (15 and 40 DAT ha⁻¹) and recorded highest grain and biological yield (67.17 q ha⁻¹ and 146.77 q ha⁻¹) respectively, in this treatment this may be increased due to the maximum availability of plant nutrients. The same findings are also reported by Amin et al., [33], Srivastava *et al.*, [34], Srivastava *et al.*, [35], Jugal and Ramani [32], Singh *et al.*, [36], Javeed et al., [28] and Singh *et al.*, [29].

Table 2. Growth attributes (plant height, number of tillers plant ⁻¹ and dry weight (g plant ⁻¹	DDI and DDC at here eating store as influenced by Ci with different NDK levels
Table 2. Growth attributes (plant height, number of tillers plant and dry weight (g plant), PDI and PDC at narvesting stage as influenced by SI with different NPK levels

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of tillers plant ⁻¹	Dry weight (g plant ⁻¹)	Percent Disease Incidence (PDI)	Percent Disease Control (PDC)
T ₁ - Control	122.96	9.90	59.33	47.05 (43.28)	00 (0.0)
T ₂ - 100 % RDF	140.27	11.70	71.67	40.15 (39.29)	29.76 (22.4)
T ₃ - 100 % RDF + one Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at 15 DAT	144.10	12.87	75.00	39.12 (38.70)	35.95 (25.7)
T ₄ -100 % RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at 15 DAT and 40 DAT	147.20	11.8	76.67	34.72(36.09)	47.43 (39.4)
T ₅ -125 % RDF	148.31	13.89	76.67	40.48 (39.41)	27.51 (21.3)
T ₆ -125 % RDF + one Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at 15 DAT	150.53	13.85	79.00	39.80 (39.11)	30.78 (23.5)
T ₇ -125 % RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at 15 DAT and 40 DAT	151.98	14.11	84.67	37.05 (37.47)	45.97 (32.2)
T ₈ -150 % RDF	152.95	15.17	87.00	41.04 (39.82)	25.04 (19.5)
T ₉ -150 % RDF + one Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at 15 DAT	154.13	15.22	88.00	40.54 (39.52)	30.05 (21.1)
T ₁₀ -150 % RDF + two Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at15 DAT and 40 DAT	158.84	15.29	103.33	37.38 (37.64)	43.16 (31.0)
SEm±	0.428	0.584	0.608	0.394	0.587
CD at 5%	1.280	1.749	1.819	1.179	1.76

*RDF = Recommended dose of fertilizers; *DAT = Days after transplanting; *PDI = Percent disease incidence; *PDC = Percent disease control; *Si = Silicon

Table 3. Yield attributes and yields of aromatic rice as influenced by Si with different NPK levels

Treatments	Panicle length (cm)	No. of grains panicle ⁻¹	No. of unfilled grains panicle ⁻¹	Sterility (%)	1000 seed weight (g)	Grain yield (q ha⁻¹)	Biological yield (q ha⁻¹)	HI (%)
T ₁ - Control	21.37	115.19	37.67	48.57	17.55	30.17	69.66	43.30
T ₂ - 100 % RDF	28.72	192.74	33.88	21.35	18.60	49.13	108.46	45.30
T ₃ - 100 % RDF + one Si Spray @ 2 ml/ ltr at 15 DAT	28.78	200.17	33.40	20.07	19.96	50.72	112.67	45.02
T_4 -100 % RDF + two Si Spray @ 2 ml ltr at15 and 40 DAT	29.13	200.59	32.71	19.16	20.50	52.16	115.33	45.22
T₅-125 % RDF	29.17	201.60	30.57	17.79	20.56	52.53	117.41	44.74
T ₆ -125 % RDF + one Si Spray @ 2 ml/ ltr at 15 DAT	29.33	202.80	29.33	16.80	20.68	53.67	119.35	44.96
T ₇ -125 % RDF + two Si Spray @ 2 ml ltr at15 and 40 DAT	29.44	203.11	28.22	16.10	21.17	54.54	121.33	44.95
T ₈ -150 % RDF	29.94	203.31	26.10	14.77	21.37	58.10	128.33	45.27
T ₉ -150 % RDF + one Si Spray @ 2 ml/ ltr at 15 DAT	30.24	203.32	23.73	13.34	21.69	61.00	136.80	44.59
T_{10} -150 % RDF + two Si Spray @ 2 ml ltr at15 and 40 DAT	31.00	203.38	16.70	10.36	21.79	67.17	146.77	45.76
SEm±	0.654	0.64	0.747	0.267	1.43	0.386	0.451	0.262
CD at 5%	1.959	1.91	2.237	0.801	NS	1.156	1.350	0.786

*RDF = Recommended dose of fertilizers; *DAT = Days after transplanting; *Si = Silicon

Treatment	Cost of cultivation (Rs ha ⁻¹)	Gross income (Rs ha ⁻¹)	Net return (Rs ha ⁻¹)	B: C ratio	
T ₁ – Control	26300	66352	40052	1.52	
T ₂ -100 % RDF	31588	108086	76498	2.42	
T_3 -100 % RDF + one Si Spray @ 2 ml/ ltr at 15 DAT	33588	111584	77996	2.32	
T ₄ -100 % RDF + two Si Spray @ 2 ml/ ltr at 15 and 40 DAT	35588	114730	79142	2.22	
T ₅ -125 % RDF	32910	115566	82656	2.51	
T ₆ -125 % RDF + one Si Spray @ 2 ml/ ltr at 15 DAT	34910	118052	83142	2.38	
T ₇ -125 % RDF + two Si Spray @ 2 ml/ Itr at 15 and 40 DAT	36910	119988	93368	2.25	
T ₈ -150 % RDF	34232	127600	100068	2.71	
T_9 -150 % RDF + one Si Spray @ 2 ml/ ltr at 15 DAT	36332	136400	109420	2.75	
T ₁₀ -150 % RDF + two Si Spray @ 2 ml/ ltr at 15 and 40 DAT	38332	147752	109400	2.85	

Table 4. Economics of rice cultivation as influenced by Si with different NPK levels

*RDF = Recommended dose of fertilizers; *DAT = Days after transplanting; *Si = Silicon

Harvest index (%) was significantly influenced and with the application of T_{10} (150% RDF + Si spray @ 2 ml/ltr at 15 and 40 DAT ha⁻¹) and it was registered highest HI (45.76 %). (Table–3). These results are in conformity with those already reported by Guntamukkala *et al.*, [37], Jugal and Ramani [32].

3.4 Economics

The cost of cultivation (Rs 38332) ha⁻¹], gross returns (Rs 1,47,752) ha⁻¹], net returns Rs 109,420 ha⁻¹ and benefit: cost ratio, 2.85 ha⁻¹ was increased with T₁₀ [150 % NPK + two Si spray over control (Table – 4). It was increased due to the 50% extra NPK + Si spray in rice crop used over the rest treatments. These results are in accordance with those of Mahmood et al., [38] and Meena et al., [39] also revealed that cost of cultivation, gross returns, net returns and benefit: cost increased with increasing levels of phosphorus up to 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. The silicon application 120 kg Si ha⁻¹ recorded highest gross returns (136.1 × 103 and `139.8 × 103 ha⁻¹).

4. CONCLUSION

Rice blast has caused severe loss in the yield of grains over the years leading to scarcity of food. Since rice is the staple crop of Indian people, it is necessary to adopt appropriate strategy for the control of blast. From the research, it was found that the judicious use of fertilizers were effective against blast disease as compared to control one. T_{10} was the most significant among other doses of fertilizers with least disease incidence % and high grain yield. Thus, from above findings, it can be concluded that Si and judicious use of NPK can be recommended for farmers to use against blast as it is very effective and easily available in market.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Naidu VD, Reddy GV. Control of blast (BI) in main field and nursery with some new fungicides. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology. 1989;69:209.
- 2. Moletti M, Giudici ML, Nipoti E, Villa B. Chemical control trials gainst rice blast in Italy. Informatore Fitopatologic. 1988;38 :41-47.
- 3. Mbodi Y, Gaye S, Diaw S. The role of tricyclazole in rice protection against blast

and cultivar improvement. Parasitica. 1987;43:187-198.

- 4. Rossman AY, Howard RJ, Valent B. Pyricularia grisea the correct name for the rice blast disease fungus. Mycologia. 1990;82:509- 512.
- 5. Ou SH. Rice Diseases, CAB International Mycological, Institute Kew, Survey, UK;1985.
- Elmer WH, Datnoff LE. Mineral nutrition and suppression of plant disease. In Encyclopedia of agriculture and food systems, ed. N. Van Alfen, 2014;4:231– 44. Elsevier: San Diego.
- Hodson MJ, White PJ, Mead A, Broadley MR. Phylogenetic variation in the silicon composition of plants. Annals of Botany, 2005;96:1027–1046.
- 8. Korndorfer GH, Pereira HS, Nolla A. Silicon analysis in soil, plant and fertilizers. Brazil, GPSi/ICIAG/UFU;2004.
- Ma JF, Takahashi E. Soil, fertilizer and plant silicon research in Japan. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier;2002.
- 10. Liang YC, Sun WC, Romheld JV. Effects of foliar- and rootapplied silicon on the enhancement of induced resistance to powdery mildew in *Cucumis sativus*. Plant Pathology. 2005;54:678– 685.
- 11. Agarie S, Uchida H, Agata W, Kubota F, Kaufman PB. Effect of silicon on growth, dry matter production and photosynthesis in rice plant (*Oryza sativa* L.). CPITA. KSCS, Korea.1993;225-234.
- Kim SG, Ki W, Woo EP, Choi D. Silicon-Induced cell wall fortification of rice leaves: A possible cellular mechanism of enhanced host resistance to blast. The American Phytopathological Society, 2002;10: 92 -100.
- Kobayashi T, Kanda E, Kitada K, Ishiguro K, Torigoe Y. Detection of rice panicle blast with multispectral radiometer and the potential of using airborne multispectral scanners. Phytopathology. 2001;91:316-323.
- Rodrigues FA, Datnoff LE, Korndorfer GH, Seebold KW, Rush MC. Effect of silicon and host resistance on sheath blight development in rice. Plant Disease. 2001;85:827-832.
- 15. Massey FP, Hartley SE. Experimental demonstration of the anti-herbivore effects of silica in grasses: impacts on foliage digestibility and vole growth rates. In:

Proceedings of Royal Society Biology. 2006;273:2299-2304.

- Mannan MA, Bhuiya MSU, Hossain SMA, Akhand MIM. Study on phenology and yielding ability of basmati fine rice genotypes as influenced by planting date in aman season. Ban. J. Agric. Res., 2009;34:373-384.
- 17. Alam MS, Islam MS, Islam MA. Farmers' efficiency enhancement through input management: the issue of USG application in modern rice. Ban J Agric Res. 2011;36:129-141.
- Singh HP, Sharma KL, Ramesh V, Mandal UK. Nutrient mining in different agroclimatic zones of Andhra Pradesh. Fertilizer News. 2001;46(8):29-42.
- 19. Sharma MP, Bal P, Gupta JP. Long term effects of chemical; fertilizers on rice wheat productivity. Annals of Agricultural Research. 2003;24(1):91-94.
- 20. Marschner H. Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Second Edition, Academic Press. pp 899 organic sources on growth, yield and economics of rice. Advance Research Journal of Improvement. 1995;4(2):113-117.
- 21. Yoshida S. Fundamentals of rice crop science. IRRI. 1981;291.
- 22. Agriculture Research Center, Rice Research Institute, Giza, Egypt. Australion Journal of Crop Science. 8(4):596-605.
- 23. Alam MM, Ali MH, Amin AKMR, Hasanuzzaman M. Yield attributes, yield and harvest index of three irrigated rice under different levels varieties of phosphorus. Advanc. Biol. Res., 2009:3:132-139.
- IRRI. Standard Evaluation System for Rice (4th eds). International Rice Research Institute, Manilla, Philippines;1996.
- 25. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, Edition 2. Wiley-Inter-Science publication, John Wiley and Sons, New York;1984.
- Vasanthi N, Lilly M, Saleena S, Anthoni Raj. Silicon in Crop Production and Crop Protection – A Review. Agri. Reviews. 2014;35(1):14-23.
- Ahmad A, Afzal M, Ahmad AUH, Tahir M. Effect of Foliar Application of Silicon on Yield and Quality of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Cercetări Agronomice În Moldova XIVI, No. 2013;(3):155.
- Javeed A, Meenakshi Gupta, Vikas Gupta.
 Effect of graded levels of N, P & K on growth, yield and quality of fine rice

Cultivar (*Oryza sativa* L.) under subtropical conditions. Scientific Society of Advanced Research and Social Change. International Journal of Management. 2017;(3). ISSN 2349-6975.

- 29. Singh V, Singh V, Singh S, Khanna R. Effect of Zinc and Silicon on Growth and Yield of Aromatic Rice (*Oryza sativa*) in North-Western Plains of India. J. Rice Res. Dev. 2020;3(1):82-86.
- 30. Mahyar G, Allahyar F, Mohammad R, Khatami M. Study of potassium and sodium silicate on the morphological and chlorophyll content on the rice plant in pot experiment (*Oryza sativa* L.) International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences. 2013;5(1): 6-9.
- Ataollah AE, Hemmatollah Pirdashti, Yosouf Niknejhad. Effect of Iron, Zinc and Silicon Application on Quantitative Parameters of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L. *cv. Tarom Mahalli*). International Journal of Farming and Allied Sciences. 2014;5:529-533.
- Jugal K. Malav, Ramani VP. Yield and Nutrient Content of Rice as Influenced by Silicon and Nitrogen Application. Res J. Chem. Environ. Sci. 2016;(4):46-49.
- Amin M, Muhammad Ayyaz Khan, Ejaz Ahmed Khan, Muhammad Ramzan. Effect of Increased Plant Density and Fertilizer Dose on the Yield of Rice Variety Ir-6. Journal of Research (Science), 2004;15: 09-16.
- Srivastava VK, Bohra JS, Singh JK. Effect of integration of NPK levels and organic sources on growth, yield and economics of Rice. Advance Research Journal of Improvement. 2013;(2): 113-117.
- 35. Srivastava VK, Singh JK, Vishwakarma Akhilesh. Effect of Fertility Levels and Mode of Nitrogen Nutrition on Productivity and Profitability of Hybrid Rice under System of Rice Intensification. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2016;(8):1983-1986.
- Singh A, Sudhanshu Verma, Sandeep Kumar, Singh SP. Yield and nutrient removal of basmati rice as influenced by NPK levels and Bio-fertilizers. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2017 ;(4):1953-1956.
- 37. Guntamukkala Babu Rao, Poornima Yadav, Elizabeth K. Syriac, Silicon nutrition in rice: A review. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Photochemistry. 2017;6(6):390-392.

Singh et al.; IJECC, 12(6): 46-54, 2022; Article no.IJECC.75250

- Mahmood IA, Ali A, Kiani MZ, Shahzad A, Sultan T, Shah H, Arshadullah M, Zaman B. Economics of residues incorporation and phosphorus application for direct seeded rice and wheat under saline soil. Agricultural Sciences. 2015;6:934– 42.
- Meena RK, Neupane MP, Singh SP. Effect of phosphorus levels and bio-organic sources on growth and yield of rice (*Oryza* sativa L.). Indian Journal of Nutrition. 2014;1(1): 1–2

© 2022 Singh et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/75250